Topographical changes revealed by high-resolution airborne LiDAR data: The 1999 Tsaoling landslide induced by the Chi–Chi earthquake
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Abstract

The 1999 Chi–Chi earthquake triggered the catastrophic Tsaoling landslide in central Taiwan. We mapped the landslide area and estimated the landslide volume, using a high-resolution digital elevation model from airborne LiDAR (Light Detection And Ranging), aerial photographs and topographic maps. The comparison between scar and deposit volumes, about 0.126 km³ and 0.150 km³ respectively, suggests a coseismic volume increase of 19% due to decompaction during landsliding. In July 2003, the scar and deposit volumes were about 0.125 km³ and 0.110 km³ respectively. These estimates suggest that 4 years after the event, the volume of landslide debris removed by river erosion was nearly 0.040 km³. These determinations are confirmed by direct comparison between the most accurate topographic models of the post-landslide period, indicating a very high erosion rate at the local scale (0.01 km³/year) for the deposit area of the landslide. Such a large value highlights the importance of landslide processes for erosion and long-term denudation in the Taiwan mountain belt.
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1. Introduction

The island of Taiwan is located between the Philippine Sea plate and Eurasian plate (Fig. 1a), with the two opposite-verging subduction systems of the Ryukyu arc-trench and Luzon arcs to the east and south respectively. Taiwan is one of the regions on Earth with the highest seismic activity. The results of GPS studies revealed a rate of plate convergence of 8.2 cm/year along the azimuth 306° (Yu et al., 1997). In Taiwan, the landslide hazard reaches high levels in the mountainous part of the island where earthquakes are frequent and typhoons (heavy rainfall) are common each year. More than 70% of
the annual rainfall occurs in the summer season, between July and September (Water Resources-Agency, 2002).

During the 1999 Chi–Chi earthquake ($M_L = 7.3$), abundant landslides were triggered in central Taiwan on the night (01:47 local time) of 21 September. This earthquake, the most destructive one of the 20th century in Taiwan, killed more than 2450 persons and resulted in widespread damage in central Taiwan (Chung and Shin, 1999; Ma et al., 1999). The Chi–Chi earthquake was caused by movement on the Chelungpu thrust fault, which had been seismically active about 150 years ago according to informal written record (Chen et al., 2001a, b). A more than 90 km-long surface rupture developed (Fig. 1b), involving thrusting and left-lateral components (Central Geological Survey, 1999; Kao and Chen, 2000; Angelier et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2001a,b). The vertical offsets averaged 2 m along the southern half of the Chelungpu Fault and about 4 m along the northern segment; horizontal offsets up to 10 m were observed along the northern part of major fault (Chen et al., 2001a,b; Lee et al., 2002).

Earthquake shaking is a major agent of landslide generation, with the largest earthquakes capable of triggering thousands of landslides throughout areas up to 260,000 km² (Keefer, 1984). Among all the landslides triggered by the Chi–Chi earthquake, the Tsaoling slope failure involved the largest area and volume displacement. A study of SPOT images (Liao, 2000) indicated that the Chi–Chi earthquake had triggered 9272 landslides covering a total area of 128 km².
Tsaoling is located in the valley of the Chingshui River (Fig. 1c), a tributary of the Choshui River, the largest river on Taiwan. The Chingshui watershed area under investigation in the present study shows a triangular to trapezoidal slope domain, with the crown of the landslide to the northeast at the top of Tsaoling Mountain (elevation 1235 m) and a base (the slide toe) to the southwest at the Chingshui River (elevation 500 m). At the site of the Tsaoling landslide, about 30 km southwest of the Chi–Chi epicenter (Fig. 1b), at least five major dip slope failures triggered by rain or earthquakes have occurred between 1862 and 1999 on the southwest slope of the Tsaoling Mountain (see details and references in Table 1).

In Taiwan, aerial photograph interpretation and mapping are routinely performed by the Agricultural and Forestry Aerial Survey Institute of Taiwan. During recent years, the most commonly used material has been the digital elevation models (DEM) based on aerial photos with a 40×40 m mesh resolution (Central for Space and Remote Sensing Research, 1989). The DEM establishment facilitated neotectonic and quantitative geomorphology studies in Taiwan.

The objectives of this study are to identify the terrain characteristics associated with the 1999 Tsaoling landslide and to determine the total volume of earthquake-induced landslide material produced over time. For our morphometric analysis we used the high resolution data issued from the airborne LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging), a new technology that uses a transmitted laser beam to reconstruct the morphology in detail (Wehr and Lohr, 1999; Priestnall et al., 2000; Joinville et al., 2002; Charlton et al., 2003; White and Wang, 2003). Comparing different sets of data from different years, we estimated the landslide-related mass transfer and subsequent erosion. Note that the accuracy of these comparisons could not reflect the high resolution of the recent LiDAR-derived DEM, because limitations in resolution were imposed by the pre-landslide DEMs derived from more conventional analyses (satellite images, aerial photographs and topographic maps).

2. Historical landslide events at Tsaoling

The Tsaoling area, characterised by mountainous topography, is located in the Foothill Region of Central Taiwan (Fig. 1b–c). The occurrence of successive landslides and related destruction in the Tsaoling area has been documented for the past 140 years. Historical catastrophic dip slope failures have repeatedly occurred: the first recorded event occurred in 1862 (triggered by an earthquake), then in 1941 (triggered by an earthquake), 1942 (triggered by heavy rainfall), 1979 (triggered by heavy rainfall), and 1999 (triggered by the Chi–Chi earthquake). Debris of the landslides repeatedly dammed the Chingshui River. Breakage of landslide dams from previous landslide events took place in 1898, 1951, and 1979. The major historical Tsaoling landslides are summarized in Table 1, with appropriate references (Tai-Pei Observatory, 1942; Kamai, 1942; Chang, 1951; Hsu and Leung, 1977; Hung, 1980; Lee et al., 1993; Liao, 2000; Hung et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Chigira et al., 2003).

2.1. Landslide events in 1862 and 1898

The first reported event of dip-slope failure and subsequent formation of a landslide dam occurred on June 6, 1862. This landslide may have been triggered by the major 1862 earthquake with estimated magnitude between $M_L = 6.0$ and $M_L = 7.0$, which occurred in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Trigger</th>
<th>Landslide process</th>
<th>Slide volume (km$^3$)</th>
<th>Dam height (m)</th>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td>Earthquake ($M_L = 6–7$)</td>
<td>Formation of landslide dam</td>
<td>0.10–0.15</td>
<td>70–140</td>
<td>36 persons killed and formation of dam</td>
<td>1,2,5,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898</td>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>Landslide dam breakage</td>
<td>0.15–0.20</td>
<td>140–170</td>
<td>1 person killed and growth of landslide dam</td>
<td>2,3,4,5,6,8,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1941</td>
<td>Earthquake ($M_L = 7.1$)</td>
<td>137 persons killed and breakage of landslide dam</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>140–200</td>
<td>34,5,6,8,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td>Rainfall (776 mm)</td>
<td>Formation of landslide dam</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5,6,8,9,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>Rainfall (770 mm)</td>
<td>Landslide dam breakage</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5,6,8,9,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Rainfall (327 mm)</td>
<td>39 persons killed and formation of dam</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7,8,9,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Earthquake ($M_L = 7.3$)</td>
<td>39 persons killed and formation of dam</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7,8,9,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chia-Yi and Tai-Nan region (Hsu, 1980). According to the description of an ordnance "Takezaki" topographic map ordered by the Japanese government in 1930 (at scale 1:50 000), the scarp was located at an approximate elevation of 750–770 m, the elevation of Chingshui River bed being about 390 m. The natural dam created by this landslide failed in 1898 after heavy rainfall (Tai-Pei Observatory, 1942).

2.2. Landslide events in 1941, 1942 and 1951

Another major earthquake ($M_L = 7.1$), with epicentre at 23.40° N, 120.50° E, 10 km southeast of Chia-Yi city, induced the next major landslide on December 17, 1941. A rockslide involving a mass movement of more than 0.100–0.150 km$^3$ occurred on the southwest flank of Tsaoling Mountain (Chigira et al., 2003). The crest of the landslide dam was estimated to be 70–200 m above the riverbed. Fig. 2a shows the landslide cross-section of the landslide with the sliding plane located at the boundary between the Cholan formation and the Chinshui shale. Heavy rainfall (3 days cumulative precipitation of 770 mm) led to a reactivation of the landslide on August 10, 1942, with a formation of a larger natural dam at the same location as before. More than 0.100 km$^3$ of the rock mass slid down the dip slope and the Chingshui River was dammed with debris. On May 18, 1951, following 5 days of rainfall with a cumulative precipitation of 776 mm, the landslide dam failed by overtopping and caused serious damage in downstream valleys (Chang, 1951). This dam failure killed 137 army engineers, who were installing the spillway on top of the landslide dam, and 0.120 km$^3$ of water was released (Hsu and Leung, 1977).

2.3. Landslide events in 1979

A heavy rainfall reactivated the landslide in 1979; two bridges were destroyed downstream. On August 15,
1979, heavy rain caused the failure of the lower part of the remaining slope with a volume of 0.026 km$^3$. The debris mass collided with the remaining landslide dam and the Chingshui River was once again dammed (Hung, 1980). Following 2 days of rainfall with a cumulative precipitation of 624 mm, a new failure with a volume of 0.040 km$^3$ took place and the landslide dam with a height of 90 m was overtopped on August 24, 1979. Previous monitoring and timely warning prevented casualties. After the 1979 events, a scarp formed between 650 and 700 m in elevation (Fig. 2b), the lower part sliding surface of the 1979 rockslide being in the Chinshui shale (Lee et al., 1993).

2.4. Landslide caused by the Chi–Chi earthquake, 1999

During the Chi–Chi earthquake on September 21, 1999, a volume of about 0.125 km$^3$ of rock and soil slid down the Tsaoling dip-slope. From the field investigation, it was found that the remaining slope consisted of four stepping scarps. The dip angles measured on different steps of the dip slope ranged from 12° to 14°, and the main sliding occurred in the Cholan formation (Fig. 2c). Hung (2000) pointed out that only 0.025 km$^3$ (20%) of the sliding volume dropped into the valley of the Chingshui River. Remarkably, the vegetation on a few hill slopes downstream of the landslide dam was
stripped, allegedly because of the air blast released from the impact of the sliding mass (Hung, 2000).

The Chingshui River was once again dammed. The landslide material blocked the gorge of the Chingshui River along a distance of 5 km (Water Resources-Agency in Taiwan, 1999). The debris dam had a height of 50 m upstream and 150 m downstream. The Tsaoling Lake, having an estimated capacity larger than 0.046 km³, developed (Cheng, 2000). An emergency spillway was constructed through the plugged section of Chingshui River valley.

Finally, overflow of the impounded water commenced on December 22, 1999, without causing damage to the debris dam. Check dams have also been constructed downstream across the Chingshui River, for protection against debris flows due to possible dam failure (which has not happened). The natural dam resulting from the landslide, however, was subject to severe erosion, mainly deep incision of its downstream portion by the Chingshui River.

3. Geological and structural setting of Tsaoling landslide area

The study area is located on the west side of the Tsaoling anticline (Fig. 3); the elevations of the mountain slope range from 500 to 1200 m above sea level (Fig. 4). The geological condition is dominated by sedimentary rocks that dip in the same direction as the slope of the landslide. The Chingshui River flows along the base of the dip slope; it cuts into the toe of the slope and causes the bedding planes to crop out in section. The bedrock formations in this area consist of Tertiary sedimentary rocks (Fig. 3) and include, from base to top: the Miocene Tawo sandstone formation, which is over 1100 m thick and contains intercalations of shales, the Chinshui shale.
formation, which is over 110 m thick and the lower Cholan formation, which consists of fine-grained sandstones and intercalated shales and is over 1000 m thick (Huang et al., 1983). Recent terrace deposits, landslide deposits and alluvium overlie the bedrock.

The Chinshui shale, in which the historical landslide detachments took place, consists of massive mudstone and shale, with intercalated fine-grained sandstone layers. The Cholan formation, which conformably rests on the Chinshui shale, constitutes most of the landslide mass (Huang et al., 1983).

From the engineering point of view, the Chinshui shale is a friable, silty mudstone with weak cementation that deteriorates readily upon wetting and drying. Surface water could thus infiltrate into the deeper portions of the formation and soften the rock. Before 1999, the landslides resulted from failure of the Chinshui shale that underlies the Cholan formation. The slip surface of the 1999 landslide, however, formed within alternating beds of fine sandstone and shale with ripple lamination or within shales that were all contained in the Cholan formation. This slip surface was smooth and nearly planar, as well as parallel to bedding.

We observed numerous brittle features and carried out data collection on open fractures, faults and bedding at five sites along the detachment surface of the Tsaoling 1999 landslide. Most fractures, especially open fissures, are approximately perpendicular to bedding planes, which are regularly dipping to the southwest, 14 degrees on average. All sites showed open fractures that trend approximately E–W and N–S. The nearly E–W fractures generally reflect tension close to the slip direction of the landslide and show clear correlation with the major detachment scarps of the Tsaoling landslide. These fractures and the major scarps left by the landslide are nearly parallel. Fractures trending approximately N–S also indicate extension in a direction perpendicular to the slip direction of the landslide, revealing secondary tensile stress. Such phenomena are common not only for landslides, but also for deep-seated tectonic deformations (Hu and Anglier, 2004).

We also observed strike–slip and reverse faults, consistent in orientation with local compression induced by the landslide. Most of these faults strike approximately E–W, with steep dips. The existence of both the reverse faults (with some minor folds) and the nearly vertical open tension fissures along the same direction (mainly E–W) does not reveal any mechanical inconsistency, because landsliding induced along-slip extension and compression, depending on the relative displacement of rock sub-masses in the slope.

To summarise, our brittle tectonic analysis revealed that a majority of fractures were related to the landslide process, with structural grains that are principally slope-parallel and slope-perpendicular. Other features were inherited from earlier tectonic deformation and commonly reactivated as open cracks, faults or minor thrusts during the landslide process.

4. Remote sensing data

Remote sensing techniques provide powerful tools for landslide monitoring because they offer a synoptic view of the landslide that can be acquired at different time intervals. Aerial photographs have suitable spatial resolution and have been in existence for more than fifty years. Thus, identifying landslides using aerial photographs is common practice (e.g., Wieczorek, 1984). In addition to aerial photogrammetric surveys, topographic measurements are important for obtaining the information of topographic changes after a landslide event. In this study, we use combination of techniques to assess the landslide phenomena, including aerial photogrammetry and airborne laser scanning technique.

To accurately monitor the change of topography in a landslide area, identifiable ground objects are usually selected and employed for measurements, using methods like EDM (Electronic Distance-Measuring) or GPS (Global Positioning System). These methods are applied to specific points, which raise obvious problems because of the discontinuous character and limited density of observations.

The new technology of airborne LiDAR can provide high-precision DEM data, facilitate detection of minor changes in elevation and reveal subtle geomorphic features within an entire area (e.g., Wehr and Lohr, 1999; Chan et al., submitted for publication). The airborne LiDAR technique includes the use of the Global Positioning System, Inertial Navigation System, and a laser distance scanner. Through combined use of laser transmitter with high repeating pulse frequency (RPF) and high speed scanning system, dense
measurements of the terrain surface are obtained, giving a spatial resolution of up to 1 m horizontal and 0.15 m vertical (Shih and Peng, 2002). The level of accuracy makes this new technique suitable for measuring change of topography at a decimetre-to-metre scale.

After the 1999 landslide the Tsaoling area has continued to be modified due to severe river erosion, especially during rainstorms. In this study, we analyzed the topographical change during the 4 years that followed the Chi–Chi event, that is, from September 1999 to July 2003 (Table 2). The four datasets used in this study were (1) the Taiwan DEM with 40 m grid mesh size derived in 1989 from aerial photographs, which shows the situation before the Chi–Chi earthquake and the 1999 Tsaoling landslide, (2) the Taiwan 2000 DEM with 10 m grid mesh size derived from aerial photographs after the 1999 event, (3) the local DEM with 1 m grid mesh size obtained from LiDAR analysis in 2002 and (4) the Taiwan DEM with 5 m grid mesh size derived from 2003 aerial photographs. Although the horizontal adjustment and the elevation correlation for these different datasets have not been thoroughly done, a preliminary result was accurate enough to reveal significant changes in the topography of the studied area for the different periods under investigation.

The comparisons between the topographic patterns in 1989 and in 2000–2002–2003 (respectively before and after the 1999 Tsaoling landslide event) clearly show a
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**Fig. 5.** Topographic changes of the Tsaoling landslide induced by the 1999 Chi–Chi earthquake, based on different digital elevation models (DEM), with 50 contour intervals. Deposit areas indicated by red-solid line. (a) Taiwan 40 m DEM obtained from 1989 aerial photographs. (b) Taiwan 10 m DEM obtained from 1999 aerial photographs after Chi–Chi earthquake. (c) 1 m airborne-LiDAR-derived DEM, 2002. (d) Taiwan 5 m DEM obtained from 2003 aerial photographs after the Chi–Chi earthquake.
Table 3
Comparisons between different post-1999 situations (in 2000, 2002 and 2003, with the 10 m, 5 m and 1 m grids respectively) and the pre-landslide situation (based on the 1989 40×40 m DEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Scar volumes ($V_1$)</th>
<th>Deposit volumes ($V_2$)</th>
<th>Erosion volumes ($V_e$)</th>
<th>Altitude correction relative to 1989</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1989–2000</td>
<td>0.1239 km$^3$</td>
<td>0.1402 km$^3$</td>
<td>0.0098 km$^3$</td>
<td>−7.93 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989–2002</td>
<td>0.1246 km$^3$</td>
<td>0.1170 km$^3$</td>
<td>0.0330 km$^3$</td>
<td>−6.78 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989–2003</td>
<td>0.1250 km$^3$</td>
<td>0.1095 km$^3$</td>
<td>0.0405 km$^3$</td>
<td>+8.04 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that erosion from 1989 to 1999 is minor with respect to landslide volume and post-landslide erosion, and hence neglected. Scar, deposit and eroded volumes ($V_1$, $V_2$ and $V_e$, respectively) illustrated in Fig. 6a.

major mass deficit in the mountain slope (near the northeast corner of the maps in Fig. 5) and a mass excess in the Chingshui River valley (near the centre of the maps). To examine the elevation differences in more detail and quantify the volume transfer involved in the 1999 event, we carried out comparisons between these topographic datasets (Fig. 5).

5. Volumetric analysis of landslide

The 1989 DEM was the single source of quantitative information available and used in our work to depict the situation prior to the 1999 Tsaoling landslide. However, topographic surveys and fracture data collection were carried out from 1989 to 1993 and revealed that little surface change occurred in the Tsaoling area during this period (Lee et al., 1993). Note that for the purpose of comparisons the 1989 DEM was used to ortho-rectify three aerial photograph sets acquired in 1989, 2000 and 2003. In addition, the point clouds taken by airborne LiDAR were used for correlation purposes. To gather and compare the digital information available for the Tsaoling landslide area, we used ArcGIS tools, which enabled the operations described below, especially the volume calculations.

Each original photograph or image had a specific scale. To perform calculations with the different versions of DEM with a single homogenous baseline, we selected five sub-areas in order to correlate the average altitudes. The sub-areas were defined according to the presence of clear topographic features and the absence of significant changes during the periods analyzed. Thus all homologous control points within areas of overlap between images could be correlated and positioned. This correlation and adjustment process was crucial for further volume determinations, which proved to be sensitive to uniform offsets between elevations models (even a minor uniform offsets produces large volume changes over the whole area). The grids were then interpolated, based on the 1989 situation (before the sliding event), over all points in the overlap area of stereoscopic images. Subsequently, they were registered and re-sampled within a single 1 m grid (that of the 2002 LiDAR DEM) to allow comparison between all the spatial data. These data were also converted into a common TWD67 coordinate system (the reference system commonly used in Taiwan).

The DEM mesh size used for volume calculations was influenced by that of the less accurate DEM. This means that the comparison between the situations before and after the 1999 Tsaoling landslide principally depends on the relatively large mesh size of the 1989 DEM, both horizontal (the 40 m grid) and vertical. Altitude comparisons between different DEMs (2000, 2002 and 2003) were all made with reference to the 1989 DEM (Table 3). Altitude corrections were based on control areas unaffected by the landslide and the subsequent topographic changes. The corrected DEMs were used for topographic correlations between images and for volume determinations. The uncertainties of the 1989 Taiwan DEM are the largest and range between 9% and 11%. However, the results are significant enough to reveal the changes associated with the 1999 landslide. The comparisons between the post-1999 situations (with the 10 m, 5 m and 1 m grids) has benefited from better resolution, which was useful to characterize the post-landslide evolution, especially to quantify the river erosion.

The volume change of the Tsaoling landslide event shortly after the Chi–Chi earthquake could be estimated based on a comparison between the photogrammetry-derived 40×40 m pre-landslide 1989 DEM and the 10×10 m post-landslide 2000 DEMs. According to this comparison, the scar volume was about 0.126 km$^3$; the deposit volume was about 0.150 km$^3$, which suggests a volume increase of 19% during the landslide event, as a consequence of the decompaction during the landsliding (Cheng, 2000). Moreover, this coseismic volume change provided a reference for studying volume change due to later river erosion.

For instance, the volume change indicated by the comparison between the 1989 DEM and the LiDAR-based 2002 DEM involves a mass transfer related to post-landslide. This transfer is clearly illustrated by the color scale in Fig. 6b, which highlights both the topographic deficit (upslope) and the topographic excess (downslope) in volume. Our calculation, based on the situation in April 2002 compared with that of 1989, revealed a scar volume, $V_1$ (Fig. 6a), of about 0.1246 km$^3$, by 0.0014 km$^3$ less than the coseismic value (0.126 km$^3$), and a deposit
volume, $V_2$, of about 0.1170 km$^3$, by 0.0330 km$^3$ smaller than the coseismic value (0.150 km$^3$). And comparison with coseismic volume also reveals that the downslope excess volume is larger than the upslope missing volume, which reflects significant decompaction during the 1999 Tsaoling landslide event. The difference in deposit volume, about 22%, probably reflects the amount of erosion that affected the landslide area 2.5 years after the 1999 event, that is, the removal of a volume of landslide debris by the river.

The difference in scar volume, about 1%, is within the range of technical uncertainties, and hence not significant. It is thus impossible to demonstrate that this difference really results from the local debris accumu-

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 6. Results of volume change calculations and relevant explanation, 1999 Tsaoling landslide area. (a) Presentation of the scar, deposit and eroded volumes ($V_1$, $V_2$ and $V_e$, respectively) in schematic cross section A–B, with location in (b); 1989 pre-landslide profile as dotted line, late 1999 post-landslide profile as dashed line, 2002 profile as solid line. Note that $V_1\delta = (V_2 + V_e)$, $\delta$ being the coefficient of syn-sliding decompaction. (b) Estimated volume change after the 1999 Tsaoling landslide, based on the difference between the 1989 40×40 m DEM and the 2002 LiDAR DEM. Scar and deposit areas indicated by purple–blue and orange–yellow domains respectively, areas without significant topographic change in green (see colour scale below the image). Note section A–B shown in (a); $V_1$ and $V_2$ stand for scar and deposit volumes, respectively. (c) Estimated volume change after the 1999 Tsaoling landslide, based on the difference between the 2000 10×10 m DEM (from aerial photographs) and the 2003 5×5 m DEM (from aerial photographs). Volume deficit and excess indicated by blue and yellow respectively, areas without significant topographic change in green (see colour scale below image). E, area with topographic deficit (river erosion); S, area with topographic excess (river sediment fill in landslide dam lake and debris accumulation zones below landslide escarpments); D, local topographic deficit from rock fall. Other explanations in text. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
than, but consistent with, that inferred from the coseismic volume changes (19%). The discrepancy may result from both the differences DEMs and the unknown relationship between pre-landslide and post-landslide erosion. Note that the landslide materials moving down the slope during the erosion stage had already undergone bulking during the coseismic landslide event.

6. Discussion and conclusions

In general, the erosion and denudation rates in Taiwan are influenced by the subtropical climate of the island, with four typhoons per year and precipitation of 2515 mm/year on average. They are also influenced by the frequent earthquakes and the rapid fluvial bedrock incision induced by active uplift (Li, 1976; Hovius et al., 2000; Dadson et al., 2004). The change in denudation rate resulted from the Chi–Chi earthquake requires investigations into other thousands of landslides occurred in Taiwan. It may not be possible to estimate the island-wide denudation rate using the data from the Tsaoling landslide alone. The Tsaoling landslide history provides case examples to evaluate the importance of geological factors in landslide occurrence and the influence of landslides on water resource planning and hazard assessment. Increasingly detailed investigations have been carried out since 1980, involving remote sensing and aerial photograph analyses, field surveys and in situ monitoring and laboratory tests (Hung et al., 2002). The construction of a replacement reservoir at the landslide site is discussed, but a possible reactivation of the Tsaoling landslide raises obvious concerns about such plans.

The high-resolution digital elevation model (DEM) derived from the recent airborne LiDAR data enabled us to map and analyse in more detail than before the destructive Tsaoling landslide triggered by 1999 Chi–Chi earthquake. Geological and morphological observations of the sliding surface and landslide deposits led to better describe the landslide and to quantify its volume. The LiDAR data allowed comprehensive investigation of the morphological features present along the sliding surface and in the deposit areas.

In the Chingshui downstream, we compared the aerial photographs before and after the Toraji typhoon in 2001. The downstream area shows increased river width, decreased river curvature, shifted and branched river course, and buried vegetations with deposited sand and soils. The area of flooded regions and fluvial channels has increased about 26.8% in the downstream before the Toraji typhoon.

In our study, two main difficulties were encountered: the absence of high resolution LiaDar data before the 1999 landslide and the dates of the different DEMS, which did not coincide with the main landslide event. For this reason, we had to carry out multiple comparisons and extrapolations in order to distinguish pre-landslide, syn-landslide and post-landslide volume changes. From the technical point of view, because the LiDAR data did not exist before the landslide, in these comparisons we had to combine the recent LiDAR data with earlier topographic data, which are numerous but generally less accurate. These earlier data were issued from aerial photographs, topographic maps and satellite images. We thus evaluated the volumetric changes before, during and after the 1999 Tsaoling catastrophic landslide event. First, regarding the landslide scar volume, our analysis provides a value (0.124–0.125 km$^3$, see Table 3), similar to the values obtained by other means: 0.125 km$^3$ from the analyses of SPOT images (Liao, 2000) and 0.126 km$^3$ from analyses of aerial photographs (Cheng, 2000). Because our comparisons between the 1989 DEM and the different post-landslide DEMs (2000, 2002 and 2003) yielded results that can be considered identical within the range of uncertainties, we infer that the amount of erosion that affected the scar area of the landslide from September 1999 to June 2003 was minor.

Regarding the deposit volume of the 1999 Tsaoling landslide, our conclusions are quite different. The comparison between the deposit volume calculated using the DEM of June 2003 and the corresponding coseismic volume, 0.150 km$^3$, as determined by Cheng (2000), indicates that 0.0405 km$^3$, i.e. about 37% of the initial deposit volume, has been removed by erosion after the landslide event. This difference is much larger than the uncertainties in volume determinations, and hence should be regarded as significant.

We also carried out a comparison between the 2003 and 2000 DEMs with 5 m and 10 m grid mesh sizes, respectively. Whereas the scar volume does not change significantly, the deposit volume decreases, giving a deficit of 0.0307 km$^3$. The comparison between the two volume decrease values suggests that erosion has removed a volume of 0.0098 km$^3$ during the first 10 months after the landslide, and 0.0307 km$^3$ during the following 3 years. The corresponding annual erosion rates are 0.012 km$^3$/year and 0.010 km$^3$/year. It would be quite logical to expect rapid erosion just after the dam emplacement and decreasing rate of erosion later. However, our period of post-landslide observation is only few years long, so that a significant decrease in erosion rate may be difficult to detect through a simple comparison between the 10 months after the earthquake and the following 3 years. It is to be noted that a major typhoon (the Toraji typhoon, July 2001), which hit the area during the investigation period, probably...
resulted in an increased in the average erosion rate. From these determinations we conclude that during the four years after the event an erosion rate of about 0.01 \( \text{km}^3/\text{year} \) in the deposit area of the 1999 landslide is a reasonable value. Since the deposit area is about 2.8 \( \text{km}^2 \), the above value would imply a denudation rate of about 3.6 m\( \text{year} \) on the average. However, post-1999 observations in the landslide area indicated the presence of a deep local incision of the disrupted rock mass in the river valley and moderate erosion in the neighbouring portions of the landslide mass, so that the average value given above has little significance.

Fig. 6c shows that the greatest amount of the erosion occurred in a narrow channel in the Western portion of the landslide deposit along the Chingshui River (deficit area “E” in Fig. 6c). The comparison between the two DEMs (2000 and 2003) in this particular a indicates that the incision is locally deeper than about 60 m, a surprising high value confirmed by field observations. Our analysis indicates that the main incision domain covers an area of 0.23 \( \text{km}^3 \). The corresponding eroded volume is approximately 0.01 \( \text{km}^3 \). According to these values the river erosion has been very active near the bottom of the valley, in the downstream portion of the dammed area, during the few years following the 1999 landslide event (with local rates up to about 20 \( \text{m}/\text{year} \)).

Not surprisingly, the comparison between the 2000 and 2003 DEMs also reveal areas with excess volume (“S” in Fig. 6c), indicating river sediment infill upstream of the 1999 landslide dam in the valley and debris accumulation below the landslide escarpments on the Tsaoling Mountain slope.

The calculated eroded volumes (about 0.01 \( \text{km}^3/\text{year} \) in the deposit area of the landslide, for a period of nearly 4 years) are very large with respect to the size of the affected area, giving very high local denudation rates. This shows how effective the landslide process may be, as an agent of rock mass disruption that facilitates subsequent erosion. We infer that the weak mechanical properties of the landslide mass significantly influenced the long-term denudation rate in the study area. Finally, the Tsaoling landslide history reveals that the recurrence probability of major landslide events is very high. This clearly deserves careful consideration as far as hazard mitigation is concerned.

**Acknowledgements**

The LiDAR raw data were provided by the Agricultural and Forestry Aerial Survey Institute of Taiwan. This research was supported by the Taiwan–France co-operation in Earth Sciences (French Institute in Taipei-IFT and National Science Council of Taiwan-NSC), and by the French CNRS (PICS Taiwan). We thank Mr. Yan I-Ho for his help, and Prof. Shih T. Y for supplying the information about airborne LiDAR data. Dr. David Keefer, an anonymous reviewer and guest editors of this special issue, Janusz Wasowski and Vincenzo Del Gaudio, provided constructive comments.

**References**


Chan, Y.C., Chen, Y.G., Shih,Y., Huang, C. submitted for publication.

Characterizing the Hsinchung active fault in northern Taiwan using airborne LiDAR data: detailed geomorphic features and their structural implications. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences.


