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VIVIAN HSIU-CHUAN LIAO* and KUN-LIN OU
Department of Bioenvironmental Systems Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan, Republic of China

(Received 6 October 2004; Accepted 13 January 2005)

Abstract—A green fluorescent protein (GFP)–based bacterial biosensor for the detection of bioavailable As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) was developed and characterized. The biosensor strain Escherichia coli DH5α (pVLAS1) was developed based on the expression of gfp under the control of the ars promoter and the arsR gene of Staphylococcus aureus plasmid pI258. Strain DH5α (pVLAS1) responded mainly to As(III), As(V), and Sb(III), with the lowest detectable concentrations being 0.4, 1, and 0.75 μM, respectively, during a 2-h exposure and 0.1 μM for all three metal ions with an 8-h induction period. To assess its applicability for analyzing environmentally relevant samples, the biosensor was field-tested on shallow-well groundwater for which contaminant levels were known. Our results demonstrate that the nonpathogenic bacterial biosensor developed in the present study is useful and applicable in determining the bioavailability of arsenic with high sensitivity in contaminated groundwater samples, and they suggest a potential for its inexpensive application in field-ready tests.
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INTRODUCTION

Concern is growing about the potential effects of environmental metal pollution on human health and the environment. With this awareness, a need for sensitive and effective methods that can efficiently monitor and determine the presence and amount of hazardous metals in the environment is being recognized. Among metals and metalloids, arsenic toxicity is considered to be an important issue of current public health. Arsenic, a known human carcinogen, is widely distributed in food, water, soil, and air. It is released into the environment from both natural and human activities [1]. It was ranked first on the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act priority list of hazardous substances in 2003 (http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/clist.html). Arsenic is introduced into water through the dissolution of minerals and ores. In addition, arsenic can accumulate in groundwater and well water in some areas as a result of erosion or leaching from local rocks. Moreover, industrial effluents, combustion of fossil fuels, and arsenic pesticides all contribute to arsenic contamination in freshwater systems [1]. Exposure to arsenic in drinking water represents a significant health problem for people around the world. Epidemiologic studies in Taiwan, Chile, Bangladesh, and India have shown that arsenic exposure is associated with skin, liver, lung, bladder, and other cancers [2–4].

Several different types of organic and inorganic arsenic exist. The inorganic arsenics, including arsenite (As(III)) and arsenate (As(V)), can be methylated to form monomethylarsonic acid and dimethylarsinic acid in vivo [5]. The toxicological effects of arsenic highly depend on its oxidation state, chemical composition, and bioavailability [6]. The trivalent form of arsenic appears to be the most toxic, regardless of its being inorganic or organic form [7].

In contrast to arsenic, antimony is less widely distributed in the environment. Nevertheless, it was found to be an environmental contaminant because of anthropogenic activities. Mining and smelting operations are the greatest emission source of antimony into the environment [8]. Additionally, antimony is used in semiconductors for making infrared detectors, diodes, and Hall-effect devices [9]. Antimony trioxide is used primarily as a flame-proof retardant of textiles, plastics, paint pigments, adhesives, and paper [9]. Antimony potassium tartrate has been used worldwide as an antishistosomal drug. Pentavalent antimony compounds are used widely in the treatment of leishmaniasis [10].

Antimony has no known biological function, and like arsenic, it is toxic. Little work has been done regarding the toxicology of antimony, because it is less widely present in the environment. Therefore, little information about antimony is available for evaluating its toxicology and accurately determining its impact on the environment and human health. As with arsenic, antimony bioavailability and toxicity are dependent on speciation [11,12].

Certain microorganisms have evolved and contain the necessary genetic components that confer a variety of resistance mechanisms that allow them to survive and grow in environments containing levels of metals that would be toxic to most organisms. Resistance to As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) is found in both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria [13]. High-level resistance to arsenic is conferred by the ars operon. Bacterial ars systems confer arsenic resistance primarily by encoding a specific efflux pump that exports As(III) from the cytoplasm, thus lowering the intracellular concentration of the toxic arsenic [14,15]. The arsenic resistance ars operon in Escherichia coli has both plasmid [16] and chromosomal [17] determinants. The well-characterized plasmid-borne ars op-
eron of *E. coli* is composed of two regulatory genes (*arsR* and *arsD*) and three structural genes (*arsA*, *arsB*, and *arsC*) [18,19]. In contrast, the *ars* operon in plasmid p1258 of *Staphylococcus aureus* contains only one regulatory gene, *arsR*, as well as two structural genes, *arsB* and *arsC* [20]. In the absence of As(III), the *arsR* repressor binds to its operator/promoter site within the operon and, therefore, prevents the expression of the structural genes [20]. In the presence of As(III), it interacts with the repressor, leading to a conformational change that results in the dissociation of *arsR* repressor from its operator/promoter site [20]. Consequently, the downstream *ars* genes express, and detoxification occurs. Because of the precise regulation of the resistance gene expression, the regulatory element can then be coupled to a reporter gene through a gene fusion that, upon expression, produces a readily measurable signal in response to toxic metals and metalloids. Several bacterial biosensors based on transcriptional fusions between arsenic-inducible promoters and reporter systems have been described [21–24]. These biosensing systems are based mostly on the resistance mechanism that is encoded by the *ars* operon found on the *E. coli* plasmid R773 [21–23]. In contrast, Tauriainen et al. [24] employed a different regulatory unit that was derived from the *ars* operon of plasmid p1258 from *S. aureus*. Various reporter genes, such as *lacZ*, *luxAB*, and *lac*, were used in the transcriptional fusion constructs in their studies [21–24]. Although the colorimetric enzyme assay and bioluminescence have been very successful as a reporter for arsenic detection in their studies, these detection methods require addition of exogenous substrates or cofactors for signal production. The gene for green fluorescent protein (GFP) from the jellyfish *Aequoria victoria* [25] is increasingly used as a reporter gene, although it has not been used extensively as a reporter for measuring biologically relevant concentrations of pollutants. Green fluorescent protein fluorescence is stable and can be monitored noninvasively in living cells. Green fluorescent protein also is an attractive reporter system, because it is easy to use and does not require any exogenous substrates or cofactors. The use of GFP as a reporter protein in the bacterial biosensing system therefore can obviate centrifugation, cell lysis, pH adjustment, and subsequently, kinetic enzyme activity measurements. Recently, Stocker et al. [23] developed a set of sensors that employed the regulatory units derived from the *ars* operon of *E. coli* plasmid R773 by fusing three reporter genes: *lacZ*, *luxAB*, or *gfp*. In their study, the GFP fluorescence levels in single cells were determined by epifluorescence microscopy, a procedure that was relatively time-consuming and might have hindered the biosensors from rapid detection and quantitation of arsenic.

In the present study, we describe the construction of a nonpathogenic *E. coli* whole-cell biosensor for the detection of bioavailable As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) by employing red-shifted GFP (rs-GFP) as a reporter protein. The sensor plasmid is based on the expression of rs-GFP under the control of the *ars* promoter and the *arsR* gene of the *S. aureus* plasmid p1258 [20]. In the absence of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III), the expression of *gfp* gene is repressed. In the designed sensor plasmid, *arsR* regulates the expression of *gfp* gene in a manner that is dependent on the concentration of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) in the sample. Therefore, the fluorescence emitted by GFP is correlated to the concentration of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) in the samples. Moreover, the levels of GFP fluorescence can be measured both rapidly and easily using fluorometry for assessing the bioavailability of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III). Finally, although the biosensors described by others have been used successfully to detect arsenic, most of these biosensors often are not applied outside the research laboratory. Therefore, the feasibility of the bacterial biosensor for measuring bioavailable arsenic in environmental samples has not been well tested. To demonstrate the usability of the biosensor, we describe the use of the sensor to measure bioavailable concentrations of arsenic in arsenic-contaminated groundwater samples obtained from the blackfoot disease region in Taiwan. The feasibility of using such a strain to analyze the bioavailability of pollutants in the environment is also discussed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Sodium m-arsenite, sodium arsenate, cadmium chloride, cupric sulfate, cobalt chloride, mercuric chloride, manganese chloride, nickel chloride, ferrous sulfate, zinc chloride, sodium selenite, sodium selenate, potassium chromate, potassium permanganate, and potassium hexahydroxoantimonate were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Lead nitrate and potassium antimony l-tartrate hydrate were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade or better. All media and buffer solutions were prepared using ionized (Barnstead, Dubuque, IA, USA) distilled water. Restriction endonucleases and T4 DNA ligase were supplied from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA, USA). The DNA polymerase used in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany).

**Construction of biosensor plasmid**

Transcriptional fusions were constructed by directional cloning of the PCR-amplified promoter region DNA from plasmid p1258 into the multiple cloning site of the promoterless *rs*-GFP plasmid pPROBE-NT” [26]. Plasmid p1258 isolated from *S. aureus* (NCTC 50581; National Collection of Type Cultures, Colindale, London, UK) was used as a template for PCR to generate the DNA fragment consisting of the promoter/operator of the *arsR* operon and *arsR* gene. The PCR primers were designed with either EcoRI (forward primer) or BamHI (reverse primer) recognition sequence extensions (underlined). Sequences of PCR primers were as follows: Forward, 5'-CCGGATCTTCAAAAAACATAGACAATATCT-3' and reverse, 5'-CGCCGATCCCAACAGTCACCTGTGATT-3'. The size of the target amplicon was 380 base pairs. The DNA amplification was carried out in an automated thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with a 5-min denaturation step at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 90 s. After 30 cycles, a final extension was performed at 72°C for 15 min followed by a 4°C incubation. The amplified PCR product was purified using a QiAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). The purified PCR-amplified DNA fragment was digested with EcoRI and BamHI and was purified from an agarose gel by QIAIIE gel extraction kit (Qiagen). Subsequently, the fragment was cloned into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pPROBE-NT”. The resulting recombinant plasmid, pVLAS1 (Fig. 1), was transformed into *E. coli* DH5α by the CaCl₂ competent cell method.

**Cultivation of bacteria and induction of GFP fluorescence by effectors**

A single colony of *E. coli* harboring pVLAS1 was grown overnight in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with
50 μg/ml of kanamycin at 37°C. The overnight culture was diluted 100-fold in fresh LB medium supplemented with 50 μg/ml of kanamycin and incubated at 37°C in an orbital shaker at 225 rpm until the optical density at 600 nm reached 0.6. Various concentrations of As(III), As(V), or Sb(III) were added to 20-ml aliquots of bacterial cultures. Optical density of cultures at 600 nm and the fluorescent intensity produced by the bacteria were measured. At least three independent experiments were performed for each effector.

Measurement of GFP fluorescence in culture

The transcriptional activity of the biosensor was estimated by the measurement of the GFP fluorescence of cells grown in LB medium containing a range of different metal ions. Cell growth was monitored by the measurement of optical density at 600 nm with a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf). The fluorescence of GFP-producing cells that were grown in culture was measured using a VersaFluor Fluorometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The excitation wavelength of the fluorometer was set at 490/10 nm, and the emission wavelength was set at 510/10 nm. Escherichia coli DH5α carrying pPROBE-NT without the promoter/operator of the arsR operon and arsR gene was used as the baseline sample to zero the instrument. Raw fluorescence values were expressed in the instrument’s arbitrary relative fluorescent units. The specific fluorescence intensity (SFI) is defined as the raw fluorescence intensity expressed in relative fluorescent units divided by the optical density at 600 nm measured at each time point. At least triplicate measurements were obtained for each sample.

Microscopic detection of biosensors

The fluorescence of the bacterial cells cultured in LB medium containing metal ions was visualized by analysis of digital images captured with a Leica model DMI8/F/E microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped for epifluorescence. The GFP fluorescence was detected with a GFP En-
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dow filter set (Chroma Technology, Brattleboro, VT, USA) fitted with excitation (480 ± 20 nm) and emission (510 ± 20 nm).

Selectivity studies

The induction of the sensing system by a variety of metal ions, including As(III), As(V), Sb(III), Sb(V), Cd(II), Co(II), Cu(II), Fe(II), Hg(II), Mn(II), Mn(VII), Ni(II), Pb(II), Sn(II), Zn(II), Cr(VI), Se(IV), and Se(VI), was studied by measuring the green fluorescence produced. The response of the bacterial biosensor to the mixtures of metal ions also was investigated. For each metal ion, 1 μM was added to bacterial sensor culture at a cell density of 0.6 optical density at 600 nm. The cells were incubated for 2 h at 37°C, and then the SFI was measured as described above. At least three independent experiments were performed for each kind of metal-ion and mixture-of-metal-ions assays.

Time-dependent induction of green fluorescence with effectors

A metal–bacteria mixture was obtained using the protocol described above. The mixture was incubated in an orbital shaker at 225 rpm at 37°C. Optical density at 600 nm and fluorescence were measured at the beginning of and after the desired incubation period. At least three independent experiments were performed for each effector.

Testing of contaminated groundwater

Groundwater samples were collected from wells YL6 and YL7, which are known to have arsenic contamination, in Yun-Lin County, Taiwan, Republic of China, in July 2003. The well water was tested by adding 500 μl of groundwater sample to 250 μl of 6× concentrate of LB medium, 10 μl of LB medium, and 740 μl of DH5α cells harboring the pVLAS1 plasmid in LB medium at a cell density of 0.6 optical density at 600 nm. The cells were incubated for 2 h at 37°C, and then the SFI was measured using the procedures described above. Samples containing known concentrations of As(III) in place of the 10-μl portion of LB medium were tested in parallel with 500 μl of deionized, distilled laboratory water in place of well water to generate a standard curve. The standard curve was derived from linear regression of the average fluorescence value at each particular As(III) concentration, and then the concentrations of As(III) in the groundwater samples were calculated from the standard curve. To examine possible inhibitory effects on fluorescence resulting from chemicals other than the effector compounds in the groundwater sample, 4 μM As(III) was added to the groundwater sample, and the green fluorescence emission was compared to that for a positive control containing the same concentration of As(III) in deionized water.

Data analysis

The experiments were performed at least three times for error analyses. The data were used to calculate the standard deviations, which are represented by error bars in the figures. Student’s t test analysis at the α = 0.05 level was performed to check results for significance. Standard curve fits were done by linear regression analysis.

RESULTS

Development of the bacterial biosensor

The ars promoter and the arsR gene of S. aureus plasmid pI258 were cloned into the broad-host-range vector pPROBE-
NT’ [26] upstream from the gfp gene, creating a P<sub>ars</sub>-gfp transcriptional fusion that was designated pVLAS1, as shown in Figure 1. The P<sub>ars</sub>-gfp fusion was flanked by T1 transcriptional terminators from the E. coli rntI operon to reduce the background level of expression caused by external transcription, thereby increasing the sensitivity of the vector [26,27]. In the presence of an effector, recombinant plasmid pVLAS1 in strain DH5α resulted in a statistically significant increase in the fluorescence intensity relative to that of cells with no-effector control.

Selectivity of biosensor to metal ions

The selectivity of the bacterial biosensor to metal ions was evaluated. The bacterial cells harboring pVLAS1 plasmid were treated with 1 μM of various metal ions for 2 h before fluorescence measurements, as described in Materials and Methods. The levels of fluorescence of the sensing system subjected to these metal ions also are plotted in Figure 2. In our experimental treatments, a positive response was observed for As(III), As(V), and Sb(III). No statistically significant change in green fluorescence was observed for Sb(V), Cu(II), Cd(II), Co(II), Cu(II), Fe(II), Hg(II), Mn(II), Mn(VII), Ni(II), Pb(II), Sn(II), Zn(II), Cr(VI), Se(VI), and Se(VI) compared to the control, as shown in Figure 2. The response of biosensor to metal ions also was examined by fluorescence microscopy. Results of fluorescence microscopy demonstrated that noticeable GFP expression was observed in the presence of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) compared to that of the control (Fig. 3). The level of green fluorescence for cells treated with other metal ions other than the aforementioned metal ions was not significantly different from that of control under microscopic examination.

Metal species in the environment often exist as mixtures. Therefore, the response of the bacterial biosensing system to the mixtures of the present metals was investigated. To examine this potentially complex situation, we performed pairwise metal assays with the biosensor. For each metal ion, 1 μM was combined in the same treatment. Additionally, the response from mixtures of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) also was examined. As shown in Figure 2, the bacterial biosensor appeared to have a synergistic response to As(III) and As(V), as they coexisted in the test solution. An additive response was observed by the combinations of As(III) and Sb(III), As(V) and Sb(III), and As(III) and As(V) and Sb(III) (Fig. 2). The level of green fluorescence for cells treated with metal combinations other than the aforementioned combinations was not significantly different from that of control or effector alone (data not shown).

Time-dependent induction of green fluorescence with effectors

The induction of the bacterial sensor in response to As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) ion was determined by incubating the cells with metal ions for various time intervals, as described in Materials and Methods. The induction of green fluorescence of the DH5α (pVLAS1) strain toward the exposure of these metal ions showed a time dependence (Fig. 4). As shown in Figure 4, the green fluorescence emitted by the bacteria increased as the incubation times with the metal ions increased. The background fluorescence exhibited by the untreated biosensors did not have any statistically significant fluorescent change during the incubation period. The kinetic profile of the biosensor response showed that during the first 5 to 6 h of incubation, the SFI continuously increased from the background value (Fig. 4).

Dose-dependent induction of green fluorescence with effectors

The dose–response relationship of DH5α cells harboring the pVLAS1 plasmid was examined for the effectors As(III), As(V), and Sb(III), as described in Materials and Methods. The response of DH5α cells harboring the pVLAS1 plasmid toward As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) was time dependent, as described above. A 2-h induction time was chosen to plot dose–response curves, because it yielded a sufficiently high fluorescent signal while maintaining a relatively short assay...
time. Plots of the dose–response relationships of the biosensor to these effectors as measured with a fluorometer are shown in Figure 5. The intensity of fluorescent signal emitted increased with the concentration of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III). At concentrations lower than the detection limit of an effector, binding of arsR to the ars O/P sequence repressed transcription and translation of rs-GFP. For As(III), 0.4 μM As(III) was necessary to induce a statistically significant change (p < 0.05) of gfp expression. The intensity of GFP increased with increasing amount of As(III) to a concentration of 10 μM. When the As(III) concentration increased to levels greater than 25 μM, the fluorescence started to decrease. This might be caused by the toxicity of As(III) ions to the bacterial cells. For As(V), 1.0 μM was necessary to induce a statistically significant change (p < 0.05) of gfp expression, but 25 μM caused a maximum gfp induction. For Sb(III), the lowest concentration required to induce a statistically significant change (p < 0.05) of gfp expression was 0.75 μM. At 8 μM Sb(III), gfp expression was induced to a maximal level. Toxic effects were noted for As(V) and Sb(III) at concentrations greater than 75 and 10 μM, respectively. The sensitivity of the biosensing system was contingent on the exposure time of the metals used for inducing the cells. When the induction time was increased to 8 h, the lowest detection limit for As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) was 0.1 μM.

Testing of contaminated groundwater with the biosensor

To demonstrate the utility of this biosensor in measuring actual environmental contamination, groundwater samples with a known contaminant concentration were examined, and the results of the biosensor assays were compared to these known concentrations. Groundwater was obtained from contaminated wells in the blackfoot disease region of Yun-Lin County. These wells have been actively monitored for arsenic pollution by the Industrial Development Bureau of Ministry of Economic Affairs (MOEA) for the past 12 years. Two particularly shallow wells, denoted as YL6 and YL7, respectively, have been reported to be contaminated principally with arsenic. Groundwater from wells YL6 and YL7 was tested by using the DH5α (pVLAS1) biosensor. The standard curve generated by this test is shown in Figure 6, and the resulting equation that was used to calculate the As(III) equivalent concentrations of the well water is shown. Water from YL6 and YL7 gave a SFI of 1,252 ± 364 (mean ± standard deviation, n = 3) and 2,508 ± 99, respectively. By calculating the contaminant concentrations from the standard curve, 0.73 ± 0.22 μM for YL6 and...
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**Table 1.** Comparison of contamination concentrations from the groundwater of YL6 and YL7 well in Yun-Lin County, Taiwan, Republic of China

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Concentration (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOEA*</td>
<td>YL6</td>
<td>Total arsenic</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YL7</td>
<td>Total arsenic</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>YL6 As(III) equivalent</td>
<td>165 ± 49.50 (2.20 ± 0.66 μM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YL7 As(III) equivalent</td>
<td>336 ± 13.50 (4.48 ± 0.18 μM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MOEA = Ministry of Economic Affairs.

and 1.49 ± 0.06 μM for YL7 were obtained. Taking the dilution factor of the assay into account (see Materials and Methods), the final concentrations of contaminants in the groundwater were 2.20 ± 0.66 μM for YL6 and 4.48 ± 0.18 μM for YL7. Additionally, possible inhibitory effects that might be caused by chemicals other than the effector compounds in the groundwater sample also were assessed by spiking the sample with a known concentration of As(III) (4 μM). Subsequently, the total SFI was measured and then compared to that for a positive control containing the same concentration in deionized water. No inhibitory effect was detected. Therefore, it is unlikely that the constituents other than the effector compounds in the groundwater samples interfered with GFP fluorescence.

Table 1 compares the results of YL6 and YL7 water testing conducted by the MOEA with the biosensor assay. Same batches of the groundwater samples were analyzed by the biosensor assay and the MOEA. The values obtained with the biosensor compare well to the data obtained by the MOEA.

**DISCUSSION**

**Development of arsenic GFP-based bacterial biosensor**

In the present study, we describe the construction and testing of a GFP whole-cell biosensor for the measurement of bioavailable arsenic from contaminated groundwater. The sensor plasmid, designated as pVLAS1, is based on the expression of the gfp gene under the control of the ars promoter and the arsR gene of *S. aureus* plasmid pL258. Several bacterial biosensors for arsenic have been developed using various reporter genes, such as lacZ, luxAB, and luc [21–24]. Although the colorimetric enzyme assay and bioluminescence have been very successful as a reporter for arsenic detection, these methods require the addition of exogenous substrates or cofactors. In addition, these assays require additional experimental steps before measuring signals. The gene for GFP from the jellyfish *Aequoria victoria* [25] is used increasingly as a reporter gene, although it has not been used extensively as a reporter for measuring biologically relevant concentrations of pollutants. The use of gfp as a reporter gene gives this biosensor the advantages associated with GFP, such as the ability to use fluorescence without the need for exogenous enzymes, substrates, or cofactors and the ability to use fluorometry and fluorescence microscopy to monitor gene expression for assessing the bioavailability of the metal, as well as providing a method that can be monitored noninvasively in living cells. Moreover, the use of GFP as a reporter protein in the bacterial biosensing system alleviates the need for extra experimental steps, such as centrifugation, cell lysis, pH adjustment, and subsequently, kinetic enzyme activity measurements. However, on the other hand, one of the possible drawbacks with measuring GFP in whole cells as it is being produced is that its chromophore forms slowly in the presence of molecular oxygen. As a consequence, any direct measurement is probably an estimate of the total GFP present, because a portion of the GFP in whole cells might not yet have become fluorescent.

**Characterization of DH5α (pVLAS1) biosensor**

Induction patterns of DH5α (pVLAS1) differ slightly from those observed in other reports. Tauriainen et al. [24] tested the sensor plasmid with different host strains. They reported that the biosensor was strongly induced by As(III), Sb(III), and Cd(II) and only slightly induced by As(V) in both *S. aureus* RN4220 and *Bacillus subtilis* BR151. In contrast, As(III), Sb(III), Cd(II), and As(V) were not strong inducers using *E. coli* MC1061 as host strain in their studies. Robust induction of fluorescence was observed for As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) from our studies, whereas Cd(II) was not an effective inducer under our experimental conditions. Because the bacterial sensor cells contain a chromosomally encoded arsenate reductase [28], they also react to As(V). The relative high sensitivity of DH5α (pVLAS1) for As(V) may result from the higher expression of chromosomal arsenate reductase enzyme of *E. coli* [17]. Additionally, gfp might be a more sensitive reporter gene than luc, which was used in the study of by Tauriainen et al. The pattern of induction of DH5α (pVLAS1) was similar to those of other biosensor strains, which were developed from plasmid R773 in *E. coli* [21–23].

In addition to use of the host strains, it has been reported that several factors might influence the expression of biosensors. These include incubation time, medium composition, growth phase of harvested bacteria, and amount of bacteria per measurement [24]. In our studies, the sensitivity of the biosensor was not greatly affected by the growth phase of harvested bacteria or by the medium composition (data not shown). The effect of the induction time was investigated by incubating the bacteria with As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) for various time periods. The responses of the bacterial sensor cells to As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) were time dependent. With an increase in the induction time, the fluorescent intensity also increased. Moreover, the sensitivity of the system was contingent on the time used for inducing the cells. For example, for an induction period of 6 h, the bacteria could sense As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) at 0.1 μM. When the induction period was reduced, we observed a decrease in the detection limits. Therefore, if very sensitive detection is needed, it could be achieved by employing longer incubation times. For assay development, a 2-h induction period was chosen, because the green fluorescent signal obtained during this time period was sufficiently high. Moreover, a 2-h incubation also allows complete formation of the GFP fluorophore.

The response of the bacterial sensing system toward metal ions was determined by incubating cells with metal ions for various concentrations before the assay, and subsequent dose–response curves were generated. The fluorescent intensity increased with increasing concentrations of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) ions to a certain level. With concentrations lower than the detection limits, binding of arsR to the ars O/P sequence repressed transcription and translation of rs-GFP. Addition of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) ions removed the repression of rs-GFP in the cells.

The minimum concentration of the effector required for gfp expression varies from one effector to another. For As(III), the
minimum concentration required for As(III) detection obtained here was on the same order of sensitivity level as those of the similar biosensor systems [21,23,24]. Our results showed that the lowest detectable concentrations for As(III) with the DH5α (pVLAS1) were 0.4 and 0.1 μM with 2- and 8-h exposure, respectively. At higher As(III) concentrations, our results showed a statistically significant (p < 0.05) increase in fluorescent intensity, but at low concentration (<0.4 μM for 2 h and <0.1 μM for 8 h), the fluorescent intensity was not statistically different (p > 0.05) from that of the As(III) negative control. For As(V), the detection limits obtained here are one to three orders of magnitude lower than those reported previously in other cell-based sensing protocols for this metal ion [21,24]. Our results showed that the minimum concentration required for As(V) detection was 1 and 0.1 μM with a 2- and 8-h induction period, respectively. The response obtained for Sb(III) ions was similar to those obtained for As(III) and As(V), and the detection limit for Sb(III) was 0.75 and 0.1 μM with a 2- and 8-h induction period, respectively. The comparison of bacterial biosensors responded to As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) is shown in Table 2. Several factors influence the sensitivity of the biosensor for the detection of these effectors. These include the exposure time, the ars plasmid used, the analytical detection method employed, the reporter genes used, and the choice of bacterial strains. Moreover, methods used to define a detection limit for detecting effectors vary among different researchers, which may contribute to slightly different values for the minimum detection limit.

Field testing environmental groundwater samples using a DH5α (pVLAS1) biosensor approach

The amount of arsenic contamination measured by the MOEA using atomic absorption spectrophotometry was compared to those measured using the biosensor. For both wells, YL6 and YL7, the groundwater concentrations of As(III) equivalent estimated by the biosensor assay compared closely to those found in the MOEA report. The measured amount of As(III) equivalent by the biosensor assay was slightly lower than the arsenic concentration reported by MOEA. This finding is not unexpected, because the MOEA report measured the amount of total arsenic instead of As(III) concentration. Additionally, in contrast to the conventional chemicals analysis of total arsenic, the biosensor described here primarily measured the bioavailable arsenic. Furthermore, adsorption of arsenic to small particulates within groundwater samples may decrease the bioavailability of arsenic to the biosensor. Although the use of biosensor accurately measured the bioavailable arsenic in the contaminated groundwater in the present study, it was not able to distinguish the arsenic species within the groundwater samples. However, As(III) is the major arsenic species of groundwater in shallow wells, including YL6 and YL7, in the blackfoot disease region of Yun-Lin County (Chen-Wuig Liu, Department of Bioenvironmental Systems Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taiwan, Republic of China, personal communication). Therefore, we are able to estimate the amounts of arsenic, mostly as As(III), from groundwater obtained from the shallow-wells YL6 and YL7 by using the derived standard curve of As(III).

In summary, we describe the construction, laboratory characterization, and environmental sample testing of a specific biosensor for the detection of As(III), As(V), and Sb(III). Moreover, the present study demonstrates that the nonpathogenic DH5α (pVLAS1) is useful and applicable in determining the bioavailability of arsenic with high sensitivity in contaminated groundwater samples. However, one potential limitation...
with this method for the testing of environmental samples is that even though the sensitivities of the dose–response curve for As(III), As(V), and Sb(III) are different, we cannot distinguish between these metals using this system. Moreover, in contaminated sites, many different chemicals other than the inducer compounds could be toxic to or interact with *E. coli*, thereby causing inhibitory effects. However, it is impossible to determine the effect of these chemicals, even if the chemicals have been identified by chemical analysis because of the numerous potential combinations of these chemicals. Furthermore, components of the environmental sample, such as high salt and high pH values, also could inhibit the *gfp* expression of the biosensor. Possible inhibitory effects can be addressed by adding a known amount of an optimal inducer (in this case, As(III)) to the unknown samples and then measuring induction differences. Despite these limitations, the bacterial biosensor based on pVLAS1-GFP represents a rapid, easy to perform, and inexpensive alternative to the conventional atomic absorption spectroscopic method for arsenic detection and measurement. Additionally, because field samples often contain unidentified components, the biosensor can be useful in the screening of unknown contaminants in environmental samples because of its specific selectivity toward As(III), As(V), and Sb(III). Moreover, the GFP-based bacterial biosensor can be useful for environmental analysis, providing information about the bioavailability of metals, which is the most relevant information when assessing the environmental and/or potential biological impact of a contaminant. It also can be useful for studying environmental conditions, which can influence metal bioavailability. Unfortunately, the issue of bioavailability is not easily addressed by the traditional analytical methods. Results from chemical analysis are very precise, but the bioavailability of the metals is not taken into account. This is a particular concern with respect to toxic metals. Therefore, the bacterial biosensors complement rather than replace traditional analytical methods, providing critical data that can be useful in risk assessment and helpful in planning and evaluation of the remediation needs of arsenic-contaminated sites.
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