Spectroscopy, Photophysical Properties and Crystal Structures of Luminescent Diplatinum(II) Complexes of Bis(diphenylphosphino)methane†

Hon-Kay Yip,^a Chi-Ming Che^{*a} and Shie-Ming Peng^b

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

^b Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

The reactions of quinoline (quin), 1-methylimidazole (mim), 4-*tert*-butylpyridine (4Bu^t-py) and 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine (ttmpy) with [Pt₂(dppm)₂Cl₂] in methanol yielded [Pt₂(dppm)(quin)]²⁺, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)]²⁺, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(4Bu^t-py)]²⁺ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(ttmpy)Cl]⁺, respectively. The complexes were isolated as either hexafluorophosphate or perchlorate salts. The crystallographically measured intra-molecular Pt–Pt distances are 2.615(1), 2.580(1) and 2.627(2) Å for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)]²⁺, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)]²⁺ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(ttmpy)Cl]⁺ respectively, indicating the presence of a single Pt–Pt bond. The ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra of the complexes have been recorded. Solution UV/VIS spectra of the four new complexes as well as those of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]₂ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(py)₂][PF₆]₂ (py = pyridine) were recorded. The lowest-energy absorption band is assigned to a ¹(d_{xy} → d_{xy}) transition. All of the diplatinum(II) complexes display solid-state luminescence at room temperature and at 77 K with long emission lifetimes.

Dinuclear complexes containing metal–metal bonds continue to play a crucial role in the development of inorganic and organometallic photochemistry. Much attention has been paid to the d⁷–d⁷ systems because of their novel photochemistry, spectroscopic properties and structural features. For example, the photodissociation of the well celebrated unbridged [Mn₂(CO)₁₀]¹ has been studied and the electronic absorption and Raman spectroscopy of [Rh^{II}₂(O₂CR)₄L₂]² and [Pt^{III}₂(H₂P₂O₅)₄L₂]³ (L = axial ligand) have been extensively investigated. The study of the luminescent behaviour of the d⁷–d⁷ systems was previously hindered by the fact that the efficient photodissociation process arising from the d_{xy} → d_{xy} transition renders unbridged dimers like [Mn₂(CO)₁₀] or [Re₂(CO)₁₀]⁴ non-emissive. However, Stiegman *et al.*⁵ have suggested the use of a bridging ligand like H₂P₂O₅²⁻ or dppm [bis(diphenylphosphino)methane] to prevent the dissociation and these workers have studied the luminescent properties of [Pt₂(H₂P₂O₅)₄L₂]⁴⁻ (L = Cl, Br, SCN or pyridine). Subsequent studies have been made on the electronic absorption and emission spectroscopy of other d⁷–d⁷ systems like [Re₂(dmpm)₂(CO)₆]⁶ [dmpm = bis(dimethylphosphino)methane], [Pt₂(HPG₄)₄L₂]ⁿ⁻ and [Rh₂{NMe(PF₃)₂Cl₂}]⁸ and of the d⁷–d⁹ complex [Rh₂{NMe(PF₃)₂Cl₂(PF₃)}]⁸. Another class of dinuclear metal complexes containing a single metal–metal bond is the d⁹–d⁹ system. Dinuclear Pt^I bridged by dppm is the prototype of such complexes,⁹ which are well known catalysts for the activation of small molecules. It is therefore interesting to investigate the spectroscopic properties of this class of dinuclear complexes. Herein we describe the syntheses, structural characterization, electronic absorption and emission spectroscopy of several dinuclear complexes [Pt₂(dppm)₂X(Y)]ⁿ⁺ [n = 2, X = Y = PPh₃, mim(1-methylimidazole), quin(quinoline), py(pyridine) or 4Bu^t-py(4-*tert*-butylpyridine); n = 1, X = ttmpy(2,4,6-trimethylpyridine), Y = Cl].

Experimental

Synthesis of Metal Complexes.—The complexes [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]₂ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(py)₂][PF₆]₂ were prepared according to the published method.¹⁰ A similar method¹⁰ was also employed for the synthesis of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂]₂X₂ (X = ClO₄ or PF₆), [Pt₂(dppm)₂(4Bu^t-py)₂][PF₆]₂ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(ttmpy)Cl]PF₆. These were prepared by adding an excess of the axial nitrogen base ligand to a suspension of [Pt₂(dppm)₂Cl₂] in methanol; the mixture was stirred until a clear solution was obtained. The solution was then filtered and the complex cation precipitated by adding either NH₄PF₆ or LiClO₄ to the filtrate. Adding stoichiometric or excess amounts of ttmpy gave the complex [Pt₂(dppm)₂(ttmpy)Cl]⁺ as the only isolable product (yield 65–72%) {Found: C, 51.5; H, 3.6. Calc. for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]₂: C, 52.3; H, 3.8. Found: C, 44.3; H, 3.2; N, 1.8. Calc. for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(py)₂][PF₆]₂: C, 44.8; H, 3.4; N, 1.7. Found: C, 46.2; H, 3.2; N, 1.4. Calc. for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂·2CH₂Cl₂: C, 46.2; H, 3.4; N, 1.55. Found: C, 47.2; H, 3.8; N, 1.6. Calc. for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(4Bu^t-py)₂][PF₆]₂: C, 47.5; H, 4.1; N, 1.6. Found: C, 42.6; H, 3.3; N, 3.5. Calc. for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂][PF₆]₂: C, 43.2; H, 3.5; N, 3.5. Found: C, 47.8; H, 3.8; N, 1.0. Calc. for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(ttmpy)Cl]PF₆·Me₂CO: C, 48.2; H, 4.0; N, 0.9%}.

Spectroscopic Measurements.—Electronic absorption spectra were recorded on a Milton Roy Spectronic 3000 array spectrometer, emission spectra at room temperature and 77 K on a Spex Fluorolog-2 spectrofluorometer. The emission lifetimes were measured by using a Spectra-Physics DCR-3 Nd:YAG pulsed laser with signal acquired by a Tektronix 2430 instrument. The ³¹P and ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a 270 MHz JEOL JMN-GSX 270 spectrometer.

X-Ray Structure Determination.—Crystals of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(ttmpy)Cl]PF₆ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂][ClO₄]₂ were obtained by vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into dichloromethane, acetone and acetonitrile solution of the complexes, respectively.

Details of crystal parameters, data collection, and structure

† Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1993, Issue 1, pp. xxiii–xxviii.

Table 1 Crystallographic details for [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂·2CH₂Cl₂ **1**, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)Cl]PF₆·Me₂CO **2** and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂][ClO₄]₂·MeCN **3**

Compound	1	2	3
Empirical formula	C ₇₀ H ₆₂ Cl ₄ F ₁₂ N ₂ P ₆ Pt ₂	C ₆₁ H ₆₁ ClF ₆ NOP ₅ Pt ₂	C ₆₀ H ₅₉ Cl ₂ N ₅ O ₈ P ₄ Pt ₂
<i>M</i>	1877.4	1518.8	1563.3
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	<i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i>	<i>Pca</i> 2 ₁
<i>a</i> /Å	12.39(1)	16.552(2)	22.860(9)
<i>b</i> /Å	14.308(5)	15.940(8)	13.041(8)
<i>c</i> /Å	21.66(1)	22.614(4)	20.651(7)
α /°	76.64(3)		
β /°	77.71(5)	99.47(1)	
γ /°	75.83(4)		
<i>U</i> /Å ³	3573(3)	5885(3)	6157(5)
<i>Z</i>	2	4	4
<i>D</i> _c /Mg m ⁻³	1.746	1.715	1.687
μ /cm ⁻¹	41.4	50.4	24.1
<i>F</i> (000)	1836	2976	2932
Crystal dimensions/mm	0.20 × 0.30 × 0.40	0.05 × 0.10 × 0.40	0.25 × 0.30 × 0.40
Collection range, 2 θ _{max} /°	45 (± <i>hk</i> ± <i>l</i>)	45 (<i>hk</i> ± <i>l</i>)	48 (<i>hkl</i>)
Scan speed/° min ⁻¹	1.65–8.24	1.65–8.24	1.65–8.24
Scan width/°	0.75 + 0.35 tan θ	0.75 + 0.35 tan θ	0.8 + 0.35 tan θ
Independent reflections	9328	7669	4964
Observed reflections, [<i>I</i> > 2 σ (<i>I</i>), <i>m</i>	6717	4261	3334
No. of parameters, <i>p</i>	526	694	729
<i>R</i> ^a (all reflections)	0.053 (0.081)	0.049 (0.117)	0.037 (0.081)
<i>R</i> ^b (all reflections)	0.056 (0.057)	0.043 (0.047)	0.026 (0.028)
<i>S</i> ^c	3.62	1.79	1.33
Residual extrema in final difference map/e Å ⁻³	−1.55, +1.61	−1.76, +1.23	−0.60, +0.98

^a $\Sigma||F_o| - |F_c||/\Sigma|F_o|$; ^b $[w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/\Sigma w|F_o|^2]^{1/2}$ where $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$; ^c $[\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/(m - p)]^{1/2}$.

refinement are given in Table 1. Raw intensities were collected on a Nonius CAD4 fully automated four-circle diffractometer (graphite-monochromatized Mo-K α radiation) using the ω -2 θ scan mode at 297 K. Cell dimensions were obtained from 25 reflections with 2 θ in the range 20.00–28.86°. All data reduction and structure refinement were performed using the NRCC-SDP-VAX packages. The structure was solved by the Patterson method and refined by least squares. The *U*_{ij} parameters of atoms in anions and solvent molecules are quite high, which is due to disorder. Tables 2 and 3 list the atomic coordinates of non-hydrogen atoms and selected bond lengths and angles respectively.

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H-atom coordinates, thermal parameters and remaining bond lengths and angles.

Results and Discussion

Structures of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂][ClO₄]₂ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)Cl]PF₆.—In contrast to the numerous structurally characterized Pt^{III}–Pt^{III} and Rh^{III}–Rh^{III} complexes, there are only few examples of Pt^I–Pt^I complexes studied by X-ray crystallography. It is expected that the intramolecular metal–metal distance may depend on the nature of the ligands (here abbreviated as L) *trans* to the metal–metal bond. The crystal structures of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂, [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂][ClO₄]₂ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)Cl]PF₆ have been determined and the views of the cations are shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Similar to other Pt^I–Pt^I complexes of dppm, the Pt atoms in these three complexes adopt a more or less square-planar geometry. The L–Pt–P angles are close to 90° and the L–Pt–Pt angles do not deviate much from rectilinearity. The twisted Pt₂P₄C₂ metallocycles display a conformation which is intermediate between half-chair and envelope and this highly resembles the conformation found in [Pt₂(dppm)₂(CO)₂]²⁺ [ref. 9(c)] and [Pt₂(dppm)₂Cl₂]^{9b}. The most important structural feature is the

short Pt–Pt separation, clearly indicating the presence of a Pt–Pt bond. Comparison with other reported structures reveals an increasing order of Pt–Pt distance: [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂]²⁺ [2.580(1)] < [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂]²⁺ [2.615(1)] < [Pt₂(dppm)₂(CO)Cl]⁺ [2.620(1)]^{9a} < [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)Cl]⁺ [2.627(2)] < [Pt₂(dppm)₂(CO)₂]²⁺ [2.642(1)]^{9c} < [Pt₂(dppm)₂Cl₂] (2.642)^{9b} < [Pt₂(dppm)₂(CN)₂] (2.7041 Å).^{9d} It is noted that the trend in Pt–Pt separation is roughly parallel with the σ -*trans* effect of the axial ligand. However, it is interesting to find that the *trans* effect exerted by the ligand tmpy is quite similar to that of carbon monoxide. A significant shortening of the Pt–Pt separation on changing the axial ligand from the weaker base quinoline to the stronger base 1-methylimidazole is also observed; as found in the complexes [Rh₂(O₂CR)₄L₂].¹¹ This is explained by the fact that the more conjugated heterocyclic ligand would possess more symmetrically suitable orbitals to interact with the metal centres leading to an increase in metal–metal distance. The Pt–N(axial nitrogen base) distances of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(mim)₂][ClO₄]₂ [2.09(1), 2.11(1) Å] are slightly shorter than those of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂ [2.13(1), 2.12(1) Å], which are in turn shorter than that of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)Cl]PF₆ [2.15(1) Å]. This may reflect the difference in basicity of the ligands. The Pt–P distances are comparable with those found in other reported Pt^I–Pt^I complexes of dppm.

Proton and ³¹P NMR Spectra.—All the complexes display a pseudo-triplet at around δ 4.5–5.5 for the methylene protons of the dppm ligand with coupling constants *J*(P–H) = 10 Hz and *J*(Pt–H) = 50 Hz, characteristic of a bridging dppm ligand.¹² Complexes with two identical axial ligands display a triplet in their ³¹P NMR spectra, indicating equivalence of the phosphorus atoms of the dppm ligands in solution. As expected, the complex [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)Cl]PF₆, which contains mixed axial ligands, has a ³¹P NMR spectrum consisting of two triplets. (δ 9.03 and −0.06). The ³¹P NMR data for these complexes are summarized in Table 4.

Table 2 Atomic parameters of non-hydrogen atoms with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s)**[Pt(dppm)₂(mim)₂][ClO₄]₂·MeCN**

Atom	x	y	z	Atom	x	y	z
Pt(1)	0.377 19(3)	0.723 77(5)	0.067 29(5)	C(24B)	0.540 7(11)	1.000 1(22)	−0.208 9(13)
Pt(2)	0.471 84(3)	0.742 86(6)	0.000 34	C(25B)	0.498 3(12)	0.936 8(19)	−0.226 1(12)
P(1)	0.326 33(20)	0.724 8(4)	−0.027 61(20)	C(26B)	0.458 6(8)	0.899 8(14)	−0.179 5(9)
P(2)	0.422 48(23)	0.868 0(4)	−0.052 94(24)	C(31A)	0.403 6(7)	0.670 3(14)	0.229 4(8)
P(3)	0.438 54(21)	0.696 2(4)	0.151 16(22)	C(32A)	0.370 4(8)	0.751 7(16)	0.257 4(8)
P(4)	0.506 14(21)	0.596 9(4)	0.048 43(20)	C(33A)	0.344 4(9)	0.737 7(17)	0.316 0(9)
C(1)	0.363 1(8)	0.799 7(13)	−0.091 1(7)	C(34A)	0.353 4(10)	0.649 0(19)	0.346 7(9)
C(2)	0.477 0(7)	0.581 3(14)	0.128 4(8)	C(35A)	0.381 5(13)	0.570 4(18)	0.322 4(11)
N(11)	0.299 8(6)	0.714 9(11)	0.122 4(6)	C(36A)	0.408 2(9)	0.583 2(16)	0.261 6(9)
C(12)	0.266 0(9)	0.791 9(13)	0.137 4(9)	C(31B)	0.499 1(7)	0.783 5(14)	0.174 0(8)
N(13)	0.218 0(6)	0.761 3(13)	0.169 3(7)	C(32B)	0.529 7(8)	0.764 7(17)	0.231 8(8)
C(14)	0.223 4(9)	0.661 9(17)	0.179 4(9)	C(33B)	0.579 2(9)	0.820 1(16)	0.245 8(9)
C(15)	0.272 1(8)	0.629 4(14)	0.148 6(9)	C(34B)	0.597 1(10)	0.892 1(18)	0.203 9(10)
C(16)	0.170 0(9)	0.828 6(19)	0.188 1(12)	C(35B)	0.567 0(11)	0.916 2(17)	0.151 5(11)
N(21)	0.549 9(6)	0.764 6(11)	−0.050 5(6)	C(36B)	0.517 4(10)	0.864 4(14)	0.135 0(9)
C(22)	0.564 2(8)	0.733 5(16)	−0.110 1(9)	C(41A)	0.585 6(8)	0.591 3(13)	0.056 7(8)
N(23)	0.619 5(7)	0.757 8(14)	−0.125 8(8)	C(42A)	0.613 0(8)	0.633 8(16)	0.112 1(8)
C(24)	0.644 4(8)	0.804 9(15)	−0.073 6(11)	C(43A)	0.677 1(9)	0.635 9(18)	0.110 6(10)
C(25)	0.601 7(9)	0.807 6(15)	−0.029 6(9)	C(44A)	0.705 9(8)	0.602 6(15)	0.059 3(12)
C(26)	0.646 6(12)	0.734(3)	−0.185 8(12)	C(45A)	0.680 3(8)	0.564 6(14)	0.006 4(11)
C(11A)	0.251 1(7)	0.769 3(13)	−0.019 1(7)	C(46A)	0.619 2(7)	0.559 1(13)	0.004 1(9)
C(12A)	0.233 6(8)	0.871 5(15)	−0.037 0(9)	C(41B)	0.491 7(7)	0.481 3(14)	0.004 4(9)
C(13A)	0.178 5(9)	0.905 5(16)	−0.023 7(9)	C(42B)	0.498 4(9)	0.383 0(14)	0.032 8(9)
C(14A)	0.140 0(9)	0.840 1(16)	0.004 7(13)	C(43B)	0.490 1(9)	0.293 8(13)	−0.002 6(13)
C(15A)	0.154 1(7)	0.739 5(16)	0.017 1(9)	C(44B)	0.477 1(9)	0.301 7(17)	−0.069 2(10)
C(16A)	0.210 4(7)	0.707 9(13)	0.005 3(10)	C(45B)	0.472 2(11)	0.399 4(17)	−0.097 7(9)
C(11B)	0.320 0(8)	0.600 8(13)	−0.064 1(8)	C(46B)	0.477 8(9)	0.483 7(15)	−0.059 6(8)
C(12B)	0.333 1(9)	0.514 6(17)	−0.028 9(8)	Cl(1)	0.945 6(3)	0.390 6(5)	0.747 7(3)
C(13B)	0.326 9(9)	0.417 3(13)	−0.056 7(10)	O(11)	0.908 1(9)	0.339 1(13)	0.784 9(8)
C(14B)	0.303 0(9)	0.406 4(17)	−0.116 5(11)	O(12)	1.001 0(9)	0.372 6(16)	0.762 6(11)
C(15B)	0.289 7(11)	0.492 3(18)	−0.154 1(9)	O(13)	0.940 0(9)	0.351 1(17)	0.689 0(8)
C(16B)	0.295 7(9)	0.587 9(14)	−0.126 5(8)	O(14)	0.931 7(9)	0.491 8(16)	0.742 8(9)
C(21A)	0.386 0(9)	0.976 8(13)	−0.012 0(8)	Cl(2)	0.746 4(3)	0.959 8(5)	0.787 6(3)
C(22A)	0.361 3(10)	1.051 5(12)	−0.050 0(9)	O(21)	0.763 5(12)	0.861 8(15)	0.807 3(14)
C(23A)	0.326 3(12)	1.128 5(15)	−0.023 9(11)	O(22)	0.739 7(12)	0.953 7(17)	0.724 6(9)
C(24A)	0.315 4(11)	1.125 2(15)	0.040 3(10)	O(23)	0.700 6(9)	0.971 8(17)	0.816 2(12)
C(25A)	0.340 1(11)	1.052 0(14)	0.081 4(11)	O(24)	0.791 2(9)	1.027 6(17)	0.803 9(8)
C(26A)	0.376 8(11)	0.980 9(14)	0.050 5(10)	C(51)	0.586 3(20)	0.763(3)	−0.332 7(20)
C(21B)	0.465 3(8)	0.928 0(13)	−0.116 7(8)	C(52)	0.624 2(21)	0.673(3)	−0.357 3(19)
C(22B)	0.508 2(10)	0.999 3(19)	−0.096 1(9)	N(53)	0.645 9(12)	0.613 6(19)	−0.372 6(14)
C(23B)	0.544 9(10)	1.035 3(16)	−0.144 0(12)				

[Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂·2CH₂Cl₂

Pt(1)	0.768 21(6)	0.320 07(5)	0.267 57(3)	C(23B)	0.863 3(16)	0.113 1(13)	−0.014 1(8)
Pt(2)	0.779 45(6)	0.157 12(5)	0.229 15(3)	C(24B)	0.809 3(17)	0.198 6(14)	−0.049 2(9)
P(1)	0.950 6(4)	0.325 3(3)	0.218 13(21)	C(25B)	0.789 3(17)	0.284 1(14)	−0.028 3(9)
P(3)	0.577 4(4)	0.330 5(3)	0.287 49(21)	C(26B)	0.825 2(15)	0.284 6(12)	0.030 0(8)
P(2)	0.914 2(4)	0.194 8(3)	0.142 23(20)	C(31A)	0.499 0(16)	0.415 7(12)	0.341 6(8)
P(4)	0.658 9(4)	0.118 3(3)	0.322 39(21)	C(32A)	0.440 4(19)	0.507 2(15)	0.316 5(9)
C(1)	0.955 3(14)	0.309 3(11)	0.136 8(7)	C(33A)	0.380 8(21)	0.570 2(17)	0.359 6(11)
C(2)	0.531 4(14)	0.216 6(11)	0.323 9(7)	C(34A)	0.391 1(20)	0.537 6(16)	0.422 6(10)
N(1A)	0.754 4(12)	0.454 0(9)	0.298 3(6)	C(35A)	0.447 0(21)	0.451 5(17)	0.449 8(11)
C(2A)	0.730 2(15)	0.538 2(11)	0.256 6(9)	C(36A)	0.505 4(18)	0.387 3(14)	0.405 9(9)
C(3A)	0.743 3(16)	0.629 3(14)	0.274 8(8)	C(31B)	0.517 3(14)	0.376 0(11)	0.216 2(7)
C(4A)	0.782 4(16)	0.627 6(13)	0.327 0(9)	C(32B)	0.404 9(18)	0.379 1(14)	0.216 9(9)
C(5A)	0.805 2(15)	0.538 7(12)	0.369 7(8)	C(33B)	0.355 0(18)	0.407 2(14)	0.159 8(9)
C(6A)	0.848 4(19)	0.531 0(15)	0.426 3(10)	C(34B)	0.421 3(16)	0.434 3(13)	0.103 9(8)
C(7A)	0.873 1(21)	0.446 9(16)	0.467 0(9)	C(35B)	0.535 0(17)	0.429 7(14)	0.100 7(9)
C(8A)	0.852 5(17)	0.361 3(15)	0.453 3(8)	C(36B)	0.581 3(15)	0.401 1(12)	0.158 1(8)
C(9A)	0.813 2(15)	0.364 2(12)	0.399 7(8)	C(41A)	0.709 6(15)	0.107 6(12)	0.396 7(8)
C(10A)	0.789 4(14)	0.452 5(12)	0.355 0(8)	C(42A)	0.825 1(16)	0.082 3(13)	0.397 4(8)
N(1B)	0.766 8(11)	0.034 4(9)	0.194 2(6)	C(43A)	0.864 3(18)	0.072 8(14)	0.454 5(9)
C(2B)	0.830 2(15)	−0.051 6(12)	0.212 1(8)	C(44A)	0.792 4(18)	0.093 8(15)	0.509 0(9)
C(3B)	0.826 7(17)	−0.138 0(12)	0.185 4(9)	C(45A)	0.679 6(20)	0.113 1(16)	0.509 8(10)
C(4B)	0.760 4(17)	−0.128 3(13)	0.142 4(8)	C(46A)	0.635 6(18)	0.122 8(14)	0.454 0(9)
C(5B)	0.690 2(16)	−0.036 8(12)	0.124 0(8)	C(41B)	0.608 3(14)	0.007 3(11)	0.331 9(7)
C(6B)	0.617 5(17)	−0.022 7(14)	0.079 9(8)	C(42B)	0.644 1(16)	−0.074 5(13)	0.377 2(8)
C(7B)	0.552 8(17)	0.067 4(16)	0.062 5(9)	C(43B)	0.606 0(17)	−0.162 0(14)	0.383 3(9)
C(8B)	0.556 3(16)	0.148 0(14)	0.088 8(8)	C(44B)	0.537 6(18)	−0.165 4(14)	0.342 3(9)
C(9B)	0.628 5(15)	0.136 7(12)	0.131 3(8)	C(45B)	0.501 2(17)	−0.088 7(14)	0.297 9(9)
C(10B)	0.696 6(14)	0.043 5(12)	0.150 0(7)	C(46B)	0.540 9(15)	0.001 0(12)	0.292 9(7)
C(11A)	0.994 4(13)	0.438 9(11)	0.210 8(7)	P(A)	0.029 0(5)	0.795 3(4)	0.367 0(3)
C(12A)	0.982 8(15)	0.511 7(12)	0.155 1(8)	F(1A)	0.152 5(17)	0.793 0(13)	0.364 6(8)

Table 2 (continued)

C(13A)	1.014 7(17)	0.599 4(14)	0.150 2(9)	F(2A)	0.003 7(13)	0.905 1(10)	0.338 8(6)
C(14A)	1.056 7(17)	0.619 7(13)	0.201 3(8)	F(3A)	0.008 0(12)	0.820 8(10)	0.436 4(6)
C(15A)	1.068 7(16)	0.549 1(13)	0.256 7(8)	F(4A)	-0.097 5(17)	0.793 7(14)	0.375 0(9)
C(16A)	1.035 9(16)	0.456 7(13)	0.257 7(8)	F(5A)	0.049 6(15)	0.682 2(12)	0.395 0(8)
C(11B)	1.068 1(14)	0.237 9(11)	0.252 2(7)	F(6A)	0.053 7(13)	0.776 6(10)	0.295 6(7)
C(12B)	1.048 7(15)	0.185 8(12)	0.311 7(8)	P(B)	0.749 7(6)	0.640 3(5)	0.024 3(3)
C(13B)	1.137 0(18)	0.118 6(14)	0.339 3(9)	F(1B)	0.765 8(12)	0.669 2(10)	-0.051 3(6)
C(14B)	1.176 0(16)	0.224 4(13)	0.212 0(8)	F(2B)	0.872 7(14)	0.650 5(11)	0.020 9(7)
C(15B)	1.262 3(19)	0.160 7(15)	0.245 6(9)	F(3B)	0.707 7(14)	0.748 6(12)	0.025 5(7)
C(16B)	1.242 4(17)	0.108 1(14)	0.304 3(9)	F(4B)	0.732 7(15)	0.611 9(12)	0.100 0(7)
C(21A)	1.045 8(14)	0.105 5(11)	0.141 8(7)	F(5B)	0.622 0(13)	0.634 9(10)	0.026 5(6)
C(22A)	1.068 6(15)	0.032 6(12)	0.193 9(7)	F(6B)	0.784 9(16)	0.535 8(13)	0.021 4(8)
C(23A)	1.172 6(16)	-0.033 4(13)	0.194 1(8)	Cl(1)	0.503 2(8)	0.757 4(6)	0.186 9(4)
C(24A)	1.257 0(17)	-0.022 0(14)	0.143 2(9)	C(A)	0.452(3)	0.693 8(23)	0.141 6(14)
C(25A)	1.236 6(17)	0.048 7(13)	0.092 1(9)	Cl(2)	0.321 8(8)	0.674 4(6)	0.185 7(4)
C(26A)	1.132 9(15)	0.114 9(12)	0.089 4(8)	Cl(3)	0.201 7(8)	0.367 5(7)	0.401 6(4)
C(21B)	0.878 6(14)	0.199 8(11)	0.064 5(7)	C(B)	0.185(3)	0.266 1(20)	0.451 0(13)
C(22B)	0.896 5(15)	0.112 8(12)	0.042 3(8)	Cl(4)	0.288 9(7)	0.168 4(6)	0.457 8(4)
[Pt ₂ (dppm) ₂ (tmpy)Cl]PF ₆ ·Me ₂ CO							
Pt(1)	0.341 21(5)	0.372 42(5)	0.215 93(3)	C(23B)	0.274 2(12)	0.428 4(11)	0.011 8(8)
Pt(2)	0.396 26(5)	0.230 29(5)	0.179 17(3)	C(24B)	0.199 6(13)	0.425 4(12)	-0.022 7(9)
P(1)	0.211 0(3)	0.320 7(3)	0.203 32(22)	C(25B)	0.146 6(13)	0.360 9(13)	-0.017 6(9)
P(2)	0.276 6(3)	0.219 6(3)	0.114 39(21)	C(26B)	0.168 1(12)	0.298 9(11)	0.023 6(8)
P(3)	0.471 6(3)	0.423 7(3)	0.225 87(23)	C(31A)	0.502 7(11)	0.501 2(12)	0.287 1(8)
P(4)	0.502 5(3)	0.248 3(3)	0.255 59(22)	C(32A)	0.471 8(12)	0.492 8(12)	0.339 8(8)
P(5)	0.739 0(4)	0.295 4(4)	0.070 8(3)	C(33A)	0.495 6(14)	0.548 5(14)	0.388 0(9)
Cl	0.295 3(3)	0.507 5(3)	0.245 84(24)	C(34A)	0.550 3(14)	0.610 2(14)	0.381 5(9)
N	0.451 4(10)	0.125 5(9)	0.141 3(7)	C(35A)	0.580 5(14)	0.618 5(13)	0.330 6(10)
C(1)	0.198 7(11)	0.220 3(10)	0.159 7(7)	C(36A)	0.559 4(12)	0.566 7(12)	0.282 6(9)
C(2)	0.553 9(11)	0.343 9(11)	0.241 9(8)	C(31B)	0.494 3(12)	0.477 3(12)	0.157 6(8)
C(3)	0.490 0(12)	0.132 9(15)	0.095 5(9)	C(32B)	0.573 1(13)	0.480 6(14)	0.143 1(9)
C(4)	0.530 0(14)	0.064 4(13)	0.074 1(9)	C(33B)	0.586 0(17)	0.523 5(15)	0.093 3(9)
C(5)	0.530 8(14)	-0.012 1(16)	0.101 5(10)	C(34B)	0.524 1(17)	0.566 0(14)	0.059 4(10)
C(6)	0.489 5(14)	-0.022 2(12)	0.148 0(12)	C(35B)	0.447 6(16)	0.563 9(14)	0.071 5(10)
C(7)	0.450 0(11)	0.047 8(12)	0.169 7(9)	C(36B)	0.433 1(12)	0.523 8(11)	0.121 6(9)
C(8)	0.487 8(15)	0.215 9(12)	0.065 8(10)	C(41A)	0.478 0(12)	0.259 6(12)	0.330 3(8)
C(9)	0.568 0(17)	-0.094 9(17)	0.082 4(12)	C(42A)	0.530 7(15)	0.294 2(14)	0.375 7(9)
C(10)	0.410 0(14)	0.037 5(13)	0.225 0(10)	C(43A)	0.513 4(17)	0.309 0(15)	0.433 0(10)
C(11A)	0.174 8(11)	0.298 9(11)	0.271 9(9)	C(44A)	0.439 2(16)	0.279 9(16)	0.443 0(9)
C(12A)	0.109 6(14)	0.238 4(14)	0.272 1(9)	C(45A)	0.381 8(16)	0.241 2(15)	0.401 1(10)
C(13A)	0.078 9(15)	0.228 5(13)	0.329 4(11)	C(46A)	0.404 4(14)	0.234 1(13)	0.341 9(9)
C(14A)	0.115 3(15)	0.269 6(15)	0.380 8(9)	C(41B)	0.585 5(11)	0.168 5(11)	0.261 2(8)
C(15A)	0.172 6(16)	0.326 6(13)	0.378 1(9)	C(42B)	0.634 4(12)	0.166 7(13)	0.219 2(9)
C(16A)	0.203 4(14)	0.338 3(11)	0.323 1(9)	C(43B)	0.687 7(12)	0.102 2(14)	0.218 3(9)
C(11B)	0.132 7(10)	0.381 2(11)	0.157 2(7)	C(44B)	0.693 1(12)	0.039 6(13)	0.262 3(9)
C(12B)	0.150 1(12)	0.456 2(12)	0.129 5(9)	C(45B)	0.644 8(14)	0.041 9(12)	0.304 9(10)
C(13B)	0.089 5(14)	0.498 4(13)	0.092 1(9)	C(46B)	0.589 6(12)	0.106 2(12)	0.304 7(8)
C(14B)	0.014 1(14)	0.470 4(14)	0.081 7(11)	O	0.764 3(11)	0.848 3(12)	0.174 6(10)
C(15B)	-0.009 4(13)	0.402 7(16)	0.107 8(11)	C(11)	0.713 8(15)	0.794 0(15)	0.177 3(13)
C(16B)	0.050 7(12)	0.359 4(13)	0.147 7(9)	C(12)	0.664 4(21)	0.791 1(20)	0.224 4(13)
C(21A)	0.254 0(11)	0.125 0(11)	0.069 1(7)	C(13)	0.705 3(22)	0.727 5(17)	0.137 6(12)
C(22A)	0.265 2(14)	0.121 7(13)	0.010 8(9)	F(1)	0.685 1(14)	0.309 0(13)	0.114 5(10)
C(23A)	0.243 9(18)	0.049 6(15)	-0.023 6(11)	F(2)	0.817 0(12)	0.280 8(15)	0.107 0(12)
C(24A)	0.210 8(15)	-0.016 3(13)	0.000 7(10)	F(3)	0.764 8(12)	0.379 1(11)	0.074 8(13)
C(25A)	0.198 6(15)	-0.018 3(13)	0.058 2(10)	F(4)	0.667 6(13)	0.305 5(19)	0.029 1(9)
C(26A)	0.220 2(13)	0.054 7(12)	0.093 4(8)	F(5)	0.718 4(15)	0.213 8(12)	0.088 2(14)
C(21B)	0.245 6(12)	0.299 9(10)	0.060 2(7)	F(6)	0.783 9(16)	0.270 5(20)	0.027 3(10)
C(22B)	0.300 5(11)	0.364 9(12)	0.053 7(8)				

Electronic Spectroscopy.—The colour of [Pt₂(dppm)₂-X(Y)]ⁿ⁺ is dependent on the nature of the axial ligands. The complexes [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂]²⁺ and [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)-Cl]²⁺ are bright yellow whereas [Pt₂(dppm)₂L₂]²⁺ (L = nitrogen base) are pale yellow or colourless. First, it is important to locate the ¹(d_σ → d_σ*) transition (d_σ and d_σ* refer to the bonding and antibonding combinations of d_{z²} orbitals) which is commonly observed for d⁷-d⁷ complexes. The energy of the transition is determined by the extent of d_σ-d_σ* splitting and hence the extent of intramolecular metal-metal interaction. The metal-metal separation, the nature of axially co-ordinated ligands and the formal charge on the metal ions are factors affecting the extent of such interaction. From X-ray

crystallographic studies it is known that the intramolecular Pt-Pt distances in [Pt₂(dppm)₂X(Y)]ⁿ⁺ are longer than those found in [Pt^{III}₂(HPO₄)₄L₂]ⁿ⁻ or [Pt^{III}₂(SO₄)₄L₂]ⁿ⁻ (2.461–2.529 Å)¹³ but shorter than that in [Pt^{III}₂(H₂P₂O₅)₄L₂]ⁿ⁻ (n = 2 or 4).^{3a,b} For all these Pt^{III}-Pt^{III} complexes the ¹(d_σ → d_σ*) transitions are found in the region 200–300 nm.^{7,14} The benchmark of the transition found for the complex [Pt₂(H₂P₂O₅)₄-(MeCN)₂]²⁻ (Pt-Pt 2.676 Å) is located at 215 nm.^{3b} Based on the metal-metal separation alone, it is expected that the ¹(d_σ → d_σ*) transition of Pt^I-Pt^I complexes may be found in the high-UV region (<215 nm). However, the effect of the formal charge on the metal ion should not be neglected. Given the fact that both systems contain a metal-metal single bond,

Fig. 1 A perspective view of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{quin})_2]^{2+}$ with atom numbering**Table 3** Selected bond distances (Å) and angles ($^\circ$)

$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{quin})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2 \cdot 2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$			
Pt(1)–Pt(2)	2.615(1)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–P(1)	91.2(1)
Pt(1)–P(1)	2.297(5)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–N(1A)	178.4(4)
Pt(1)–P(3)	2.285(5)	P(1)–Pt(1)–P(3)	163.7(2)
Pt(1)–N(1A)	2.13(1)	P(1)–Pt(1)–N(1A)	90.0(4)
Pt(2)–P(2)	2.293(5)	P(2)–Pt(2)–P(4)	174.0(2)
Pt(2)–P(4)	2.285(5)	P(2)–Pt(2)–N(1B)	90.7(4)
Pt(2)–N(1B)	2.12(1)	Pt(1)–Pt(2)–N(1B)	172.1(4)
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{tmpy})\text{Cl}][\text{PF}_6] \cdot \text{Me}_2\text{CO}$			
Pt(1)–Pt(2)	2.627(2)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–P(1)	91.1(1)
Pt(1)–P(1)	2.281(5)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–P(3)	88.2(1)
Pt(1)–P(3)	2.284(5)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–Cl	176.6(1)
Pt(1)–C(1)	2.416(5)	P(1)–Pt(1)–P(3)	178.5(2)
Pt(2)–P(2)	2.267(5)	P(4)–Pt(2)–P(2)	170.2(2)
Pt(2)–P(4)	2.272(5)	Pt(1)–Pt(2)–N	171.4(4)
Pt(2)–N	2.15(1)	P(2)–Pt(2)–N	93.8(4)
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{mim})_2][\text{ClO}_4]_2 \cdot \text{MeCN}$			
Pt(1)–Pt(2)	2.580(1)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–P(1)	88.1(1)
Pt(1)–P(1)	2.279(4)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–P(3)	84.58(3)
Pt(1)–P(3)	2.257(5)	Pt(2)–Pt(1)–N(11)	177.6(4)
Pt(1)–N(11)	2.11(1)	P(1)–Pt(1)–P(3)	167.9(2)
Pt(2)–P(2)	2.269(5)	P(2)–Pt(2)–N(21)	168.7(2)
Pt(2)–P(4)	2.285(5)	Pt(1)–Pt(2)–N(21)	176.9(4)
Pt(2)–N(21)	2.09(1)	Pt(1)–Pt(2)–P(2)	85.0(1)

the $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{I}}$ interaction is expected to be stronger than $\text{Pt}^{\text{III}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{III}}$ because of the low valency of Pt^{I} . The cationic nature of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2\text{X}(\text{Y})]^{n+}$ may diminish the metal–metal interaction to some extent but as a whole one would expect that $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2\text{X}(\text{Y})]^{n+}$ would have comparable metal–metal interaction to that in $[\text{Pt}^{\text{III}}_2(\text{H}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{L}_2]^{n-}$ ($n = 2$ or 4). This is further supported by the similar metal–metal distances found in these two classes of complexes. It is therefore reasonable to search for the $^1(d_\pi \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ transition of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2\text{X}(\text{Y})]^{n+}$

Table 4 Phosphorus-31 NMR data^a for the $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{I}}$ complexes

Complex	δ	$^1J(\text{Pt--P})/\text{Hz}$
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^{2+}$	–8.27 ^b	2800
	14.15	1680
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{py})_2]^{2+}$	0.90 ^b	2828
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{quin})_2]^{2+}$	5.67 ^c	2845
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{mim})_2]^{2+}$	5.01 ^c	2745
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{tmpy})\text{Cl}]^+$	9.03 ^c	2885
	–0.06 ^c	2830
$[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(4\text{Bu}^1\text{-py})_2]^{2+}$	5.50 ^c	2760

^a All measurements were made with $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$ solutions of the hexafluorophosphate salts of the complexes. ^b Ref. 10; trimethyl phosphate was used as reference. ^c This work; 85% phosphoric acid was used as reference.

in the high-UV region. Unfortunately, the presence of intense intraligand absorption around 200–300 nm obscures this region. Attempts to synthesise corresponding $d^9\text{--}d^9$ complexes with bridging ligands like dmpm are now being undertaken in order to locate the transition.

Fig. 4 displays the room-temperature UV/VIS absorption spectrum of an acetonitrile solution of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$. There are two intense bands in the visible region (band I, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 350$, $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 1.94 \times 10^4$; II, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 403$ nm, $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 1.37 \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). We expect that the molecular orbital arrangement in $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{I}}$ would be highly analogous to that in $\text{Pt}^{\text{III}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{III}}$ or $\text{Rh}^{\text{II}}\text{--Rh}^{\text{II}}$ complexes with the energy levels in the order: $d_\sigma < d_\pi, d_{\pi^*}, d_\delta, d_{\delta^*} < d_{\sigma^*}$. Fig. 5 gives a qualitative molecular orbital energy-level diagram. Suitable candidates for the two low-energy transitions are $^1(d_\pi \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ ($^1A_g \rightarrow ^1B_{3u}$) (symmetry allowed), $^1(d_\delta \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ ($^1A_g \rightarrow ^1A_g$) (symmetry forbidden) and $^1(d_\pi \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ ($^1A_g \rightarrow ^1B_{1u}$) (symmetry allowed) (assuming D_{2h} symmetry in solution). It is reasonable to assign band II to $^1(d_\pi \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ and band I to $^1(d_\delta/d_{\delta^*} \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ transitions for the $d_\pi\text{--}d_{\pi^*}$ splitting is expected to be much greater than the $d_\delta\text{--}d_{\delta^*}$. Hence the highest occupied

Fig. 2 A perspective view of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{mim})_2]^{2+}$ with atom numbering

Fig. 3 A perspective view of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{tmpy})\text{Cl}]^+$ with atom numbering

molecular orbital (HOMO) should be the d_{xy} orbital. However, the 3760 cm^{-1} energy separation between the $^1(d_{xy} \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ and $^1(d_{xy} \rightarrow d_{\sigma^*})$ transitions is significantly greater than those

found in the $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{HPO}_4)_4\text{Cl}_2]^{4-}$ (2300 cm^{-1})⁷ and $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{SO}_4)_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2-}$ (2422 cm^{-1}).¹⁴ This could be explained by the fact that in the two diplatinum(III) complexes the δ orbitals are

Fig. 4 Room-temperature electronic absorption spectrum of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ in acetonitrile

Fig. 5 A qualitative molecular orbital diagram for the $[\text{Pt}_2^{\text{I}}(\text{dppm})_2\text{X}_2]^{2+}$ complexes

destabilized by the interaction with four strongly donating anionic ligands, while the δ orbitals in diplatinum(I) systems such as $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^{2+}$ only interact with two dppm ligands. Hence the $d_{\pi}-d_{\delta}$ splitting in the $\text{Pt}^{\text{III}}-\text{Pt}^{\text{III}}$ must be smaller than that in $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}-\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}$ systems.

When the axial ligands are pyridine, quinoline, 4Bu^t-py or mim the visible region of the absorption spectra is dominated by a broad tailing with low-to-moderate absorptivity. Fig. 6 shows the room-temperature absorption spectrum of an acetonitrile solution of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{py})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$. The band around 350–400 nm is weak ($\epsilon = 10^2 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The spectrum of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{mim})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ (not shown), having the shortest Pt–Pt distance, shows similar tailing with moderate absorptivity ($\epsilon = 10^3 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and there is virtually no absorption at $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$. It is therefore clear that the $^1(d_{\pi*} \rightarrow d_{\sigma*})$ transition is blue shifted in the case of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2\text{L}_2]^{2+}$ (this is further confirmed by the excitation spectra of these complexes, see below). The blue shift is explained by the short Pt–Pt separations in these complexes, leading to a greater $d_{\sigma}-d_{\sigma*}$ splitting. It is important to characterize the crystal structure of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^{2+}$ in order to verify the above argument. However, attempts to obtain suitable crystals have been unsuccessful. Nevertheless, it is known that the Rh–Rh distance of $[\text{Rh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ [2.449(2) Å] is considerably longer than that of $[\text{Rh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4(\text{py})_2]$ [2.227(3) Å].¹¹ It is reasonable to expect $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}-\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}$ complexes to display similar behaviour. Besides, the low-energy transitions of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^{2+}$ are more intense than for those complexes with axial nitrogen bases. This may be explained by the so-called 'phosphine effect'.¹⁵ The complex $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{quin})_2]^{2+}$

Fig. 6 Room-temperature electronic absorption spectrum of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{py})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ in acetonitrile

displays an intense absorption at about 320 nm ($\epsilon = 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and this is assigned to the intraligand $\pi-\pi^*$ transitions of quinoline. Although there are no distinct absorption bands in the visible region, the presence of metal-to-ligand charge-transfer transitions in this complex cannot be excluded. Two fairly distinguishable absorption bands in visible region are found for the complex $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{tmpy})\text{Cl}]^+$. The intense absorption at 344 nm is assigned to the $^1(d_{\delta/\delta*} \rightarrow d_{\sigma*})$ transition based on the expected insensitivity of the energy of the δ orbital towards the nature of axial ligand. The weak absorption around 400 nm ($\epsilon = 200 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) should arise from the $^1(d_{\pi*} \rightarrow d_{\sigma*})$ transition.

All the complexes mentioned as solids display photoluminescence at room temperature and at 77 K. Figs. 7 and 8 show the emission spectra at room temperature and 77 K of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ and $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{py})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$, respectively. The data are summarized in Table 5. Except for $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{quin})_2]^{2+}$ which displays two emission bands, all the complexes exhibit a broad band centred around 600 nm but with no vibronic feature even at 77 K. The long emission lifetimes (Table 5) and large Stoke's shift of the emission from the lowest-allowed absorption band indicate the phosphorescent nature of the emission. Upon cooling to 77 K all emission bands are intensified and become narrower and the maxima are slightly shifted to lower energy. Analogous to the $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{HPO}_4)_4\text{L}_2]^{n-}$ complexes,⁷ the temperature dependence of the half-width of the emission of the present complexes is not as large as that reported for the $d_{\sigma} \rightarrow d_{\sigma*}$ emission band of $[\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_6(\text{dmpm})_2]$.¹⁶ For example, the half-height bandwidth of the emission of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{py})_2]^{2+}$ only increases by 17% when the temperature rises from 77 to 298 K. We assign the emission to the $^3(d_{\sigma*} \rightarrow d_{\pi*})$ transition. The emission spectra of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{quin})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ at room temperature and at 77 K are depicted in Fig. 9. At room temperature the spectrum consists of two emissions at 473 and 624 nm with the latter much more intense. The lifetime of the high-energy emission is too short to be measured by our instrument (<20 ns) while the lifetime of the low-energy emission is relatively long. At 77 K the spectrum is dominated by a high-energy vibronic emission and a relatively less-intense structureless one at 650 nm. The observed 1391 cm^{-1} vibrational progression is consistent with the assignment of the high-energy emission to the fluorescence of quinoline. The emission at 650 nm is exceptionally lower in energy than the emission of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{py})_2]^{2+}$ or $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{mim})_2]^{2+}$. This suggests that it may arise from a metal-to-ligand charge transfer ($\text{Pt} \rightarrow \text{quin}$) or a ligand-field excited state. To clarify the origin of this emission, however, further spectroscopic work needs to be done.

For all the $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}-\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}$ compounds mentioned, the excitation spectra become intensified with maxima slightly shifted to higher energy and reduction of band width upon cooling from 298 to 77 K. Figs. 10 and 11 show the excitation spectra of the solid-state emissions of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ and $[\text{Pt}_2-$

Table 5 Emission data for the Pt^I–Pt^I complexes at 298 and 77 K

Complex	Excitation maxima/nm at 298/77 K	Emission maxima/nm at 298/77 K	Emission lifetime (μs) at 298 K
[Pt ₂ (dppm) ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂][PF ₆] ₂	422/398	589/570	2.8
[Pt ₂ (dppm) ₂ (py) ₂][PF ₆] ₂	370/340	605/614	3.1
[Pt(dppm) ₂ (quin) ₂][PF ₆] ₂	398/385	473, 624/ 491, 527, 650	< 2 × 10 ⁻² , ^a 3.9 ^b
[Pt ₂ (dppm) ₂ (mim) ₂][PF ₆] ₂	368/352	577/601	3.2
[Pt ₂ (dppm) ₂ (tmpy)Cl][PF ₆]	405/347, 396	591/601	3.2
[Pt ₂ (dppm) ₂ (4Bu ^t -py) ₂][PF ₆] ₂	345/339	600/609	2.1

^a Lifetime of the emission at 473 nm. ^b Lifetime of the emission at 624 nm. **Fig. 7** Solid-state emission spectra of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]₂ at 298 (a) and 77 K (b) **Fig. 9** Solid-state emission spectra of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(quin)₂][PF₆]₂ at 298 (a) and 77 K (b) **Fig. 8** Solid-state emission spectra of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(py)₂][PF₆]₂ at 298 (a) and 77 K (b) **Fig. 10** Excitation spectra of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]₂ with emission monitored at 600 nm at 298 K (a) and 77 K (b)

(dppm)₂(py)₂][PF₆]₂, respectively. When the temperature is changed from 298 to 77 K the excitation maximum of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]₂ shifts from 422 to 398 nm with a reduction in half-height bandwidth to 2908 cm⁻¹, while the excitation maximum of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(py)₂][PF₆]₂ shifts from 370 to 340 nm and the half-height bandwidth is reduced to 1961 cm⁻¹. These features are consistent with the assignment of excited states produced by populating a d_{σ*} orbital.¹⁷ The good correlation of the excitation and absorption maxima of the complex [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂]²⁺ supports the assignment of the emissive state to ³(d_{σ*}d_{σ*}). Besides, the excitation spectrum of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(PPh₃)₂][PF₆]₂ displays considerable intensity around 350 nm suggesting that, apart from the lowest-energy ¹(d_{σ*} → d_{σ*}) transition, the ¹(d_{δ*} → d_{σ*}) transition also gives rise to the emission. Since the emission of the complex is well fitted to a single-exponential first-order decay kinetics, the existence of dual emission is excluded. It is therefore reasonable to assign this behaviour to an efficient coupling between (d_{δ*}d_{σ*})

and (d_{σ*}d_{σ*}) excited states. The excitation spectrum of [Pt₂(dppm)₂(py)₂][PF₆]₂ helps to locate the position of the ¹(d_{σ*} → d_{σ*}) transition which is at about 370 nm at room temperature. Similarly, the excitation spectra of other Pt^I–Pt^I complexes also span a large spectral region.

Conclusion

This work demonstrates a new class of inorganic luminescent materials containing a single metal–metal bond. The important feature of these complexes is that they undergo facile substitution and this allows complexes with various axial ligands to be obtained readily. The formation of the mixed-ligand complex [Pt₂(dppm)₂(tmpy)Cl]⁺ with the sterically bulky ligand tmpy suggests it may be possible to construct complexes with two different types of axial ligands (for example donor and acceptor). Besides, Pt^I–Pt^I complexes are long known to bind

Fig. 11 Excitation spectra of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{dppm})_2(\text{py})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ with emission monitored at 600 nm at 298 (a) and 77 K (b)

small molecules like CO and SO_2 ¹⁸ to form A-frame complexes. It should be noted that the formal oxidation state of platinum changes from I to II after binding with molecules like CO. The entirely different photophysical properties of $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{I}}$ and $\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{II}}$ complexes are apparent. It is therefore interesting to investigate any changes of luminescent properties occurring after the binding reaction. This may point to the application of $\text{Pt}^{\text{I}}\text{--Pt}^{\text{I}}$ complexes in the development of new types of luminescent chemical sensor. Work along these lines is now being undertaken.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from the Croucher Foundation, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Research Grants Council and the National Science Council (NSC) of Taiwan. C.-M. C. is grateful for a visiting professorship administered by the National Taiwan University, H.-K. Y. for a Croucher Studentship, administered by the Croucher Foundation of Hong Kong.

References

- 1 G. L. Geoffroy and M. S. Wrighton, *Organometallic Photochemistry*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

- 2 See, for example, (a) V. M. Miskowski, W. P. Schaefer, B. Sadeghi, B. D. Santarsiero and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, **23**, 1154; (b) K.-S. Shin, R. J. H. Clark and J. K. Zink, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 4244; (c) V. M. Miskowski, R. F. Dallinger, G. G. Christoph, D. E. Morris, G. H. Spies and W. H. Woodruff, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 2127.
- 3 (a) C. M. Che, W.-M. Lee, T. C. W. Mak and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 4446; (b) C. M. Che, T. C. W. Mak, V. M. Miskowski and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 7840; (c) C. M. Che, L. G. Butler, P. J. Grunthaner and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 4662; (d) M. Kurmoo and R. J. H. Clark, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 4420.
- 4 M. S. Wrighton and D. S. Ginley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 2065.
- 5 A. E. Stiegman, V. M. Miskowski and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 2781.
- 6 S. J. Miller, M. P. Castellani, T. J. R. Weakley, D. R. Tyler, V. M. Miskowski and A. E. Stiegman, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1990, **94**, 6599.
- 7 Y. K. Shin, V. M. Miskowski and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 2308.
- 8 J. I. Dulebohn, D. L. Ward and D. G. Nocera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 2969.
- 9 (a) L. Manojlović-Muir, K. W. Muir and T. Solomon, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1979, **179**, 479; (b) L. Manojlović-Muir, K. W. Muir and T. Solomon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1979, **35**, 237; (c) J. R. Fisher, A. J. Mills, S. Sumner, M. P. Brown, M. A. Thomson, R. J. Puddephatt, A. A. Frew, L. Manojlović-Muir and K. W. Muir, *Organometallics*, 1982, **1**, 1421; (d) M. N. I. Klan, C. King, J.-C. Wang, S. Wang and J. P. Fackler, jun., *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, 4656.
- 10 M. P. Brown, S. J. Franklin, R. J. Puddephatt, M. A. Thomson and K. R. Seddon, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1979, **178**, 281.
- 11 F. A. Cotton and T. R. Felthouse, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981, **20**, 600.
- 12 L. E. Manzer and G. W. Parshall, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1976, **15**, 314.
- 13 D. P. Bancroft, F. A. Cotton, L. R. Falvello, S. Hans and W. Schwotzer, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1984, **87**, 147.
- 14 R. A. Newman, D. S. Martin, R. F. Dallinger, W. H. Woodruff, A. E. Stiegman, C. M. Che, W. P. Schaefer, V. M. Miskowski and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 4647.
- 15 V. M. Miskowski, J. L. Robbin, G. S. Hammond and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 2477.
- 16 A. E. Stiegman and V. M. Miskowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 4053.
- 17 (a) R. A. Levenson and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 6042; (b) V. M. Miskowski, T. P. Smith, T. M. Loehr and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 7925.
- 18 M. P. Brown, J. R. Fisher, R. J. Puddephatt and K. R. Seddon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 2808.

Received 3rd June 1992; Paper 2/02930H