JOM 23759

Synthesis, crystal structure and reactivity of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$

Wen-Yann Yeh and Shu-Ling Chen

Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung 80424 (Taiwan)

Shie-Ming Peng¹ and Gene-Hsiang Lee

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10764 (Taiwan)

(Received March 12, 1993; in revised form April 17, 1993)

Abstract

$\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ (1) has been prepared by treatment of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)$ sequentially with PhLi and $\text{MeOSO}_2\text{CF}_3$. Protonation of 1 with HBF_4 gives $[\{\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)^+\}[\text{BF}_4^-]]$ (2), which leads to the well-known $(\mu\text{-H})\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)$ (3) and $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CHPh})$ (4) upon hydride attack. Thermolysis of 1 in refluxing hexane produces mainly $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CH}_2)$ (5). Compound 1 crystallizes in the space group $P\bar{1}$ with $a = 10.032(1)$ Å, $b = 10.119(2)$ Å, $c = 16.972(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 97.06(2)^\circ$, $\beta = 94.65(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 98.80(2)^\circ$, $V = 1681.0(5)$ Å³, $Z = 2$ and $R_F = 3.2\%$.

1. Introduction

The transition metal complexes containing μ -alkylidene ligands have been a subject of increasingly intense study [1]. In particular, the reactivity of μ -alkylidenes toward unsaturated hydrocarbons has attracted much attention because it might provide mechanistic information of surface-bound C_1 and C_2 units in some catalytic reactions, such as metal-promoted CH_2 transformation, reduction of CO to alkanes, olefin metathesis and alkyne polymerization [2]. The μ -alkylidene compounds are commonly prepared from interaction of CH_2N_2 or other diazoalkanes with complexes having metal–metal bonds [3], reaction of metal carbene complexes with other metal complexes that have weakly bound ligands [4], or nucleophilic attack at bridging alkylidyne carbon atoms [5]. In this paper we report the synthesis and crystal structure of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$, which contains a bridging phenylmethoxycarbene ligand arising from a coordinated carbonyl, as well as its reactivity leading to alkylidyne–alkyne coupling [6].

Correspondence to: Dr. W.-Y. Yeh.

¹ Author to whom queries concerning the X-ray crystallographic work should be addressed.

2. Experimental section

2.1. General procedures

$\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)$ was prepared from $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\text{NCMe})_2$ and diphenylacetylene as described in the literature [7]. ^{13}CO -enriched $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (about 33% enriched) was prepared by heating a solution of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ in dry decalin at 110°C for 48 h under 6 equivalents of ^{13}CO (99%; Isotec) in a pressure bottle. Phenyl lithium (Janssen; 2 M in cyclohexane–ether), methyl trifluoromethanesulphonate (Aldrich), tetrafluoroboric acid (Merck; 54% in Et_2O) and lithium triethylborohydride (Aldrich; 1.0 M in tetrahydrofuran) were used directly as received. Diethyl ether was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl, and hexane was distilled from sodium immediately before use. Preparative thin-layer chromatographic (TLC) plates were prepared from silica gel (Merck, GF254). ^1H and ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained on a Varian VXR-300 spectrometer at 300 MHz and 75.4 MHz respectively. IR spectra were taken on a Hitachi-2001 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL-HX 110 mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed at the National Science Council Regional Instrumentation Center, National Chen-Kung University, Tainan.

2.2. Preparation of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ from $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)$

An oven-dried 100 ml Schlenk flask was equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a rubber serum stopper. A solution of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)$ (150 mg, 0.146 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 ml) was introduced under nitrogen by means of a cannula through the serum stopper. After the mixture had been cooled to 0°C with an ice bath, phenyl lithium (180 μl , 0.36 mmol) was added via a syringe over a period of 30 min and methyl trifluoromethanesulphonate (70 μl , 0.62 mmol) then added via a syringe. The solution was concentrated to about 2 ml under vacuum, placed under nitrogen and then stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The volatile materials were removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by TLC, eluting with n-hexane–dichloromethane (4:1, v/v). Crystallization of the orange band from methanol–dichloromethane yielded air-stable crystals formulated as $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ (**1**) (112 mg, 0.1 mmol; 69%; melting point, 112–114°C dec.). Mass spectroscopy (FAB) m/z : 1126 (M^+ , ^{192}Os), 1126–28 n ($n = 1\text{--}9$). IR (C_6H_{12}): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2091s, 2063vs, 2056s, 2051s, 2025s, 2019m, 2009m, 2001m, 1990m cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 25°C): 7.66–6.64 (m, 15 H, Ph), 3.60 (s, 3 H, OCH_3) ppm. ^{13}C (^1H) NMR (CDCl_3 , 25°C): 211.1 (C(OMe)Ph), 185.7 (2CO), 178.5 (1CO), 176.0 ($\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$), 174.1 (2CO), 173.4 (2CO), 172.3 (2CO), 156.5, 150.8, 129.4, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.1 (C_6H_5), 61.5 (OCH_3) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 33.26; H, 1.62. $\text{Os}_3\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_{10}$ calc.: C, 33.21; H, 1.62%.

The crystal of compound **1** found suitable for X-ray analysis was grown from methanol–dichloromethane at –20°C.

2.3. Reaction of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ with tetrafluoroboric acid to form $\{[\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)^+][\text{BF}_4^-]\}$

$\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ (**1**) (20 mg, 0.02 mmol) and diethyl ether (3 ml) were added to an oven-dried 25 ml Schlenk flask under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Tetrafluoroboric acid (5 μl , 0.03 mmol) was added via a syringe. The solution was placed under nitrogen and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h, forming a yellow slurry. The mixture was then cooled to 0°C with an ice bath to facilitate precipitation of the pale-yellow solid. The supernatant was removed by pipette, and the precipitate was washed with cold diethyl ether (3 $\times$ 3 ml) and dried under vacuum for 16 h. The slightly air-sensitive pale-yellow solid was formulated as $\{[\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)^+][\text{BF}_4^-]\}$ (**2**) (19 mg, 0.016 mmol; 80%) on the basis of spectroscopic data. IR (CH_2Cl_2): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2122m, 2092s, 2086s, 2056m, 2036m cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 25°C): 7.63–7.24

(m, Ph) ppm. ^{13}C (^1H) NMR (acetone- d_6 , 25°C): 184.8 (s, 1CO), 177.8 (s, 2CO), 176.6 (s, br, CPh), 175.8 (s, 1CO), 175.4 (s, 4CO), 171.7 (s, 1CO), 158.2–125.5 (m, C_6H_5) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 31.02; H, 1.40. $\text{Os}_3\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_9\text{BF}_4$ calc.: C, 30.63; H, 1.29%.

2.4. Reaction of $\{[\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)^+][\text{BF}_4^-]\}$ with lithium triethylborohydride

$\{[\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)^+][\text{BF}_4^-]\}$ (**2**) (21 mg, 0.02 mmol) was placed in an oven-dried 50 ml Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a rubber serum stopper under an atmosphere of nitrogen in a glove box. Diethyl ether (3 ml) was introduced by a cannula through the serum stopper. The mixture was cooled to 0°C with an ice bath, and lithium triethylborohydride (20 μl , 0.02 mmol) was added via a syringe. The slurry was vigorously stirred under nitrogen for 50 min at 0°C, at which point the solution became clear. The volatile materials were removed under vacuum and the residue applied on TLC, eluting with n-hexane. Isolation of the materials forming the first, orange band and the second, yellow band gave the known $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CHPh})$ (**4**) [8] (4 mg, 0.004 mmol; 21%) and $(\mu\text{-H})\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)$ (**3**) [9] (9 mg, 0.008 mmol; 46%) respectively.

2.5. Thermolysis of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$

An oven-dried 250 ml three-necked round-bottomed flask was equipped with a magnetic stir bar, a rubber serum stopper, a reflux condenser, and a nitrogen inlet. The stopper was briefly removed, and $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ (**1**) (100 mg, 0.089 mmol) and n-hexane (130 ml) were added against a nitrogen flow. The solution was refluxed under nitrogen for 6 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue subjected to TLC, eluting with n-hexane. The known $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CH}_2)$ (**5**) [10] (39 mg, 0.038 mmol; 43%) was isolated as the major product.

2.6. Collection of X-ray diffraction data and structure solution for $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$

An orange crystal of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ (**1**) with approximate dimensions 0.25 mm $\times$ 0.35 mm $\times$ 0.55 mm was mounted in a thin-walled glass capillary and aligned on the Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Lattice parameters were determined from 25 randomly selected reflections with 2θ angle in the range 19.00–25.22°. All data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for the effects of absorption. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by least-square cycles; all non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal

TABLE 1. Experimental data for the X-ray diffraction study for compound 1

Formula	$\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_{10}\text{Os}_3$
Crystal solvent	CH_2Cl_2
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$
a (Å)	10.032(1)
b (Å)	10.119(2)
c (Å)	16.972(2)
α (°)	97.06(2)
β (°)	94.65(1)
γ (°)	98.80(2)
V (Å ³)	1681.0(5)
Z	2
D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)	2.383
$F(000)$	1107.64
Diffractometer	Nonius (CAD-4)
Radiation; λ (Å)	Mo K α ; 0.70930
Temperature (K)	298
Crystal size (mm $\times$ mm $\times$ mm)	0.25 $\times$ 0.35 $\times$ 0.55
Scan method	θ - 2θ scan mode
$2\theta_{\text{max}}$ (°)	44.8
Scan parameters	0.90 + 0.35 tan θ
Scan speed (° min ⁻¹)	16.48/8 to 16.48/2
h, k, l range	-10 to 10, 0 to 10, -18 to 18
Absorption correction method	ψ scan
μ (mm ⁻¹)	11.54
Transmission factors: maximum; minimum	0.999837; 0.575296
Standard reflections (number, 3)	Decay, 5%; every 3600 s
Number of unique data	4373
Number of data with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	3744
Number of atoms and parameters refined	67, 425
Maximum Δ/σ ratio	0.054
R_F ; R_w	0.032; 0.025
GoF	2.55
Max/minimum residual electron density (electrons Å ⁻³)	1.320/-1.130

TABLE 2. Atomic positional parameters for compound 1

	x	y	z	B_{iso} (Å ²)
Os(1)	0.16355(5)	0.27512(5)	0.11329(3)	2.151(21)
Os(2)	0.34076(5)	0.18259(5)	0.21898(3)	2.245(21)
Os(3)	0.06559(5)	0.11836(4)	0.22379(3)	2.261(20)
C(1)	-0.0228(10)	0.2166(11)	0.0737(6)	2.9(5)
C(2)	0.1605(11)	0.4434(11)	0.0788(7)	3.8(6)
C(3)	0.2104(10)	0.1735(11)	0.0154(7)	3.0(6)
C(4)	0.5194(10)	0.2655(11)	0.2655(7)	2.9(6)
C(5)	0.3956(11)	0.0580(11)	0.1369(7)	3.5(6)
C(6)	0.3334(12)	0.0485(11)	0.2841(8)	4.0(7)
C(7)	0.0580(12)	-0.0551(12)	0.1648(7)	3.7(6)
C(8)	0.0482(11)	0.0512(10)	0.3191(7)	3.4(6)
C(9)	-0.1251(11)	0.1123(13)	0.2128(7)	3.9(7)
C(10)	0.3827(10)	0.3446(11)	0.1420(6)	2.5(5)
C(11)	0.4363(10)	0.4880(10)	0.1718(6)	2.5(5)
C(12)	0.4780(12)	0.5752(11)	0.1187(7)	3.4(6)
C(13)	0.5259(12)	0.7107(12)	0.1411(8)	4.3(7)
C(14)	0.5371(13)	0.7631(12)	0.2203(8)	4.5(7)
C(15)	0.4945(12)	0.6786(12)	0.2747(7)	4.2(7)
C(16)	0.4449(11)	0.5435(11)	0.2517(7)	2.9(6)
C(17)	0.5910(11)	0.3090(13)	0.0841(8)	4.3(7)
C(18)	0.1282(10)	0.3469(10)	0.2304(6)	2.0(5)
C(19)	0.2206(10)	0.3011(10)	0.2844(6)	2.4(5)
C(20)	0.0498(10)	0.4574(10)	0.2502(6)	2.2(5)
C(21)	0.1149(11)	0.5773(11)	0.2966(7)	3.4(6)
C(22)	0.0478(12)	0.6850(11)	0.3102(7)	3.8(7)
C(23)	-0.0819(12)	0.6824(11)	0.2790(7)	3.7(6)
C(24)	-0.1451(11)	0.5650(12)	0.2335(7)	4.1(7)
C(25)	-0.0808(11)	0.4562(11)	0.2194(7)	3.2(6)
C(26)	0.2246(11)	0.3332(10)	0.3756(6)	2.8(6)
C(27)	0.3459(12)	0.3458(12)	0.4231(7)	4.0(7)
C(28)	0.3525(13)	0.3762(14)	0.5049(7)	5.2(8)
C(29)	0.2403(14)	0.3918(14)	0.5417(7)	5.6(8)
C(30)	0.1182(13)	0.3796(12)	0.4971(7)	4.5(7)
C(31)	0.1088(11)	0.3493(11)	0.4141(7)	3.4(6)
O(1)	-0.1306(8)	0.1888(9)	0.0425(5)	4.8(5)
O(2)	0.1537(9)	0.5475(9)	0.0579(6)	6.5(6)
O(3)	0.2301(8)	0.1133(8)	-0.0422(5)	4.8(5)
O(4)	0.6226(8)	0.3116(9)	0.2954(5)	5.0(5)
O(5)	0.4219(9)	-0.0164(8)	0.0867(5)	5.7(5)
O(6)	0.3373(8)	-0.0321(8)	0.3290(5)	5.3(5)
O(7)	0.0551(9)	-0.1581(8)	0.1274(5)	5.3(5)
O(8)	0.0448(8)	0.0140(8)	0.3821(5)	4.5(5)
O(9)	-0.2413(8)	0.1030(8)	0.2052(5)	4.7(5)
O(10)	0.4471(7)	0.3011(7)	0.0745(4)	2.9(4)
C(32)	0.742(3)	0.092(3)	0.4772(12)	22.1(27)
C(11)	0.6936(7)	0.2386(7)	0.4971(4)	15.7(5)
C(12)	0.6943(7)	-0.0058(7)	0.3980(4)	17.1(5)

parameters. The data collection and refinement parameters are given in Table 1. Atomic positional parameters are collected in Table 2.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$

A sequential Ph^- - Me^+ treatment of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)$ results in the transformation of $\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{C(OMe)Ph}$ to give $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ (1) with a 69% yield. It is likely that Ph^- attacks one of the carbonyl ligands to give $[\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(O)Ph})^-]$, and then methylation occurs at the benzoyl oxygen to generate the observed product:

TABLE 3. Selected interatomic distances and angles for compound 1

Bond distance (Å)		Bond distance (Å)		Bond angle (°)	
Os(1)–Os(2)	2.7676(7)	Os(1)–Os(3)	2.7482(8)	Os(2)–Os(1)–Os(3)	59.758(20)
Os(2)–Os(3)	2.7479(8)	Os(1)–C(1)	1.913(10)	Os(1)–Os(3)–Os(2)	60.471(20)
Os(1)–C(2)	1.871(11)	Os(1)–C(3)	1.973(11)	Os(2)–Os(1)–C(2)	132.8(3)
Os(1)–C(10)	2.199(10)	Os(1)–C(18)	2.108(10)	Os(2)–Os(1)–C(10)	51.8(3)
Os(2)–C(4)	1.920(11)	Os(2)–C(5)	1.933(11)	Os(3)–Os(1)–C(1)	76.7(3)
Os(2)–C(6)	1.850(12)	Os(2)–C(10)	2.230(11)	Os(3)–Os(1)–C(3)	114.8(3)
Os(2)–C(19)	2.111(10)	Os(3)–C(7)	1.898(12)	Os(3)–Os(1)–C(18)	54.3(3)
Os(3)–C(8)	1.842(12)	Os(3)–C(9)	1.898(11)	Os(1)–Os(2)–C(4)	129.4(3)
Os(3)–C(18)	2.288(10)	Os(3)–C(19)	2.300(10)	Os(1)–Os(2)–C(6)	137.2(4)
C(1)–O(1)	1.143(13)	C(2)–O(2)	1.162(14)	Os(1)–Os(2)–C(19)	71.1(3)
C(3)–O(3)	1.134(13)	C(4)–O(4)	1.121(13)	Os(3)–Os(2)–C(5)	108.7(3)
C(5)–O(5)	1.140(13)	C(6)–O(6)	1.185(14)	Os(3)–Os(2)–C(10)	109.2(3)
C(7)–O(7)	1.147(14)	C(8)–O(8)	1.177(14)	C(10)–Os(2)–C(19)	89.6(4)
C(9)–O(9)	1.150(13)	C(10)–C(11)	1.479(14)	Os(1)–Os(3)–C(8)	160.4(3)
C(10)–O(10)	1.417(12)	C(17)–O(10)	1.428(13)	Os(1)–Os(3)–C(18)	48.43(24)
C(18)–C(19)	1.418(14)	C(18)–C(20)	1.483(14)	Os(2)–Os(3)–C(7)	92.6(3)
C(19)–C(26)	1.537(15)			Os(2)–Os(3)–C(9)	165.4(4)
				Os(2)–Os(3)–C(19)	48.4(3)
				Os(1)–C(2)–O(2)	177.5(10)
				Os(2)–C(4)–O(4)	177.1(10)
				Os(2)–C(6)–O(6)	175.0(10)
				Os(3)–C(8)–O(8)	175.6(10)
				Os(1)–C(10)–O(10)	77.3(3)
				Os(1)–C(10)–C(18)	107.4(6)
				Os(2)–C(10)–O(10)	111.9(6)
				Os(1)–C(18)–O(3)	77.3(3)
				Os(1)–C(18)–C(20)	123.8(7)
				C(18)–O(3)–C(19)	36.0(3)
				C(19)–C(18)–C(20)	125.7(9)
				Os(2)–C(19)–C(18)	109.1(7)
				Os(3)–C(19)–C(18)	71.6(6)

For comparison, Shapley and coworkers [11] have previously shown that sequential $\text{Ph}^- \text{--} \text{Me}^+$ treatment is effective for transformation of carbonyl ligands to alkylidene and alkylidyne moieties in related triosmium systems.

The FAB mass spectrum of compound **1** gives the molecular ion peak at $m/z = 1126$ (^{192}Os) and ion multiplets corresponding to loss of nine carbonyls. The IR spectrum in the carbonyl region of compound **1** is compatible with those recorded for $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CHR})$, where $\text{R} = \text{H}$ or Ph [10,11]. The ^1H NMR spectrum shows a sharp singlet at 3.60 ppm, assigned to the methoxy protons, and a complicated multiplet in the range 7.66–6.64 ppm for the phenyl protons. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum for $\text{Os}_3(^*\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-}^*\text{C(OMe)Ph})$ (each enriched site about 33% ^{13}C) displays a low field resonance at 211.1 ppm, characteristic of bridging alkylidene carbons [12], and the carbonyl carbons in a 2:1:2:2:2 pattern in the usual range 185.7–172.3 ppm, implying a time-averaged C_s symmetry for the entire molecule.

3.2. Crystal structure of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$

A crystal of compound **1** contains an ordered array of discrete monomeric molecular units which are mutually separated by the normal van der Waals distances. The molecular geometry and atomic labelling scheme are illustrated in Fig. 1. The interatomic distances and angles are collected in Table 3.

Fig. 1. Molecular structure of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$ (**1**), showing the atomic labelling scheme used in the text.

The molecule is based upon a triangular array of osmium atoms in which the dibridged $\text{Os}(1)\text{--}\text{Os}(2)$ distance of 2.7676(7) Å is slightly but significantly longer than the other two intermetallic distances (*i.e.* an $\text{Os}(1)\text{--}\text{Os}(3)$ distance of 2.7482(8) Å and an $\text{Os}(2)\text{--}\text{Os}(3)$ distance of 2.7479(8) Å). A similar difference was found in $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CH}_2)$, where the dibridged $\text{Os}\text{--}\text{Os}$ distance is about 0.03 Å longer than the other two $\text{Os}\text{--}\text{Os}$ distances [10].

The diphenylacetylene ligand is coordinated to all three osmium atoms, forming a π bond to $\text{Os}(3)$, with an $\text{Os}(3)\text{--}\text{C}(18)$ distance of 2.29(1) Å and an $\text{Os}(3)\text{--}\text{C}(19)$ distance of 2.30(1) Å, and σ bonds to $\text{Os}(1)$ and $\text{Os}(2)$, with both $\text{Os}(1)\text{--}\text{C}(18)$ and $\text{Os}(2)\text{--}\text{C}(19)$ distances of 2.11(1) Å. The dihedral angle between the triosmium plane and the $\text{Os}(1)\text{--}\text{Os}(2)\text{--}\text{C}(18)\text{--}\text{C}(19)$ plane is 59.1(2)°. The $\text{Os}(1)\text{--}\text{Os}(2)$ edge is also bridged by the phenylmethoxycarbene ligand, which is tilted 15.0(4)° out of the triosmium plane towards the diphenylacetylene, where the $\text{Os}(1)\text{--}\text{C}(10)$ distance, which equals 2.20(1) Å, is slightly shorter than the $\text{Os}(2)\text{--}\text{C}(10)$ distance of 2.23(1) Å. Although the molecule has no crystallographically imposed symmetry in the solid state, it exhibits the expected idealized C_s symmetry in solution, as evidenced by the ^{13}C NMR spectrum in the carbonyl region.

3.3. Sequential $\text{H}^+ \text{--} \text{H}^-$ treatment of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$

Treatment of compound **1** with HBF_4 in diethyl ether solution generates an air-sensitive pale-yellow precipitate, formulated as $[\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)]^+[\text{BF}_4]^-$ (**2**). Although the crystal structure of complex **2** has not been determined, it has been carefully characterized by IR, ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopies. The IR spectrum in the carbonyl region reveals that the absorptions are shifted to higher energies, consistent with the complex **2** being a cationic species [13]. The ^1H NMR spectrum shows only the phenyl proton resonances in the range 7.63–7.24 ppm. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **2** by protonating $\text{Os}_3(^*\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-}^*\text{C(OMe)Ph})$ shows a broad signal at 176.6 ppm, assigned to the $\text{C}(\alpha)$ carbon in the metallacycle ring. The carbonyl carbon resonances are in a 1:2:1:4:1 pattern ranging from 184.8 to 171.1 ppm. The 4 C signal is revealed as arising from the $\text{Os}(^*\text{CO})_4$ unit, which equilibrates by two separate pseudo-three-fold rotation [14].

Reaction of complex **2** with lithium triethylborohydride leads to the known $(\mu\text{-H})\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{Ph}_3)$ (**3**) [9] and $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CHPh})$ (**4**) [8] with yields of 46% and 21% respectively. Thus it appears that initial protonation occurs at the methoxy group in compound **1** to eliminate a methanol species,

probably forming a benzyldiene intermediate $[\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CPh})]^+$, which then undergoes a facile C–C coupling reaction with the alkyne carbon and the alkylidene carbon to yield the complex **2**:

Subsequent hydride attack at the cluster framework would give compound **3**, or hydride attack occurring at C(α) of the metallacycle ring would re-form the alkylidene complex **4**:

3.4. Thermolysis of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-C(OMe)Ph})$

Heating compound **1** in refluxing hexane for 6 h yields a methyldiene complex $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CH}_2)$ (**5**) as the major product (yield, 43%):

Compound **5** has been previously prepared by Shapley and coworkers [10] from reaction of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)$ with diazomethane; moreover, thermolysis of compound **5** rearranges to $(\mu\text{-H})\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{HPh}_2)$ (**6**), but under harsher conditions (in refluxing xylene) [10]. An analogous reaction with $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Me}_2)(\mu\text{-CH}_2)$ was recently reported by Rosenberg and coworkers [15].

In order to trace the origin of the methyldiene hydrogen atoms, compound **1** was heated in benzene- d_6 but forming compound **5** without the incorporation of deuterium atoms. Moreover, thermolysis of compound **1** in wet solvents containing a trace amount of D_2O gave **5**, showing no deuterium labelling in the methyldiene position. Thus, a pathway via eliminating a PhOMe species from compound **1** and abstracting two hydrogen atoms from solvents or moisture to give **5** is unlikely. On the contrary, heating $\text{Os}_3(*\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-}*C(\text{OMe)Ph})$ (**1***) (each enriched site about

Scheme 1.

33% ^{13}C) results in $\text{Os}_3(*\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph}_2)(\mu\text{-CH}_2)$ (**5***), with loss of ^{13}C labelling at the alkylidene carbon atom, implying a more complicated mechanism. These results may be reasonably accounted for by the reaction sequence shown in Scheme 1. Apparently, the reaction is initiated by C–H activation of the methoxy group onto the triosmium framework, followed by hydride migration to the bridging alkylidene carbon and elimination of a benzaldehyde species to give the observed product. Supporting this mechanism, the elimination of PhC(O)H species has been confirmed by ^1H NMR (9.6 ppm for $-\text{C(O)H}$) by performing the conversion of **5** to **6** in benzene- d_6 solvent in a sealed NMR tube. Note that thermally induced elimination of benzaldehyde from a triruthenium benzoyl complex was previously described by Bonnet and coworkers [16].

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan, ROC.

References

- W.A. Herrmann, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, **20** (1982) 160; (b) W.A. Herrmann, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **54** (1982) 65; (c) J. Holton, M.F. Lappert, R. Pearce and P.I.W. Yarrow, *Chem. Rev.*, **83** (1983) 135; (d) J.P. Collman, L.S. Hegedus, J.R. Norton and R.G. Finke, *Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal*

- Chemistry, University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, 1987; (e) R.D. Adams, *Chem. Rev.*, 89 (1989) 1703; (f) Ch. Elschenbroich and A. Salzer, *Organometallics*, VCH, Weinheim, 1989; (g) L.S. Hegedus, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 62 (1990) 691.
- 2 (a) K.H. Theopold and R.G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 103 (1981) 2489; (b) F.A. Dyke, S.A.R. Knox, P.J. Marsch and G.E. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1980) 803; (c) K.H. Dötz, in H. tom Dieck and A. de Meijere (eds.), *Organometallics in Organic Synthesis*, Springer, Berlin, 1988, p. 85; (d) D.F. Shriver, H.D. Kaesz and R.D. Adams (eds.), *The Chemistry of Metal Cluster Complexes*, VCH, New York, 1990; (e) J. Robbins, G.C. Bazan, J.S. Murdzek, M.B. O'Regan and R.R. Schrock, *Organometallics*, 10 (1991) 2902; (f) K.H. Dötz, T. Schäfer, F. Kroll and K. Harms, *Angew. Chem., Int. Edn. Engl.*, 31 (1992) 1236; (g) T.R. Cundary and M.S. Gordon, *Organometallics*, 11 (1992) 55.
- 3 (a) J.R. Shapley, A.C. Sievert, M.R. Churchill and H.J. Wasserman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 103 (1981) 6975; (b) M.D. Curtis, L. Messerle, J.J. D'Errico, W.M. Butler and M.S. Hay, *Organometallics*, 5 (1986) 2283.
- 4 (a) J.C. Jeffrey, I. Moore, M. Murray and F.G.A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1982) 1741; (b) F.G.A. Stone, *Angew. Chem., Int. Edn. Engl.*, 23 (1984) 89, and references cited therein; (c) M.J. Attfield, J.A.K. Howard, A.N.M. Jelfs, C.M. Nunn and F.G.A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1987) 2219.
- 5 (a) E.N. Jacobsen and R.G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 107 (1985) 2023; (b) J.R. Shapley, M.E. Cree-Uchiyama, G.M. St.-George, M.R. Churchill and C. Bueno, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 105 (1983) 140; (c) M.R. Awang, J.C. Jeffrey and F.G.A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1983) 2091.
- 6 J.B. Keister, *Polyhedron*, 7 (1988) 847, and references cited therein.
- 7 (a) M. Tachikawa, J.R. Shapley and C.G. Pierpont, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97 (1975) 7172; (b) C.G. Pierpont, *Inorg. Chem.*, 16 (1977) 636.
- 8 A.D. Clauss, *Ph.D. Thesis*, University of Illinois, 1982.
- 9 M.R. Churchill, J.W. Ziller, J.R. Shapley and W.-Y. Yeh, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 353 (1988) 103.
- 10 A.D. Clauss, J.R. Shapley and S.R. Wilson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 103 (1981) 7387.
- 11 (a) W.-Y. Yeh, J.R. Shapley, Y.-J. Li and M.R. Churchill, *Organometallics*, 4 (1985) 767; (b) W.-Y. Yeh, J.R. Shapley, J.W. Ziller and M.R. Churchill, *Organometallics*, 6 (1987) 1; (c) W.-Y. Yeh, S.R. Wilson and J.R. Shapley, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 371 (1989) 257.
- 12 B.E. Mann and B.F. Taylor, *¹³C NMR Data for Organometallic Compounds*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- 13 W.-Y. Yeh, J.R. Shapley, J.W. Ziller and M.R. Churchill, *Organometallics*, 5 (1986) 1757.
- 14 P.D. Gavens and M.J. Mays, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 162 (1978) 389.
- 15 M. Day, W. Freeman, K.I. Hardcastle, M. Isomaki, S.E. Kabir, T. McPhillips, E. Rosenberg, L.G. Scott and E. Woff, *Organometallics*, 11 (1992) 3376.
- 16 N. Lugan, G. Lavigne, J.J. Bonnet, R. Rèau, D. Neibecker and I. Tkatchenko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 110 (1988) 5369.