Effect of Metal–Metal Interactions on Electron Transfer: an STM Study of One-Dimensional Metal String Complexes
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Linear metal string complexes of \([\text{M}_n\text{L}_m(\text{NCS})_2]\) (Scheme 1, \(\text{M} = \text{Ni}^{II}, \text{Co}^{II}, \text{or Cr}^{II}, n = 3 \text{ or } 5; \text{L} = \text{dpa}^- \text{ or tpda}^2^-\) where \(\text{dpa}^-\) is dipyridylamido anion and \(\text{tpda}^2^-\) is tripyridyldiamido dianion) are studied for the fundamental understanding of the effect of inter-nuclei interactions on electron transfer (ET). The metal strings are isolated within \(n\)-alkanethiol monolayers and the properties of ET through various metal strings are differentiated by scanning tunneling microscopy. Although very similar in physical dimension, their apparent heights against the same alkanethiol background are significantly different. The discrepancy is ascribed to electron localization–delocalization among the metal centers.

**Introduction**

Studies of metal string complexes such as those sketched in Scheme 1 have been an important subject in the fundamental research of metal–metal interactions\(^1\)–\(^25\) and have attracted considerable attention due to the potential applications of the complexes as molecular wires. Structural characterization by X-ray diffraction reveals that the metal ions are collinear and wrapped helically with four ligands.\(^1\)–\(^3\)–\(^5\)–\(^22\) Synthetic effort of lengthening the ligands\(^26\) and the metal complexes has been making progress. Currently, a string of 9 nickel ions (i.e., \(m = 3\) in Scheme 1), equivalent to a ca. 3-nm long string, has been successfully engineered,\(^3\) advancing further toward molecular wires for nanoscale devices. An essential property for becoming a new class of material practical for nanotechnology is having good electrical conductivity. Although conductance of organic molecules with\(^27\)–\(^34\) and without\(^34\)–\(^40\) \(\pi\)-electron conjugated system as well as metalated phthalocyanines\(^41\),\(^42\) or porphyrins\(^43\) monolayers has been investigated down to the molecular level, this is yet unexplored for the metal string complexes, in which the electrons are likely to transfer through the metal centers.

In this study, we demonstrate that manipulation of the electron-transfer (ET) properties of the molecular wires is feasible by rational design and fine-tune of the metal–metal interactions. For instance, Cotton et al.\(^12\)–\(^14\) and our group\(^9\)–\(^12\) proposed that the ET efficiency should be determined by the number of delocalized electrons at the metal centers. In this current study, with the axial ligands of isothiocyanate shown in Scheme 1, the neutral metal string complexes exhibit symmetric metal–metal distances,\(^5\)–\(^8\),\(^21\) (Note that with other axial ligands and under the influence of crystallization environments and conditions, unsymmetric metal–metal distances have been reported.\(^1\)–\(^15\)) The internuclear interactions can then be adjusted by one-electron oxidation,\(^7\)–\(^13\) and their electron configurations between states of localization and delocalization are thus regulated. Therefore, an on/off switch-like behavior associated with the neutral-oxidized states of the complexes is proposed.\(^8\),\(^9\),\(^12\),\(^13\) However, still lacking is a direct evidence in correlation of their ET properties with bond orders and with localization-delocalization states. To this end, we assess qualitatively their ET efficiency at single molecular level, following the STM (scanning tunneling microscopy) methodology, developed by Allara, Tour, and Weiss et al.,\(^25\) with STM imaging of the metal string complexes isolated within \(n\)-dodecanethiol or \(n\)-decanethiol monolayers. In constant-current mode of STM operation, the tunneling current is kept constant by adjusting the height of the scanner at each measurement point with a feedback loop. The topography of STM images represents the electronic density of states or constant tunneling probability at the surface. For example, the metal string complexes exhibit enhanced contrast against the surrounding alkanethiol matrix due to the fact that their ET probabilities are higher than that of the alkanethiols. In the following section, the relative ET probabilities between the complexes are compared by the apparent heights against the same alkanethiol background. We will show that their ET properties are strongly influenced by their electronic configurations and by the number of delocalized electrons among the metal centers.
Experimental Section

Chemical Materials. All chemical reagents were of reagent grade and were used as received unless otherwise noted. Dichloromethane used for electrochemical preparation of oxidized complexes was dried over CaH2 and freshly distilled prior to use. Tetra-n-butylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) was recrystallized twice from ethyl acetate and further dried under vacuum. Preparation methods for H2(tpda)-5,6-[Ni3(tpda)4(NCS)2]5, [Co5(tpda)4(NCS)2](ClO4)2, [Cr5(tpda)4(NCS)2]6, and [Co5(tpda)4(NCS)2]- were the same as the procedures reported previously.

Preparation of [Ni2(t-4-3-dpa)(NCS)2]. Ligand Hdp4 (0.204 g, 1.20 mmol), NiCl2 (0.144 g, 1.1 mmol), and 30 g naphthalene (as solvent) were placed in an 50-mL Erlenmeyer flask and the mixture was heated and stirred at 150 °C for 3 h to remove water. After an increase of temperature to 180 °C, t-BuOK (0.156 g, 1.6 mmol) in 10 mL of n-BuOH was added dropwise. Afterward, the solution was severely refluxed until about 10 mL of naphthalene was left. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature. Hexane was added and the mixture was filtered. The solid was extracted with CH2Cl2. The solution was removed by a rotary evaporator till 50 mL to which excess NaSCN was added and stirred for 2 days. The mixture was filtered and washed with CH2Cl2 until the residue appeared white. The product was in solution phase and was collected by removal of CH2Cl2 with a rotary evaporator. Deep-purple crystals of [Ni2(t-4-3-dpa)(NCS)2] were obtained by recrystallization from CH2Cl2 and hexane.

Preparation of [Co5(t-4-3-dpa)(NCS)2]. This complex has been prepared by Cotton, Murillo, and co-workers and found to be the same as the procedures reported previously.

Preparation of [Cr5(t-4-3-dpa)(NCS)2](ClO4)]. Cr adhesive layer and were flame-annealed a few times before each experiment. The mixed monolayers were prepared by insertion of the metal string complexes into pre-assembled n-alkanethiol monolayers where the soaking solutions were 1 mM solutes dissolved in ethanol for n-alkanethiols and dichloromethane for the complexes. We found that among common organic solvents dichloromethane seemed the only one that allowed insertion of the metal string complexes while maintaining the alkanethiol molecules well ordered. STM measurements were carried out with a NanoScope II (Digital Instruments, Santa Barbara, CA) using a low-current scanning head (model HD-0.51), which had an adjustable resistor to obtain a higher tunneling impedance. Commercial Pt/Ir tips (Nanotips, Digital Instruments, Santa Barbara, CA) were employed. Typical imaging conditions of tunneling current and bias voltage were ranged from 10 to 15 pA and from 1.2 to 2 V, respectively. The microscope was housed in a chamber where dry N2 was purging throughout the experiments and the humidity was lower than 2%.

IR Characterization. Transmission IR spectra of the metal string complexes were obtained by KBr-pelleted samples. For spectra of monolayer films, the substrates were 200-nm-thick gold films thermally evaporated onto glass slides pre-cleaned with piranha solution which is a 1:3 (v/v) mixture of 30% H2O2 and concentrated H2SO4. This solution reacts violently with organic materials and should be handled with great care. The pressure in the bell-jar evaporator (Auto 306, Edwards High Vacuum International, West Sussex, UK) was nominally 3 × 10−6 Torr. A 5-nm Cr underlayer was used to enhance the adhesion of the gold film. Absorption spectroscopy was carried out with a Perkin-Elmer System 2000 infrared spectrometer equipped with an MCT detector cooled with liquid nitrogen. The measurement scheme was a single reflection mode, and the p-polarized light was incident at 85° from the surface normal with a grazing angle accessory (FT-85, Spectra-Tech, Shelton, CT). The light path, detector, and sample chambers were purged with dry nitrogen. 1024 scans of both the sample and the counter electrodes were both Pt mesh with dimensions about 1 cm2. The cell was a conventional H-shape glass cell with a porous frit to separate the compartments of working and counter electrodes where the solution in the latter did not contain [Ni3(tpda)4(NCS)2]. Electrolysis was taking place at Eappl = 0.85 V vs Ag/AgCl for 30 min and the solution was stirred throughout the experiment under N2 environment. The solution was then transferred quickly to a sample vial where the sample was prepared by immersion of gold substrates with preadsorbed alkanethiol SAMs.

Preparation of [Cr5(t-4-3-dpa)(NCS)2]+(ClO4)]. Deep-brown crystals of [Cr5(t-4-3-dpa)(NCS)2]+(ClO4)] were obtained by crystallization from CH2Cl2 and hexane.

STM Measurements. The Au(111) substrates (Gold arrandee, purchased from Metallhandel Schroer GmbH, Germany) were ~200–300 nm thick gold films with a ~1–4 nm thick Cr adhesive layer and were flame-annealed a few times before each experiment. The mixed monolayers were prepared by insertion of the metal string complexes into pre-assembled n-alkanethiol monolayers where the soaking solutions were 1 mM solutes dissolved in ethanol for n-alkanethiols and dichloromethane for the complexes. We found that among common organic solvents dichloromethane seemed the only one that allowed insertion of the metal string complexes while maintaining the alkanethiol molecules well ordered. STM measurements were carried out with a NanoScope II (Digital Instruments, Santa Barbara, CA) using a low-current scanning head (model HD-0.51), which had an adjustable resistor to obtain a higher tunneling impedance. Commercial Pt/Ir tips (Nanotips, Digital Instruments, Santa Barbara, CA) were employed. Typical imaging conditions of tunneling current and bias voltage were ranged from 10 to 15 pA and from 1.2 to 2 V, respectively. The microscope was housed in a chamber where dry N2 was purging throughout the experiments and the humidity was lower than 2%.
reference (bare Au) were collected at 4-cm⁻¹ resolution for signal averaging.

**Results and Discussion**

Typical IR spectra of tri-nickel and penta-nickel complexes prepared in KBr pellets by transmission IR are shown, respectively, in Figure 1, parts A and B, where the characteristic vibrational peaks for thiocyanate (νCN ~ 2063 cm⁻¹) and the pyridyl moiety (a₁, b₁, b₂)⁴⁵,⁴⁶ are denoted. Figure 1, parts C and D, is the monolayer IRAS spectra (infrared reflection–absorption spectroscopy) of tri-nickel and penta-nickel complexes prepared by insertion onto dodecanethiol (C₁₂SH) pre-assembled polycrystalline gold substrate. The aliphatic peaks at 2850~2965 cm⁻¹ arise from the matrix of dodecanethiol SAMs. The vibrational peak of the two axial ligands splits, indicating that one of the thiocyanates is S-bonded to the Au substrate (νCN ~ 2140 cm⁻¹)⁴⁷ and the other remains uncoordinated (νCN ~ 2063 cm⁻¹).

For the convenience of the following discussion, defined in the left of Figure 1 are the transition dipoles of the pyridyl moiety, a₁ (~1600 cm⁻¹) and b₁ (~1460, ~1425, ~1155 cm⁻¹) are in-plane vibrational modes and are, respectively, parallel and perpendicular to the C₂ axis of this group; b₂ (~765 cm⁻¹) is an out-of-plane mode.⁴⁵,⁴⁶ The intensity ratios of b₁ to a₁ and b₁ to b₂ attenuate significantly between the corresponding vibrational peaks for thiocyanate (νCN) and diacetylene (νC≡N). The intensity ratio of b₁ to a₁, after signal averaging, is imaged by the metal string complexes. Also noticed is that the same range because their IRAS spectra (not shown) are so similar that the tilt angles are indistinguishable by such estimation.

Figure 2A shows a typical STM image of monolayers prepared by insertion of Ni₃(µ₃-dpa)(NCS)₂ complexes onto preadsorbed n-dodecanethiol SAMs. The image exhibits a protrusion surrounded by the lattice identical to the simple (√3 x √3)R30° of n-alkanethiol SAMs on Au(111).⁵⁰⁻⁵⁵ The protrusion is attributed to the result of Ni₃(µ₃-dpa)(NCS)₂ embedded within the alkanethiol matrix based on the following assessment. First, such a topographic feature is consistent with our expectation that the conductance of the metal string complexes is superior to those of n-alkanethiols because in STM images the former appears higher than the latter although it is shorter even when a tilted chain angle of 30° for n-alkanethiols⁻⁴⁻⁻⁵⁻ is considered. Second, the height above the dodecanethiol lattice (i.e. ΔhSTM) for the protrusions is, in average, 7.8 ± 1.0 Å for tri-nickel complex (Figure 2A and Table 1) and is raised to 11.3 ± 0.3 Å for penta-nickel complex (Figure 2B and Table 2). Third, with thinner films of n-decanethiol SAMs as the matrix, the averaged difference in height for Ni₅(µ₅-tpda)(NCS)₂ is increased correspondingly to 8.3 ± 0.5 Å (shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S1A). Although we do not rule out the possibility that the protrusions are aggregates of the metal string complexes, it is a consensus for STM studies of surface containing sharp objects that the diameter and shape of the protrusion are dominated by the curvature of the STM tip²⁻⁻³⁻⁻⁵⁻ whose geometry, in this case, is imaged by the metal string complexes. Also noticed is that
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values listed in the parentheses are the lengths of metal complexes by thickness of the surrounding dodecanethiol matrix. The thickness of n-dodecanethiol SAMs was estimated to be 14 Å to 15 Å of 19, 58, 59 ~17 Å, and ~20 Å16 by ellipsometry. 17 Å is used in this estimation. Only images with molecular resolution are used for average. The numbers of films are around 20 for each complex.

TABLE 1: Summary of STM Topographic Heights of the Neutral and Oxidized penta-Nuclear Metal String Complexes Relative to n-Dodecanethiol Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ni5 (14.1 Å)</th>
<th>Co5 (14.0 Å)</th>
<th>Cr5 (14.6 Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>estimateda</td>
<td>-3.9 ~ -4.8 Å</td>
<td>-4.0 ~ -4.9 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆HSTM</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.0 Å</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.6 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ast Shown in the parentheses are corresponding molecular lengths between the terminal sulfur atoms measured from X-ray diffraction (shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S3). For tri-nickel, tri-cobalt, and tri-chromium complexes, the molecular heights are 13.1 ~ 12.2, 13.0 ~ 12.1, and 13.5 ~ 12.6 Å, respectively, based on the tilt angle of 22° ~ 30° estimated from IRAS measurements (Figure 1).a The estimated height difference is calculated by subtraction of the height of metal complexes by thickness of the surrounding dodecanethiol matrix. The thickness of n-dodecanethiol SAMs was estimated to be 14 ~ 15 Å, ~17 Å, and ~20 Å by ellipsometry. 17 Å is used in this estimation. Only images with molecular resolution are used for average. The numbers of films are around 20 for each complex.

TABLE 2: Summary of STM Topographic Heights of the Neutral and Oxidized penta-Nuclear Metal String Complexes Relative to n-Dodecanethiol Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ni5</th>
<th>Co5</th>
<th>Cr5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>neutral complexes</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.3 Å</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.7 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxidized (+1) complexes</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.4 Å</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.4 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

∆HSTM appears not affected by the domain size of the protrusion (shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S3).

It is interesting and of fundamental importance to explore how the metal centers affect the ET probability of the corresponding metal string complexes. The ET probability is compared using the height of protrusions against the same matrix of n-dodecanethiol monolayer. Summarized in Table 1 are the nominal heights for tri-nickel, tri-cobalt, and tri-chromium complexes. The values listed in the parentheses are the lengths of the metal string molecules derived from X-ray diffraction measurements. The difference in their physical lengths is within 0.6 Å. However, the topographic STM images reveal that the protruding features for the tri-cobalt and the tri-chromium complexes are, respectively, 2.2 and 5.0 Å higher than that of the tri-nickel complex. Although the electron conducts through the dpa− ligands is a likely pathway, the fact that these complexes have very similar structures and tilt angles relative to the surface normal demonstrates that the difference in ET probability (i.e., difference in ∆hSTMA) should be ascribed to the difference in their metal−metal interactions.

To further explore the effect of metal−metal interactions on conducting properties, the electronic structures of the neutral complexes are fine-tuned by one-electron oxidation. Oxidized tri-nuclear complexes are relatively less stable than the penta-nuclear ones under ambient conditions, and thus, the latter are employed in this examination. For comparison, both neutral and oxidized penta-nuclear complexes are investigated and the protrusion heights against n-dodecanethiol monolayers are tabulated in Table 2. Inserted within the same matrix, the neutral penta-nuclear complexes appear 3.5 ~ 4.2 Å taller than the corresponding tri-nuclear ones. The heights for the penta-cobalt and the penta-chromium complexes are 2.9 and 5.5 Å higher than that of the penta-nickel complex, consistent with the trend observed from that of tri-nuclear ones. Interestingly, the oxidized penta-nickel complex exhibits superior ET efficiency to its neutral form. The protrusions are raised nominally 2.6 Å, from 11.3 ± 0.3 Å to 13.9 ± 0.4 Å, practically the same level as those of the neutral penta-cobalt complex. In the case of oxidized pentacobalt complexes, the height of protrusions drops slightly, right on the lower margin of standard deviation from the average value of the neutral ones. However, the oxidized penta-chromium complex exhibits a considerable decrease of 3.2 Å.

Considering that the metal strings coordinate with the same ligands and have almost identical dimensions, the height difference probed by STM should be attributed to ET tunneling through the metal centers, rather than ascribed to ET via the ligands nor dominated by the difference in physical lengths and molecular orientation. Such a phenomenon is, however, not unprecedented. For example, Hipps et al. have shown a strong influence of the metal ion valence configuration (d6~d9) on the observed tunneling images of metalated phthalocyanines and tetraphenylporphyrins on Au(111). To correlate the metal−metal interactions with their ET probabilities, we map out electronic structures by the extended Hückel calculations5~7 in which one of the prerequisites is filling in the metal−metal bond distances. The metal−metal distances of the nickel strings, including both the neutral16 and one-electron oxidized12,12 forms, are usually symmetric. However, in some cases for tri-cobalt20 and tri-chromium14,15 complexes, the crystal symmetry of the metal−metal chains and their bond lengths are a function of environment and temperature during crystallization. Therefore, we should decide whether symmetric or unsymmetric ones are plausible to use in this calculation. For the cobalt strings, within the time scale of NMR spectroscopy, only symmetric metal−metal bonds have been found in solution.8,11,22 Isolated within the matrix of n-alkanethiol SAMs, the metal strings are not in a crystalline state, more similar to those in solution than in crystals. In the case of chromium strings, their symmetry in solution has never been reported in the literature. Calculations show that results utilizing symmetric structure for the neutral chromium strings explains the STM observations better than using the unsymmetric one (vide infra). For the one-electron oxidized chromium strings where only unsymmetric form has been found,7,10,13,17 we believe that the structure is unsymmetric. To summarize, symmetric structures are used in the extended Hückel calculations except the one-electron oxidized chromium one.

The parameters for calculations are identical to our previous qualitative studies5~7 in which we have concluded that the bond orders for the symmetric and neutral complexes of nickel, cobalt, and chromium are respectively 0, 0.5, and 1.5, which indicate the degree of electron delocalization and thus the efficiency of electrons conducting through the core atoms of the metal string complexes. Qualitatively speaking, the ascending trend of height difference revealed in Tables 1 and 2 for nickel, cobalt, and chromium complexes correlates well with their bond orders.

Why do the metal string complexes respond so differently upon one-electron oxidation? Extended Hückel calculations of the penta-nuclear complexes and crystal structures of [Ni5(µ5-tpda)4(SO3F3)2]2+, [Co5(µ5-tpda)4(NCS)2]2+, and [Cr5(µ5-tpda)2F2]2+ are examined and compared with the corresponding neutral complexes. Due to the difficulty in growing high quality crystals of [Ni5(µ5-tpda)4(NCS)2]2+ and [Cr5(µ5-tpda)2(NCS)2]2+, structures of complexes with other axial ligands are employed in this comparison. The information of the metal−metal bond lengths and the X-ray crystallographic structures are referred to the Supporting Information. Although oversimplified, summarized in Scheme 2 is a self-explanatory illustration. The δ bonds of penta-chromium complex are regarded as nonbonding orbitals for the helical conformation of the tpda− ligand and thus are not shown in the scheme for clarity. For the neutral
complexes, the d electron configurations for penta-nickel, penta-cobalt, and penta-chromium are \( n_1^2 n_2^2 n_3^2 n_4^2 n_5^2 \), \( n_1^2 n_2^2 n_3^2 n_4^2 n_5^2 \), and \( n_1^2 n_2^2 n_3^2 n_4^2 n_5^2 \) respectively (where subscript \( n \) denotes nonbonding). After one electron oxidation, the bond order for penta-nickel complexes increases and stays the same for penta-cobalt complexes, consistent with their dissimilar response of protrusion heights in STM measurements. Regarding the marginal decrease in protrusion heights for \([\text{Co}_5(t_3\text{dpa})_4\text{NCS}_2]\) (Figure S3), detailed crystal data of \([\text{Ni}_5(t_3\text{dpa})_4\text{NCS}_2]\), \([\text{Co}_5(t_3\text{dpa})_4\text{NCS}_2]\) (Figure S4), \([\text{Ni}_5(t_3\text{dpa})_4\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{BF}_4)_2]^{2-}\), \([\text{Co}_5(t_3\text{dpa})_4\text{NCS}_2]\) \( 1^+ \), \([\text{Co}_5(t_3\text{dpa})_4\text{F}_2]^{2-}\), \([\text{Co}_5(t_3\text{dpa})_4\text{BF}_4]^{2-}\) (Figure S5), the oxidized form exhibit inferior ET efficiency due to electron localization. The examples of penta-nickel and penta-chromium complexes demonstrate that their ET properties can be manipulated and shed light on the potential applications such as molecular switches.

**Conclusion**

IRAS measurements indicate that the metal string complexes chemisorb on Au substrate via the sulfur—gold interactions and that the tilt angles relative to the surface normal are similar to that of the dodecanethiol matrix. We have shown the effect of metal—metal interactions on the STM topographic height, a result of ET via tunneling through the metal string complexes. For complexes with symmetric crystal structures, such as the neutral tri- and penta-nuclear complexes, the attenuation of their ET probability is dependent on the number of delocalized electrons or the metal—metal bond order. In the case of penta-chromium where, upon one-electron oxidation, the symmetric bonding scheme is altered significantly to an unsymmetric structure, the oxidized form exhibit inferior ET efficiency due to electron localization. The examples of penta-nickel and penta-chromium complexes demonstrate that their ET properties can be manipulated and shed light on the potential applications such as molecular switches.
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