Spontaneous modulation instability in noninstantaneous self-defocusing medium with a coherent feedback
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A B S T R A C T

We find theoretically that the optical modulation instability can spontaneously happen in the noninstantaneous defocusing medium when there is a coherent feedback. It requires both above-threshold gain and phase matching for the instability to happen, very similar to the oscillation condition of a laser. The theoretical result is in good agreement with our experimental observation.
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1. Introduction

Modulation instability (MI), which happens in many nonlinear wave systems [1–3], is a phenomenon that a small amplitude modulation of a carrier wave grows exponentially due to the nonlinear response of the medium. In tradition, it is believed that spatial optical MI can only exist in the self-focusing medium since the so-called Lighthill criterion, requiring the nonlinearity working opposite to the diffraction, must be satisfied [3,4]. However, it has been known that the behavior of the system may be modified, or even is completely different, if the response of the system is delayed as compared to the instantaneously responding system [5–8]. In the former study [9–11], it is demonstrated that MI may still occur in the self-defocusing medium in the noninstantaneously delayed defocusing medium and the growth rate is a function of the spatial and temporal frequencies of the input perturbation (Fig. 1). In Fig. 1, the instability gain coefficient has no peaks, different from the usual gain coefficient for self-focusing medium, in which the spontaneous MI patterns always happen at the frequency of the highest gain [1–4]. As a result, if the light contains only white noise, every component at the gain plateau cannot single itself out and the pattern cannot form spontaneously. Recently, it has been demonstrated that in a cavity, the characteristic of MI is greatly modified [12–15]. Other than that, pattern formations with two light beams counter propagating in a nonlinear medium [16–19] or with the liquid crystal light valve put into a ring cavity [20–22] have also shown intriguing behaviors induced by the feedback. In this paper, we will demonstrate theoretically that the spatial MI can spontaneously occur in the noninstantaneous defocusing medium when an appropriate ring coherent feedback is present, and demonstrate its dependence on the feedback, similar to the oscillation condition of a laser cavity, requiring above-threshold gain and phase matching. We also demonstrate the results experimentally and find it in good agreement with the theory.

2. Theoretical analysis

The analysis is based on the setup shown in Fig. 2. A beam splitter (BS), a polarization beam splitter (PBS1), and a pair of mirrors form the cavity. Inside the cavity, a noninstantaneous self-defocusing medium of length L is placed. We first consider the field propagation in the defocusing medium. We follow similar steps in the previous research [11]. We start from \( \nabla^2 E - \mu (\partial^2 D/\partial t^2) = 0 \), with D = \( \epsilon_0 |E|^2 E \) being the displacement and the dielectric coefficient \( \epsilon_r = \epsilon_0 (n_0 + \delta n)^2 \). Assuming that the nonlinearity is of the relaxation type with time constant \( \tau \), we have \( \delta n|E(t)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{t} (1/\tau) F|E(t_1)|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(t - t_1)}{\tau}\right) dt_1 \), with F being the nonlinearity form. Notice that when substituting \( E = A(\vec{r}, t) \exp(i \omega t - ikz) \) into the differential equation above, we neglect all time derivatives of the envelope A much smaller than the second time derivative of \( \exp(i \omega t - ikz) \), if \( 1/\tau \ll \omega \). Using the paraxial approximation, we obtain

\[
\nabla^2 A - 2ik \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + 2k^2 \frac{\delta n|A|^2}{n_0} A = 0.
\]

\( (1) \)
Let \( A = (A_0 + a) \exp(-i\gamma z) \) as the unperturbed plane wave plus a small perturbation \( a \), where \( \gamma = kF(A_0^2)/n_0 \) and \( a = a_1 + a_2 \) with\( a_{1,2} = \text{Re} \{\omega_0 \delta \exp(i\Omega \cdot t + i\delta - ih_2z + h_2z)\} \). \( \Omega \) and \( k \) are the temporal frequency and spatial frequency, respectively. By separating the real and imaginary parts of Eq. (1), we get

\[
A_1 = \frac{\text{Re} \{\omega_0 \delta \exp(i\Omega \cdot t + i\delta - ih_2z + h_2z)\}}{\Omega_2}, \quad A_2 = \frac{\text{Im} \{\omega_0 \delta \exp(i\Omega \cdot t + i\delta - ih_2z + h_2z)\}}{\Omega_2}
\]

Here, \( P = k_0/(2\pi\sqrt{n_0}) \). \( k\delta \) is the normalized spatial frequency, \( Q = \Omega_2 \) the normalized temporal frequency, and \( \kappa = \frac{\text{Re} \{\omega_0 \delta \exp(i\Omega \cdot t + i\delta - ih_2z + h_2z)\}}{\Omega_2} \) the nonlinearity strength. The gain \( h_2 \) for several \( Q, \Omega_2 \) combinations is shown in Fig. 1. As the former study [11] has shown, the perturbation of light propagating through a distance \( L \) in a noninstantaneous self-defocusing medium will acquire a amplitude growth and a phase shift by \( \exp(h_2L) \exp(ih_1L) \). We then consider for the feedback. We assume a fraction of light, \( \exp(h_2L) \exp(ih_1L) \), to satisfy the oscillation condition. The threshold happens as the denominator of Eq. (3) vanishes, which implies a finite transmitted wave \( a(L) \) may still take place spontaneously even without any incident source \( a(0) \). It also requires \( h_1L = 2\pi n \) to satisfy the phase matching condition with \( n \) being an integer. Since \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are dependent on \( P \) and \( Q \), only certain spatial and temporal frequency combination can satisfy both conditions simultaneously. In other words, only the noise of certain spatial and temporal frequencies satisfying the oscillation condition will get infinite amplification and emerges.

However, the oscillation condition of Eq. (3) is based on the “ideal feedback” assumption, i.e. the total optical path is a multiple of the wavelength. If there is a phase deviation caused by the difference between the length of the feedback optical path and the multiple of the wavelength, it will bring an additional phase to the field and modify Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively to

\[
a(L) = [a(0) + \epsilon a(L) \exp(i\delta)] \exp(h_2L) \exp(ih_1L),
\]

and

\[
a(L) = \frac{a(0) \exp(h_2L) \exp(ih_1L)}{1 - \epsilon \exp(h_2L) \exp(ih_1L)} \exp(i\delta)
\]

The new oscillation conditions, hence, are rewritten as \( h_1L + \delta = 2\pi n \) and \( 1 - \epsilon \exp(h_2L) = 0 \).

3. Experimental setup

We then try to observe the theoretical prediction experimentally. We conduct the experiment with a biased photorefractive SBN:60 crystal \( (a \times b \times c = 5\text{mm} \times 5\text{mm} \times 10\text{mm}) \) inside the cavity (Fig. 2). A collimated laser light with extraordinary polarization from a 532 nm CW double frequency NdYAG laser passes through the SBN crystal and covers the entire crystal. To ensure the mean-field statement, we performed another interference experiment to make sure its coherent length is several times the length of the cavity and it is a single mode. PBS1 reflects part of the light for feedback. The amount of feedback beam can be controlled by adjusting WP1. We adopt the 4-f image method twice by inserting four lenses in the feedback path to project the image at the output face of the crystal onto the input face. WP2 and PBS2 rotate the polarization of the feedback light beam to be extraordinary while BS in front of the crystal combines the incident and feedback beams. BS is well adjusted to make sure the image at the output face of the crystal is projected at the input face with exactly the same transverse coordinates. The angle between the overlapping light beams is also adjusted to be smaller than \( 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \) degree to make sure the fields are added as parallel plane waves. To create the self-defocusing condition, a bias voltage is applied alone the \( c \)-axis of the crystal. Finally, all experimental images are captured by a lens and a CCD camera. In this setup, the total optical path of the feedback is less than 1 m, much less than the coherence length of the CW laser light, ensuring the mean field assumption.

4. Experimental results

We start the experiment with a biasing voltage at 300 V, which yields a maximal index change of \( \Delta n = 5.06 \times 10^{-5} \) given that the effective electro-optic coefficient is 260 pm/V. The illumination intensity is 39 mw/mm². We increase the feedback ratio \( \epsilon \) gradually from zero. There is no spontaneous pattern formation for the feedback ratio up to 16% (Fig. 3a). But when the feedback is near the threshold at around 22%, the pattern begin to emerge spontaneously (Fig. 3b). When it is well above the threshold, the patterns are clearly displayed (Fig. 3c). According to the theoretical analysis, the threshold value for \( \epsilon \) is proportional to \( 1/\exp(h_2L) \), where \( h_2 \) relates positively to the nonlinearity strength \( \kappa \), meaning a system with smaller \( \kappa \) needs larger feedback to satisfy the oscillation con-
Fig. 3. Light intensity patterns at the output face of the self-defocusing photorefractive SBN crystal under different biasing voltage within a cavity of different feedback ratios. Note the faint stripes shown in (a) and (d) are due to the striation in the crystal.

Fig. 4. Time varying MI patterns. (1.95 MB).

Fig. 5. Formation of MI patterns of different spatial periods under the same feedback ratio and strength of the nonlinearity at the same area at different time.

Fig. 6. Table of normalized spatial frequency $P_i$ of the experimental patterns. $P_{\text{theory}}$ is derived from theory.
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