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Abstract — Determination of the rotational symmetry of a shape is useful for object recognition and shape analysis in computer vision application. However, the usual normalization methods are inapplicable when the shape is N-fold rotationally-symmetric (N ≥ 3). In this paper, an efficient and reliable new method using modified Fourier transform is introduced to normalize such a 2D rotationally-symmetric shape. Furthermore, we extend this new method to the normalization of a N-fold axial rotationally-symmetric 3D object. There are two obvious advantages when using this new method: (1) it prevents the high computation load and the difficulty in normalizing the shape's orientation which occurred in the old methods using full matching or correlation functions; and (2) the weakness introduced by the old methods using data reduction (from 2D data to 1D data) is remedied. Experiments will show the validity of our method.

Shape normalization Moment Rotational symmetry Principal axis Fourier transform

1. INTRODUCTION

Determination of shape orientation is very useful in a number of computer vision applications, especially for image registration or matching. Before two shapes can be matched for recognition, they are usually scaled and rotated to an identical size and orientation. These pre-processing jobs are usually called 2D shape normalization.

There are several methods proposed for defining the orientations of 2D shapes, such as the use of principal axes, shape matrices, mirror-symmetry axes, etc. However, these methods usually fail when the tested shape is rotationally-symmetric. A shape is said to be rotationally-symmetric (RS) if the shape, after being rotated around its own centroid through any multiple of a certain fixed angle, becomes identical to itself. The reason why they fail is that the principal axes of these RS shapes are undefined, and many RS shapes are themselves not mirror-symmetric.

For defining the orientation of a RS shape, several methods are proposed. Chaudhuri et al. used curvature analysis to determine the best axis of symmetry. A correlation function between pairs of curve points is defined, and determines the best axis of symmetry as the one corresponding to the maximum correlation value. Zahn and Roskies, Wallace and Wintz and Criminins used the Fourier descriptors to analyze the rotational symmetry of simple planar closed curves. Abu-Mostafa and Psaltis used complex moment and circular harmonic coefficients to analyze N-fold RS shapes. After a polar re-sampling, Perkins used exhaustive matching to determine the order N of rotational symmetry and Highnam reduced the 2D problem to a linear pattern matching problem. Tsai and Chou defined the generalized principal axes of a N-fold RS shape by using its high-order moment functions. Leou and Tsai reduced the fold number N of a simple RS closed contour by finding the number of crossing points between the average radius and the contour. Lin utilized the characters about the so-called fold-expanded shape to define the orientation of a N-fold RS shape. Pei used a modified Fourier descriptor to determine the fold number and then normalizes the orientation of a RS shape.

Generally speaking, a lot of RS shapes cannot be easily represented by only one closed contour. Some RS shapes which have complicated boundary and inside holes belong to this case. Therefore, the methods described in references (6)-(9) and (14) are inapplicable in these situations. Some methods (e.g. reference (11)) attempt to solve this problem in a more general view: they usually re-sample the original 2D shape in the polar coordinate system (r — θ), and then make a full matching or calculate the correlation function in the angular direction θ. Although these methods can determine the fold number of complex RS shapes perfectly, it seems too much computational cost is paid. Besides, the calculated matching or correlation functions contain no information about the shape's orientation, which is not beneficial for the shape normalization. On the other hand, Tsai and his co-workers define the orientation of a rotationally-symmetric shape by higher-order moments or fold-expanded shape; their methods do not seem efficient since the fold number
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must be determined before applying their algorithms. To remedy the above shortages, Pei et al.\cite{16} introduce a method using modified Fourier transform. After a polar re-sampling, the 2D data function \(f(r, \theta)\) is reduced to a 1D periodic function \(g(\theta)\) defined as

\[
g(\theta) = \int_{r=0}^{\infty} f(r, \theta) r \, dr. \tag{1}\]

Based on the periodicity of \(g(\theta)\) of a RS 2D shape, he successfully determines the fold number \(N\) and defines the shape's orientation by the 1D Fourier transform of \(g(\theta)\). However, readers should not forget that the reduction of computational cost resulted from the reduction of input data (2D to 1D). That is to say, some information of periodicity of the shape is lost. For example, some very obvious RS shapes may not be successfully determined because their reduced 1D function \(g(\theta)\) have very weak periodicity (explained in Section 4). Although this situation is not often encountered in real application, there is still a shortage.

In this paper, we try to remedy this shortage and still keep the computational cost low. A modified method using Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) is proposed here. The basic idea of this new method is to reduce the original 2D continuous data to several 1D discrete data sequences, not to only one 1D sequence as before. These 1D sequences are then utilized for the normalization and fold determination of a given RS shape. Furthermore, this modified method is then extended to normalize a \(N\)-fold axial rotationally-symmetric 3D object in 3D space. A 3D object \(S\) is said to be \(N\)-fold axial rotationally-symmetric (ARS) if it, after being rotated around a fixed axis \(n\) through any multiple of \(2\pi/N\), becomes identical to itself (see Fig. 1(a)). That is

\[
f(x, y) = f(x', y'), \tag{3}\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_k & -\sin \theta_k \\ \sin \theta_k & \cos \theta_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\tag{4}\]

\(\theta_k = k(2\pi/N), k\) is an integer.

In order to express the angular periodicity of the RS shape \(S\) more explicitly, we may let \(f(r, \theta)\) denote the signature of the shape at the location \((r, \theta)\) in the polar coordinate system (see Fig. 1(b)). So equation (3) can be rewritten in a simpler form

\[
f(r, \theta) = f(r, \theta + k\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\right)). \tag{5}\]

where \(x = r \cos \theta\); \(y = r \sin \theta\).

From equation (5), we know \(f(r, \theta)\) is a 1D periodic function of \(\theta\) for any fixed value of \(r\).

2. TO DETERMINE AND NORMALIZE A \(N\)-FOLD ROTATIONALLY-SYMMETRIC 2D SHAPE

2.1. Define A \(N\)-fold rotationally-symmetric 2D shape.

A 2D shape \(S\) can be denoted by the following signature function \(f(x, y)\) defined as

\[
f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1: & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is in } S \\ 0: & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is not in } S. \end{cases}\tag{2}\]

Without loss of generality, we assume the centroid of the shape \(S\) is just located at the origin of the \(x\)-\(y\) coordinate system.

In Section 2, our method is applied to solve the 2D rotational symmetry. In Section 3, our method is extended to solve 3D axial rotational symmetry. Section 4 is the discussion about our method. Section 5 displays several experiments. Section 6 is the final conclusion. The Appendix is about the characters of a \(N\)-fold ARS 3D object.

Fig. 1. (a) An example of a \(N\)-fold RS shape \(S\) \((N = 4)\). Transform the original \(x\)-\(y\) coordinate system to the polar coordinate system for expressing the periodicity of the shape more explicitly.
2.2. Fourier transformation of the shape with respect to \( \theta \) only

Let \( F(r, \omega) \) denote the 1D Fourier transformation of the shape \( S \) with respect to the parameter \( \theta \) for any fixed radius \( r \). So we have

\[
F(r, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(r, \theta) e^{-j \omega \theta} d\theta.
\]  

(6)

Because \( f(r, \theta) \) is a periodic function of \( \theta \), it can be expanded by a Fourier series

\[
f(r, \theta) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} C_p(r) e^{j p \omega_0 \theta},
\]  

(7)

where \( \omega_0 = 2\pi/(2\pi/N) = N \) is the fundamental frequency. Its Fourier coefficients, \( C_p(r) \), are then defined as

\[
C_p(r) = \frac{2\pi}{N} \int_{-\pi/N}^{\pi/N} f(r, \theta) e^{-j p \omega_0 \theta} d\theta.
\]  

(8)

Substituting equation (7) into equation (6), we have

\[
F(r, \omega) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} C_p(r) \delta(\omega - p \omega_0).
\]  

(9)

From equation (9), the 1D Fourier transformation \( F(r, \omega) \) must be zero except at the frequencies \( \omega = p \omega_0 \) for any fixed \( r \). Once the fundamental frequency \( \omega_0 \) of \( F(r, \omega) \) can be determined, it is equivalent to obtain the fold number \( N \) of the shape. Equations (6)–(9) are the theoretical bases for the methods using Fourier transformation.

2.3. Our algorithm: finding the fold number \( N \)

For the computational efficiency, we first determine the maximum radius \( r_{\text{max}} \) and minimum radius \( r_{\text{min}} \) of the given shape (see Fig. 2). The whole shape is contained in a region \( A = \{(r, \theta) | r_{\text{min}} \leq r \leq r_{\text{max}}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \} \).

Without loss of generality, the value of the maximum radius \( r_{\text{max}} \) can be set to 1 by properly scaling the given shape.

For the convenient use of digital hardware, the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) should be adopted to analyze the whole problem. So we may divide the whole region \( A \) into \( M \times N_s \) sub-regions (see Fig. 2). The \((m,k)\)th sub-region of \( A \) is denoted by \( A_{mk} \), where \( m = 1 \) to \( M \) and \( k = 0 \) to \( N_s - 1 \). The two positive integers, \( M \) and \( N_s \), separately represent the radial and angular resolutions. Here we especially call \( M \) the section number, and \( N_s \) the angular sampling number. \( A_{mk} \) is defined as

\[
A_{mk} \equiv \{(r, \theta) | r_{mk-1} \leq r \leq r_{mk}; \theta_k \leq \theta \leq \theta_{k+1} \}.
\]  

(10)

where

\[
r_{mk} = \sqrt{m/M} r_{\text{max}}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{m}{M}\right) r_{\text{min}}^2
\]  

(11)

and

\[
\theta_k = k \cdot 2\pi/N_s
\]  

(12)

From the definitions in equations (10)–(12), every sub-region \( A_{mk} \) is of the same area \( \Delta \).

Area of \( A_{mk} = \frac{\pi}{N_s M} (r_{mk}^2 - r_{mk-1}^2) \equiv \Delta \) for all \( m,k \).

(13)

Now, we may define a value \( f_m(k) \) as follows, which can be considered as a sampling value of the sub-region \( A_{mk} \).

\[
f_m(k) \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{A_{mk}} f(r, \theta) r d\theta dl \approx \frac{2\pi}{N_s A_{r_{mk}}} \int_{r_{mk}}^{r_{mk-1}} f(r, \theta_k) r dr.
\]  

(14)
The above equation is approximated because the angular sampling number \(N_s\) is usually very large (e.g. 256).

Then we will have \(M\) 1D sequences \(\{f_m(0), \ldots, f_m(N_s - 1)\}\) \((m = 1\) to \(M))\). The DFT of these sequences can be defined as

\[
F_m(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_s-1} f_m(n) e^{-j2\pi nk/N_s}. \tag{15}
\]

After substituting equations (7) and (14) into equation (15), we can obtain a new expression for \(F_m(k)\)

\[
F_m(k) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{m,p} H_{k,p}. \tag{16}
\]

where

\[
H_{k,p} = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{n=0}^{N_s-1} e^{j2\pi n(-k+2\pi p)/N_s}. \tag{17}
\]

From equation (17), it is easy to observe that \(H_{k,p} = 1\) when \(k = pN - aN_s\) and \(H_{k,p} = 0\) for any other \(k\) (\(a\) is an integer).

If the angular sampling number \(N_s\) happens to be a multiple of the fold number \(N\), \(F_m(k)\) can be simply written as

\[
F_m(k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{m,p} H_{k,p} + \text{aliasing component} & \text{if } k = qN \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{18}
\]

\((q\) is an integer). If \(N_s\) is not a multiple of \(N\), \(F_m(k)\) can be simply written as

\[
F_m(k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{m,p} H_{k,p} + \text{aliasing component} & \text{if } k = qN \\ \text{aliasing component} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{19}
\]

Because the sampling number \(N_s\) is usually very large, the aliasing components in equations (18) and (19) are negligible (see Fig. 3(a)).

Now we may define a pseudo-power spectrum density function \(\widehat{G}(k)\) as

\[
\widehat{G}(k) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} |F_m(k)|^2. \tag{20}
\]

Detecting the location of the first peak of \(\widehat{G}(k)\) (i.e. \(k = N\), see Fig. 3(b)) is equivalent to determining the fold number \(N\) of the given shape. Because the function \(\widehat{G}(k)\) is used for determining the fold number, it is especially called the \(M\)-indicator in this paper. Notice the \(M = 1\) indicator is just the same as the method described in reference (16).

2.4. Normalization of shape orientation

After determining the fold number \(N\), its corresponding \(M\) DFT values, \(F_m(N)\), can be obtained. These values are usually complex numbers. Let's define \(C_1\) as the sum of these complex values.

\[
C_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} F_m(N) = r_1 e^{j\theta_1}, \tag{21}
\]

where \(0 \leq \theta_1 \leq 2\pi\) and \(r_1 > 0\). Notice the angle \(\theta_1\) will not be affected by the section number \(M\) because every sub-region \(A_{mk}\) has the same area \(A\).

If the original shape \(S\) is rotated by an angle \(\theta_0\), the rotated shape \(S'\) can be represented by \(f(r, \theta - \theta_0)\). We can expand this function by a Fourier series

\[
f(r, \theta - \theta_0) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} (C_p(r) e^{-j\pi p aN_s}) e^{j\pi p aN_s}. \tag{22}
\]

Comparing equation (22) with equations (7) and (17), we know rotating the shape by an angle \(\theta_0\) will induce a constant phase shift \(e^{-j\pi p aN_s}\) to its \(p\)th Fourier coefficient \(C_p(r)\) and \(T_{m,p}\). If the aliasing components described in equations (18) and (19) are negligible, an additional constant phase shift \(e^{-j\pi p aN_s}\) will be added to all of the \(F_m(N)\)'s, too. Therefore, the orientation information of the RS shape is contained in the complex value \(C_1\).

If the original shape \(S\) can be rotated by an appropriate angle \(\theta_0\) such that the calculated \(C_1\) of the new shape is a real positive value (phase = 0), we say that the new rotated shape \(S\) has been orientation-normalized (see Fig. 4). Such an appropriate rotating angle \(\theta_0\) is easily calculated by

\[
\theta_0 = \frac{1}{N} \theta_1. \tag{23}
\]
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It may cause problems if the amplitude of \( C_1 \) is too small. Because any one of \( F_m(N)s \) has the same phase shift \( \exp(-jN\theta_0) \), we may choose the one (say \( F_i(N) \)) which has the largest amplitude instead of the original \( C_1 \). Therefore,

\[
\theta_i = \frac{1}{N} (\arg(F_i(N))).
\]

(24)

Obviously, the value of the angle \( \arg(F_i(N)) \) will be affected by the value of \( M \) now.

Now the whole algorithm is completed.

### 3. EXTENSION TO A N-FOLD AXIAL ROTATIONALLY-SYMMETRIC 3D OBJECT

#### 3.1. Define a N-fold axial rotationally-symmetric object in 3D space

An object \( S' \) in 3D space can be denoted by a signature function \( f'(X, Y, Z) \). Without loss of generality, we assume the centroid of the object is located at the origin of the XYZ coordinate system (see Fig. 5(a)).

\[
f'(X, Y, Z) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } (X, Y, Z) \text{ is in } S \\
0 & \text{if } (X, Y, Z) \text{ is not in } S
\end{cases}
\]

(25)

The object \( S' \) in 3D space is said to be \( N \)-fold axial rotationally-symmetric (ARS) if it, after being rotated through any multiple of \( 2\pi/N \) around a fixed axis \( n \), becomes identical to itself. This axis \( n \) is called the symmetry axis. It means

\[
f'(X, Y, Z) = f'(X', Y', Z'),
\]

(26)

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X' \\
y' \\
Z'
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
(n_1^2 - 1)c + 1 & n_s n_c - n_s & n_s n_c + n_s \\
n_s n_c + n_s & (n_2^2 - 1)c + 1 & -n_s n_c + n_s \\
n_s n_c - n_s & n_s n_c + n_s & (n_3^2 - 1)c + 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(27)

\( c = 1 - \cos(2k\pi/N), s = \sin(2k\pi/N), n = (n_x, n_y, n_z)^T \) is the unit vector representing the rotation axis.

#### 3.2. Determining the symmetry axis \( n \)

In order to determine the symmetry axis \( n \), we first calculate the dispersion matrix \( M \) of the object \( S' \).
defined as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} m_{200} & m_{110} & m_{101} \\ m_{110} & m_{020} & m_{011} \\ m_{101} & m_{011} & m_{002} \end{bmatrix},$$

(28)

where

$$m_{ijk} = \frac{1}{\mid S \mid} \int \int \int f_i''(X, Y, Z)X^iy^jZ^kdX dY dZ,$$

(29)

and \(\mid S \mid\) is the volume of the object \(S\).

The three eigenvalues \(\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}\) and their corresponding eigenvectors \(\{V_1, V_2, V_3\}\) of the dispersion matrix \(M\) can be easily calculated. However, to a \(N\)-fold ARS object, two of the three eigenvalues must be equal (e.g. \(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2\)); and the corresponding eigenvector \(V_3\) of the distinct eigenvalue \(\lambda_3\) is just the desired symmetry axis \(n\). The proof of the above description is described in the Appendix for keeping the whole paper short and perspicuous.

If the original object \(S\) is rotated by a rotation matrix \(R = [V_1, V_2, V_3]^T\), the new symmetry axis of the rotated object \(S\) will be \((0, 0, 1)^T\). We may define a new signature function \(f(X, Y, Z)\) representing the rotated object \(S\) (see Fig. 5(b))

$$f(X, Y, Z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (X, Y, Z) \text{ is in } S \\ 0 & \text{if } (X, Y, Z) \text{ is not in } S. \end{cases}$$

(30)

Now, equation (26) can be rewritten in a simpler form by the cylindrical coordinate system \(r - \phi - Z\) (see Fig. 6).

$$f(r, \phi, Z) = f(\frac{2\pi}{N}, Z),$$

(31)

where

$$X = r \cos \phi; \quad Y = r \sin \phi; \quad Z = Z.$$ 

(32)

Obviously, \(f(r, \phi, Z)\) is a 1D periodic function of \(\phi\) for any fixed \(r\) and \(Z\).

3.3. Fourier transform of the object in \(r - \phi - Z\) space

Let \(F(r, \omega, Z)\) denote the 1D Fourier transformation of the function \(f(r, \phi, Z)\) with respect to the parameter \(\phi\) for any fixed \(r\) and \(Z\). Similar to Section 2.2, \(f(r, \phi, Z)\) can be expanded by a Fourier series like this

$$f(r, \phi, Z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} C_s(r, Z)e^{j\omega_0\phi},$$

(33)

where \(\omega_0 = 2\pi/(2\pi/N) = N\) is the fundamental frequency and

$$C_s(r, Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(r, \phi, Z)e^{-j\omega_0\phi} d\phi.$$ 

(34)

Therefore, the 1D Fourier transformation \(F(r, \omega, Z)\) with respect to \(\phi\) can be written as

$$F(r, \omega, Z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} C_s(r, Z)\delta(\omega - \omega_0).$$ 

(35)

Obviously, \(F(r, \omega, Z)\) is zero except at the frequencies \(\omega = \omega_0\) for any fixed \(r\) and \(Z\). Once the fundamental frequency \(\omega_0\) is determined, we can easily obtain the fold number \(N\) of the ARS object.

3.4. Our algorithm: finding the fold number \(N\)

For the computational efficiency, we first determine the maximum radius \(r_{\text{max}}\), minimum radius \(r_{\text{min}}\), maximum height \(Z_{\text{max}}\), and minimum height \(Z_{\text{min}}\) of the object \(S\). The whole object is contained in the region

$$A = \{(r, \phi, Z) \mid r_{\text{min}} \leq r \leq r_{\text{max}}, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi; Z_{\text{min}} \leq Z \leq Z_{\text{max}}\}.$$ 

Without loss of generality, both of the maximum radius \(r_{\text{max}}\) and maximum height \(Z_{\text{max}}\) can be set to 1 by properly scaling the given ARS object.

For the same reason for using digital hardware, the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) should be adopted to analyze the whole problem. So we may divide the whole region \(A\) into \(P \times Q \times N_s\) sub-blocks (see Fig. 7), where its \((p, q, k)\)th sub-block is denoted by \(A_{pqk}\) \((p = 1\) to \(P, q = 1\) to \(Q, \) and \(k = 0\) to \(N_s - 1\)). The three positive integers, \(P, Q\) and \(N_s\) separately represent the resolutions in the directions of \(r, \phi,\) and \(Z\). Similar to Section 2, \(P\) and \(Q\) are the defined section numbers, and \(N_s\) is the angular sampling number.

$$A_{pqk} = \{(r, \phi, Z) \mid r_{p-1} \leq r \leq r_p; \phi_{k-1} \leq \phi \leq \phi_k; Z_{q-1} \leq Z \leq Z_q\},$$ 

(36)

Fig. 6. Transform the XYZ coordinate system of the object \(S\) to the cylindrical coordinate system \(r\phi Z\).

Here \(f(r, \phi, Z)\) is a 1D periodic function of \(\phi\) for any fixed \(r\) and \(Z\).
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**Fig. 7.** The region A which contains the whole object S in the cylindrical coordinate system is divided into \( P \cdot Q \cdot N_s \) small block regions, denoted by \( A_{pqk} \). The average \( f(r, \phi, Z) \) in the small block region \( A_{pqk} \) is considered as a sampling value \( f_{pq}(k) \).

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_p &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{p}{P}\right)r_{\text{max}}^2 + \left(\frac{p-P}{P}\right)r_{\text{min}}^2} \quad (37) \\
    Z_q &= \left(\frac{q}{Q}\right)Z_{\text{max}} + \left(\frac{Q-q}{Q}\right)Z_{\text{min}} \quad (38)
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\phi_k = k \frac{2 \pi}{N_s} \quad (39)
\]

From the definitions in equations (36)-(39), every sub-block, \( A_{pqk} \), is of the same volume \( \Delta \) defined as

\[
\text{volume of } A_{pqk} = \frac{\pi}{N_s \cdot P \cdot Q} (r_{\text{max}}^2 - r_{\text{min}}^2)(Z_{\text{max}} - Z_{\text{min}}) = \Delta
\]

for all \( p, q, k \). (40)

Now we can define a value \( f_{pq}(k) \) which can be considered as a sampling value of the sub-block \( A_{pqk} \)

\[
\frac{\Phi}{2 \pi} = \frac{2 \pi}{N_s}
\]

(see Fig. 7).

\[
f_{pq}(k) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int \int f(r, \phi, Z)r \, dr \, d\phi \, dZ
\]

\[
\approx \frac{2 \pi}{N_s \Delta} \int r \, f(r, \phi_k, Z) \, dr \, dZ. \quad (41)
\]

The above equation is approximated because the angular sampling number \( N_s \) is usually very large (e.g. 256).

Now we have \( PQ \) 1D sequences \( \{f_{pq}(0), \ldots, f_{pq}(N_s-1)\} \) for \( p = 1 \) to \( P \) and \( q = 1 \) to \( Q \). The DFTs of these 1D sequences can be defined as

\[
F_{pq}(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_s-1} f_{pq}(n)e^{-j2\pi kn/N_s}. \quad (42)
\]

After substituting equations (33) and (41) into equation (42), we can obtain a new expression for \( F_{pq}(k) \)

\[
\hat{F}_{pq}(k) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{pqn}H_{k,n}. \quad (43)
\]

**Fig. 8.** The DFT of the 1D data sequence \( \{f_{pq}(k)\} \), denoted by \( \{F_{pq}(k)\} \). (b) The pseudo power spectrum function \( \hat{G}(k) \). \( k = 0 \) to \( N_s - 1 \). If \( N_s \) is large enough, \( F_{pq}(k) \) and \( \hat{G}(k) \) is zero except at the points \( k = dN \).
where

\[ H_{k,s} = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{n=0}^{N_s-1} e^{j2\pi kn/N_s} F_{pq}^s(n) \]

\[ T_{pq,s} = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta} \int_{z_q}^{z_{q+1}} \int_{r_p}^{r_{p+1}} C(r,Z) r \, dr \, dZ. \]  

(44)

From equation (44), it is easy to observe that \( H_{k,s} = 1 \) when \( k = nN_s \), \( H_{k,s} = 0 \) for any other \( k \). If the angular sampling number \( N_s \) happens to be a multiple of the fold number \( N \), \( F_{pq}^s(k) \) can be simply written as

\[ F_{pq}^s(k) = \begin{cases} T_{pq,d} + \text{aliasing component} & \text{if } k = dN \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

(45)

(d is an integer). If \( N_s \) is not a multiple of \( N \), \( F_{pq}^s(k) \) can be simply written as

\[ F_{pq}^s(k) = \begin{cases} T_{pq,d} + \text{aliasing component} & \text{if } k = dN \\ \text{aliasing component} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]  

(46)

We now define a pseudo-power spectrum density function \( \tilde{G}(k) \) as

\[ \tilde{G}(k) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} |F_{pq}^s(k)|^2. \]  

(47)

Detecting the location of the first peak of \( \tilde{G}(k) \) (i.e. \( k = N \)) is equivalent to determining the fold number \( N \) of the given ARS object. This function \( \tilde{G}(k) \) is especially called the \((P,Q)-\text{indicator}\) in this paper.

3.5. Normalization of object orientation

After determining the fold number \( N \), its \( PQ \) corresponding DFT values, \( F_{pq}^s(N) \), can be easily obtained. Similar to 2D case, we may define a complex value \( C_1 \) as the summation of all \( F_{pq}^s(N) \).

\[ C_1 = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} F_{pq}^s(N) = r e^{j\phi}, \]  

(48)

where \( 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi \) and \( r > 0 \). Notice the angle \( \phi \) will not be affected by the section numbers, \( P \) and \( Q \), because every sub-block \( A_{pq} \) has the same volume \( \Delta \).

If the object \( S \) is rotated around the Z-axis by an angle \( \phi_r \), the rotated object can be represented by \( f(r, \phi - \phi_r, Z) \). We can expand it by a Fourier series

\[ f(r, \phi - \phi_r, Z) = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} (C_s(r,Z)e^{-j2\pi s\phi})e^{j2\pi \phi}. \]  

(49)

Comparing equation (49) with equations (33) and (44), it is easy to observe that rotating the object around the Z-axis by an angle \( \phi_r \) will induce a constant phase shift \( e^{-j2\pi s\phi} \) to its \( s \)th Fourier coefficient \( C_s(r,Z) \) and \( T_{pq,s} \). If the aliasing components defined in equations (45) and (46) are negligible, all of the \( F_{pq}^s(N) \) will have a constant phase shift \( e^{-jN\phi} \).

If the original ARS object \( S \) can be rotated around the Z-axis by an approximate angle \( \phi_r \) such that the calculated \( C_1 \) of the new object is a real positive value (phase = 0), we say that the new rotated object \( \hat{S} \) has been \textit{orientation-normalized} (see Fig. 9). Such an ap-

![Fig. 9. Suitably rotate the original object S around the Z-axis by an angle \( \theta_t \), to normalize the orientation.](image_url)
appropriate rotating angle $\phi_i$ is calculated by

$$\phi_i = \frac{1}{N_0} \phi_c. \quad (50)$$

If the amplitude of $C_i$ is too small, we may choose the one in all of the $F_{pq}(N)$ (say $F_{pq}(N)$) which has the largest amplitude to replace the original $C_i$. Therefore,

$$\phi_i = \frac{1}{N_0} \arg(F_{pq}(N)). \quad (51)$$

Now, the whole algorithm is completed.

4. DISCUSSIONS

In both of the 2D and 3D cases described in Section 2 and 3, our methods have similar processing steps: (1) change the original coordinate system $XY$ (or $XYZ$) into the polar coordinate system that can express more explicitly the periodicity of rotational symmetry; (2) reduce the original 2D shape (or 3D object) into several 1D data sequences; (3) calculate the DFTs of these 1D sequences; (4) determine the fold number $N$ by finding the first peak of a pseudo-power spectrum density function $\hat{G}(k)$; and (5) normalize the orientation of the 2D shape (or 3D object) by using the DFT values, $F_{pq}(N)$ (or $F_{np}(N)$).

4.1. How to choose the section number $M$?

At the second step, we say the original 2D (or 3D) data should be reduced to $M$ (or $PQ$) 1D data sequences. How will the section number $M$ (or $PQ$) be chosen? Take the 2D case for example. We first consider an extreme case when $M = \infty$ and $N_0 = \infty$. The pseudo-power function, $\hat{G}(k)$, is now equal to the Fourier transform of the angular correlation function of the 2D RS shape. Of no doubts, it is known to be the most reliable indicator to show the angular periodicity of a 2D shape because no periodic information will be lost. However, an indicator with larger $M$ is usually recommended when the shape is hollow, shell-like, or boundary-complicated. Similar rules can also be applied to the 3D case. If we have no prior information about the complexity of the shape, the indicators with the larger $M$ will be better.

4.2. Threshold of the indicator $\hat{G}(k)$

To determine whether a periodic signal exists in $\hat{G}(k)$, an appropriate threshold must be specified first. Basically, this threshold depends on two factors: (1) magnitudes of the aliasing components; and (2) position errors of the boundary points. The first item depends on the sampling number $N_s$ and the angular periodic characters of the RS shapes (broad-banded or narrow-banded). The second item is often resulted from the quantization errors of the boundary points. Because it is hard to model these errors, it seems hard to obtain a general formula specifying the threshold value. Here we just approximate the threshold in a very coarse manner.

If the aliasing errors and quantization errors can provide a perturbation $\delta_m(k)$ to the sampling value $f_m(k)$ (similar to $f_{pq}(k)$)

$$f_m(k) = f_m(k) + \delta_m(k), \quad (52)$$

where the perturbation $\delta_m(k)$ is a random variable of normal distribution $N(0, \sigma)$ for $m = 1$ to $M$ and $k = 0$ to $N_0 - 1$. The DFT of $\{\delta_m(k)\}$ is denoted by $\{\Delta_m(k)\}$. So we can derive an average bound of errors

$$\begin{equation}
E\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} |\Delta_m(k)|^2\right)
\leq \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N_0-1} \sum_{n'=0}^{N_0-1} E(\delta_m(n)\delta_m(n'))e^{-2\pi i (n-n')/N_0}\right)
= MN_s \sigma^2. \quad (53)
\end{equation}$$

$E(\cdot)$ is the operator of ensemble average.

So the value $MN_s \sigma^2$ can be utilized to define an appropriate threshold. In the 3D case, the value is equal to $PQ N_s \sigma^2$ which can be derived by a similar procedure.

5. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we design two parts of experiments for proving that the proposed algorithm can be successfully applied in the normalization of 2D RS shapes and 3D ARS objects.

5.1. Experiments for 2D shape

In this subsection, two things will be proved: (1) the fold number $N$ can be correctly determined; and (2) the orientation of the given 2D shape can be success-
Fig. 10. (a)–(d) Are four special $N$-fold rotationally symmetric 2D shapes. The $M = 1$ indicator fails to determine their fold numbers because all of their reduced 1D data sequences are DC sequences. However, $M = 2$ indicator is successful. (e) $M = 1$ indicator. (f) $M = 2$ indicator.
fully normalized. The sampling number $N_s$ is chosen 256. It means a 256-point DFT is adopted in our experiments. Two computer-generated 2D shapes are tested here.

Let's see the first example shown in Fig. 11. It is a simple, of no inside holes, five-fold RS shape. Its outer boundary contour can be represented by a single-value function of the angle $\theta$. A large part of RS shapes seen in daily life belong to this kind. The $M = 1$ indicator $\hat{G}(k)$ is chosen to determine the fold number $N$. Figure 11(a) and (c) show two arbitrarily-oriented shapes $S_1$ and $S_2$. Figure 11(b) and (d) are separately their normalized poses, $\hat{S}_1$ and $\hat{S}_2$. Notice that these two normalized shapes are almost identical. Figure 11(e) shows the result of the $M = 1$ indicator $\hat{G}(k)$. It is zero except at the points $k = 5q$ (q is an integer). So the fold number $N = 5$ is correctly determined.

The second example shown in Fig. 12 test a more complicated shape ($N = 4$). The $M = 3$ indicator is used as a more reliable indicator than that of $M = 1$.

---

**Fig. 11.** (a) A shape with an arbitrarily-chosen orientation. (b) The orientation-normalized shape $\hat{S}_1$. (c) Another shape with an arbitrarily-chosen orientation. (d) The orientation-normalized shape $\hat{S}_2$. Notice that (b) and (d) are almost identical. (e) The $M = 1$ indicator.
5.2. Experiments for 3D object

Similar to the previous experiments, two things will be proved here: (1) the symmetry axis \( n \) and the fold number \( N \) of a given ARS 3D object can be correctly determined; and (2) Its orientation can be successfully normalized. The sampling number \( N_s \) is also chosen 256. Two computer-generated 3D objects are tested.

In the first example, a five-fold object which looks like a five-leaf fruit is tested and shown in Fig. 13. Figure 13(a) and (c) show two arbitrarily-chosen poses, \( S'_1 \) and \( S'_2 \), of the ARS 3D objects. Figure 13(b) and (d) show their normalized objects. Notice that these two normalized objects, \( \hat{S}'_1 \) and \( \hat{S}'_2 \), are almost identical. Figure 13(e) shows the result of the \((1, 1)\)-indicator. Its fold number \( N (= 5) \) can be correctly determined. The three eigenvalues of the dispersion matrix of the object \( S'_1 \) (or \( S'_2 \)) are \([0.5426, 0.5426, 0.7667]\). We can see that two of these eigenvalues are equal. The detected sym-
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Fig. 13. (a) An object with an arbitrarily-chosen orientation. (b) The normalized object of (a). (c) Another object with an arbitrarily-chosen orientation. (d) The normalized object of (c). Notice that (b) and (d) are almost identical. (e) The $(1,1)$-indicator.

Figure 13 shows (a) an object with an arbitrarily-chosen orientation, (b) the normalized object of (a), (c) another object with an arbitrarily-chosen orientation, (d) the normalized object of (c). Notice that (b) and (d) are almost identical. (e) The $(1,1)$-indicator.

The symmetry axes of the objects $S_1$ and $S_2$ are $(0.9319, -0.3346, 0.1402)^T$ and $(0.4980, 0.3324, 0.8009)^T$, just the same as the true directions we previously assign.

A three-fold object is tested in the second example. This object can be divided into three parts: (1) the upper part is three-fold; (2) the middle part is a conical surface; and (3) the lower part is six-fold. Of course, it is still a three-fold ARS object. All the results are shown in Fig. 14 and their explanations are similar to that in Fig. 13. The $(3,3)$-indicator is used in this example. Three eigenvalues of the dispersion matrix of the object $S_1$ ($S_2$) are $(0.2772, 0.2772, 0.5625)$. We can see that two of these eigenvalues are equal. The detected symmetry axes of the objects $S_1$ and $S_2$ are $(0.1955, -0.5185, 0.8324)^T$ and $(0.5176, 0.7166, 0.4674)^T$, just the same as the true directions we previously assign.
6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose an efficient and reliable new method for fold number determination and orientation normalization of a $N$-fold rotationally-symmetric 2D shape. The 2D shapes are not constrained to simple closed contours, and they can be hollow or any complex shapes. Basically, our method reduces the original 2D data function into $M$ 1D data sequences (not only one). The DFTs of these 1D sequences are then utilized in determining the fold number and normalizing the shape's orientation.

This method is also extended to solve a $N$-fold axial rotationally symmetric 3D object. Its symmetry axis $\mathbf{n}$ is first determined by finding the corresponding eigenvector $\mathbf{v}_3$ of the distinct eigenvalue $\lambda_3$ of the object's dispersion matrix $M$. Similar to the 2D case, the 3D data function is reduced to $PQ$ 1D data sequences. Their DFTs are then utilized in determining the fold number and normalizing the orientation of the object.

The choice of the section number $M$ (or $P$ and $Q$) is a trade-off between the computational load and the reliability of the determined fold number $N$. Larger section numbers ($M$ or $(P, Q)$) usually implies a heavier
computation load and a more reliable fold number estimation. If the shape is complex or shell-like, larger section number is recommended. If, on the contrary, the test shape is a simple closed contour which can be represented by a single-value function of parameter $\theta$, smaller section ($M = 1$) is recommended.

There are two most obvious advantages in our new method: (1) it prevents the high computation load and the difficulty in normalizing the shape's orientation occurred in the old methods using full matching or correlation functions; and (2) The weakness introduced by the old methods using data reduction (from 2D data to 1D data) is remedied.
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APPENDIX

From the proof of Tsai’s paper[13–16], we know the dispersion matrix of a N-fold rotationally symmetric 2D shape ($N > 3$) is equal to a scaled identity matrix ($= aI$). Now, to a N-fold ARS 3D object, we want to know what kind of special characters may exist in its dispersion matrix.

Without loss of generality, let’s consider a N-fold ARS 3D object $S$ whose symmetry axis $n$ is equal to $(0,0,1)^T$. Its dispersion matrix is still defined as

$$ M = \begin{bmatrix} m_{100} & m_{110} & m_{101} \\ m_{110} & m_{200} & m_{010} \\ m_{101} & m_{011} & m_{002} \end{bmatrix} \quad (A1) $$

where $m_{ij} = \int \int f(X, Y, Z)X^i Y^j dX dY Z^k dZ$

$$ S(Z) = \text{cross-section parallel to the } X - Y \text{ plane at height } Z$$. $f(X, Y, Z)$ is a signature function whose value is 0 or 1. $S$ is the volume of the object $S$.

Because the symmetry axis $n$ is just equal to the $Z$-axis of the coordinate system, every cross-section $S(Z)$ is at least $N$-fold rotationally-symmetric (or $kN$-fold, $k$ is a positive integer). The centroid of each cross-section slice must be located at $(0,0,Z)$. Therefore, $m_{011} = m_{101} = 0$. On the other side, we know the dispersion matrix of a N-fold RS 2D shape is equal to a scaled-identity matrix ($= aI$). From equation (A2), we have

$$ \begin{bmatrix} m_{100} & m_{110} \\ m_{110} & m_{200} \end{bmatrix} = KI \quad (A3) $$

where $K$ is a constant, $I$ is a $2 \times 2$ identity matrix.

Equation (A1) can be written in a simpler form

$$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (A4) $$

Obviously, $M$ has two same eigenvalues ($\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = K$) and one distinct eigenvalue ($\lambda_3 = m_{002}$). The corresponding eigenvector of $\lambda_2$ is $z = (0,0,1)^T$, that is just the symmetry axis we defined earlier. Rotating the object $S$ by any rotation matrix $R$ will not change the eigenvalues. The eigenvector corresponding to the new distinct eigenvalue must be equal to $(Rz)$, the new symmetry axis. It completes the whole proof.
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