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The offset Fourier transform (offset FT), offset fractional Fourier transform (offset FRFT), and offset linear canonical transform (offset LCT) are the space-shifted and frequency-modulated versions of the original transforms. They are more general and flexible than the original ones.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fourier transform (FT) is a well-known transform. The fractional Fourier transform (FRFT) is a generalization of the FT and the linear canonical transform (LCT) is a further generalization of the FRFT. They are all useful mathematical tools and are widely used for spectrum analysis, signal processing, and optical system analysis.

Offset FTs are similar to the original FTs, except that the kernel \( \exp(-jux) \) is replaced by \( \exp(-j(\omega - \pi)(x - \eta)) \). That is, the kernel is generalized by appending a space-shifted term and a frequency-modulated term. We can also define offset FRFTs and offset LCTs in a similar way. Offset FTs, FRFTs, and LCTs are more flexible than the original ones. They are especially useful for analyzing optical systems with prisms or shifted lenses.

The eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of FTs have been studied, and the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of FRFTs and LCTs have also been derived. In this paper, we derive the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of offset FTs, FRFTs, and LCTs.

Since offset FTs, FRFTs, and LCTs have close relations with the optical system consisting of lenses, free spaces, prisms, and shifted lenses, we can use their eigenfunctions to analyze the self-imaging phenomena of this type of optical system (the eigenfunctions of the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT can analyze only the optical system with lenses and free spaces). At the same time, their eigenfunctions are also useful for resonance phenomena analysis, derivation of the eigenvectors of the offset DFT, fractal theory development, mode selection, and phase retrieval.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A. Definition of the Original and the Offset Fourier Transform, Fractional Fourier Transform, and Linear Canonical Transform

The well-known Fourier transform (FT) is

\[
G_F(\omega) = FT\{g(x)\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-j\omega x)g(x)dx.
\]

(1)

It can be generalized into the fractional Fourier transform (FRFT):

\[
G_{a}(u) = O_{F}^{a}\{g(x)\} = \left(1 - j\cot\alpha \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{j}{2} u^2 \cot\alpha - jux \csc\alpha + j\frac{1}{2} x^2 \cot\alpha \right) g(x)dx.
\]

(2)

The FRFT can be further generalized into the linear canonical transform (LCT):

\[
G_{(a,b,c,d)}(u) = O_{F}^{a,b,c,d}\{g(x)\} = \sqrt{d} \exp(jcdu^2/2)g(du)
\]

(3)

(4)

where \(ad - bc = 1\) must be satisfied. The FT and the FRFT are the special cases of the LCT where \(\{a, b, c, d\} = \{0, 1, -1, 0\}\) and \(\{\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha, -\sin\alpha, \cos\alpha\}\) are premultiplied by constant-phase terms:

\[
FT\{g(x)\} = \sqrt{f}O_{F}^{0,1,-1,0}\{g(x)\},
\]

\[
O_{F}^{a}\{g(x)\} = [\exp(ja)]^{1/2}O_{F}^{\cos a, \sin a, -\sin a, \cos a}\{g(x)\}.
\]

(5)
The Fresnel transform\(^9\) is also a special case of the LCT (where \(\{a, b, c, d\} = \{1, z\lambda/2\pi, 0, 1\}\)). The FRFT and the LCT are more general and flexible than the FT, and some problems that cannot be solved by the FT (such as removing chirp noise) will be solved by them. They can be used for filter design, space-variant pattern recognition, phase retrieval, encryption, optical system analysis,\(^{10,11}\) spherical mirror system analysis,\(^ {12}\) gradient index medium analysis,\(^ {13}\) etc.

The FT, the FRFT, and the LCT can all be generalized a little by including a space-shifted term and a frequency-modulated term. The offset Fourier transform (offset FT) is defined as

\[
G^\tau_{\eta}(\omega) = \text{FT}_\tau \{g(x)\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-j(\omega - \tau)(x - \eta)]g(x)dx.
\]

(6)

Its inverse operation, i.e., the inverse offset Fourier transform (offset IFT), is defined as

\[
g(x) = \text{IFT}_\tau \{G^\tau_{\eta}(\omega)\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[j(x - \eta)(\omega - \tau)]G^\tau_{\eta}(\omega)d\omega.
\]

(7)

Similarly, the offset fractional Fourier transform (offset FRFT) is defined as

\[
G^\tau_{\eta}(u) = O^{\tau,\eta}_{\phi}[g(x)] = \left(1 - j\frac{\cot \alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp(j\eta u) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[\frac{j}{2}(u - \tau)^2 \cot \alpha - j(u - \tau)x \csc \alpha\right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \frac{j}{2}x^2 \cot \alpha\right]g(x)dx,
\]

(8)

and the offset linear canonical transform (offset LCT) is defined as

\[
G^{\tau,\eta}_{(a,b,c,d)}(u) = O^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}_{\phi}[g(x)] = \left(1 - j\frac{\cot \alpha}{2\pi b}\right)^{1/2} \exp(j\eta u) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[\frac{j}{2b}(u - \tau)^2 - j\frac{u - \tau}{b}x\right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \frac{j}{2}x^2\right]g(x)dx \quad \text{when} \quad b \neq 0,
\]

(9)

\[
G^{\tau,\eta}_{(a,b,c,d)}(u) = O^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}_{\phi}[g(x)] = \sqrt{d} \exp\left[j\eta u + \frac{j}{2}cd(u - \tau)^2\right]g(du - d\tau), \quad \text{when} \quad b = 0,
\]

(10)

where

\[
ad - bc = 1.
\]

(11)

The offset LCT is also called the special affine Fourier transformation\(^6\) or the inhomogeneous canonical transform.\(^ {14,15}\) The offset FT, FRFT, and LCT are similar to the original FT, FRFT, and LCT, except for the presence of two extra parameters \(\tau\) and \(\eta\), which correspond to space shifting and frequency modulation, respectively. The offset FT, FRFT, and LCT have the following relations with the original transforms:

\[
G^\tau_{\eta}(\omega) = \exp[j(\omega - \tau)\eta]G(\omega - \tau),
\]

\[
G^\tau_{\eta}(u) = \exp(j\eta u)G(u - \tau),
\]

\[
G^{\tau,\eta}_{(a,b,c,d)}(u) = \exp(j\eta u)G^{\tau,\eta}_{(a,b,c,d)}(u - \tau).
\]

(12)

The offset FRFT and LCT are additive. The additivity property of the offset FRFT is

\[
O^{\tau_1,\eta_1}_{\phi}[O^{\tau_2,\eta_2}_{\phi}[g(x)]] = \exp(j\phi)O^{\tau_1,\eta_1}_{\phi}[O^{\tau_2,\eta_2}_{\phi}[g(x)]],
\]

(13)

where

\[
\tau_3 = \tau_2 + \tau_1 \cos \alpha + \eta_1 \sin \alpha,
\]

\[
\eta_3 = \eta_2 - \tau_1 \sin \alpha + \eta_1 \cos \alpha,
\]

\[
\varphi = \frac{\sin(2\beta)}{4}(\tau_1^2 - \eta_1^2) + \tau_1 \eta_1 \sin^2 \beta
\]

\[
+ (\tau_1 \sin \beta - \eta_1 \cos \beta)\tau_2.
\]

(14)

The additivity property of the offset LCT is

\[
O^{\tau_2,\eta_2}_{(a_2,b_2,c_2,d_2,\tau_2,\eta_2)}[O^{\tau_1,\eta_1}_{(a_1,b_1,c_1,d_1,\tau_1,\eta_1)}[f(x)]] = \exp(j\phi)O^{\tau_1,\eta_1}_{(a_2,b_2,c_2,d_2,\tau_2,\eta_2)}[f(x)],
\]

(15)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
es & = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
g & = \begin{bmatrix} r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \eta_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_2 \\ \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
s & = \begin{bmatrix} a_2c_2 \tau_1^2 - b_2c_2 \tau_1 \eta_1 - \frac{b_2d_2}{2} \eta_1^2 \\
& - (\tau_1c_2 + \eta_1d_2)\tau_2. \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

We usually use

\[
\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}
\]

(18)

to represent the offset LCT with six parameters \(a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\),\(^6\) and the combination of the offset LCTs with parameters \(\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1\}\) and \(\{a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, \tau_2, \eta_2\}\) can be represented by

\[
\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \eta_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_2 \\ \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} r \end{bmatrix},
\]

(19)
where \( e, f, g, h, r, \) and \( s \) can be calculated from Eqs. (16). From the additivity property, we can conclude that the inverse operation of the offset LCT with parameters \([a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta]\) is just the offset LCT with parameters \([d, -b, -c, a, -d + b \eta, c \tau - a \eta]\) multiplied by some constant phase:

\[
\{O_{p}^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}[f(x)]\}^{-1} = \exp\left[\frac{j}{2} cd \tau^{2} - jad \tau \eta + \frac{j}{2} ab \eta^{2}\right] \\
\times O_{p}^{d,-b,-c,a,-d + b \eta, c \tau - a \eta}[f(x)].
\] (20)

The offset FT, FRFT, and LCT have two more parameters than the original FT, FRFT, and LCT. They are more flexible. More analysis work in optics can be done by these offset transforms. They can analyze the optical system with prisms or shifted lenses, or the optical components that have the following transfer function:

\[
h(x) = \exp[j(h_{2}x^{2} + h_{1}x + h_{0})].
\] (21)

They can also analyze the offset spherical mirror pair system as in Fig. 5 below (in Fig. 5, the centers of the two mirrors are not aligned). These tasks cannot be done by the original transforms.

### B. Eigenfunctions of the Original Fourier Transform, Fractional Fourier Transform, and Linear Canonical Transform

The original FT has many eigenfunctions. For example, the products of Hermite functions and \( \exp(-x^{2}/2) \) are eigenfunctions of the FT, and the corresponding eigenvalues are \((-j)^{m}\):

\[
\phi_{m}(x) = \exp(-x^{2}/2)H_{m}(x),
\] (22)

where \( H_{m}(x) \) is the Hermite polynomial of order \( m \),

\[
\text{FT}(\phi_{m}(x)) = (-j)^{m} \phi_{m}(x).
\] (23)

In addition, the FT also has many other eigenfunctions. For example, the following functions are all eigenfunctions of the FT (see Chap. 22 of Ref. 1):

\[
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - p \sqrt{2} \pi), \quad \frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}, \quad \text{sec} h \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \right).
\] (24)

Although the FT has a variety of eigenfunctions, it has only four possible eigenvalues: \( 1, -1, j, \) and \(-j\).

The eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the FRFT have also been found. The products of Hermite polynomials and \( \exp(-x^{2}/2) \), as in Eq. (22), are also eigenfunctions of the FRFT (Ref. 4):

\[
O_{p}^{a}[\phi_{m}(x)] = \exp(-jm x)\phi_{m}(x),
\] (25)

where \( \phi_{m}(x) \) is defined in Eq. (22). In addition, when \( a = 2N \pi \alpha / M \), the following functions are also eigenfunctions of the FRFT:

\[
\Phi(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_{p} \phi_{pM + q}(x),
\]

\[
q = 0, 1, \ldots, M - 1, \quad a_{p} \text{'s are constants},
\] (26)

and the corresponding eigenvalue is \( \exp(-j2qN \pi / M) \).

Recently, the eigenfunctions of the LCT were also derived 578 We summarize the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the LCT as follows 5:

- **Case A** \((|a| + |d| < 2)\)
  
  Eigenfunctions: \( A \exp\left[-(1 + j \rho x^{2})\right] H_{m}(x) \) \( \rho \) \( \text{sgn}(b(a - d)) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Eigenvales:} & \quad \lambda = [\exp(-j \alpha)]^{2} \exp(-iam), \\
\alpha & = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{a + d}{2}\right) = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\text{sgn}(b)(a - d)}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 - (a + d)^{2}}} \right). \\
\text{Case B} & (a + d = 2, b = 0) \\
\text{Eigenfunctions:} & \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} \delta(x - (4n \pi |c|^{-1} + h)^{1/2}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m} \delta(x + (4m \pi |c|^{-1} + h)^{1/2}),
\end{align*}
\] (29)

where \( 0 \leq h < 4 \pi |c| \), \( A_{n} \)’s and \( B_{m} \)’s are free to choose.

Eigenvalues: \( \lambda = \exp(j \chi/2) \).

- **Case C** \((a + d = -2, b = 0)\): Case C is the same as case B, except that \( A_{n} = B_{n} \) for all \( n \) or \( A_{n} = -B_{n} \) for all \( n \), and the eigenvalues are changed into \( \lambda = (-1)^{1/2} \exp(j \chi/2) \).

- **Case D** \((a + d = 2, b \neq 0)\)

Eigenfunctions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Eigenvales:} & \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} \exp[j(4n \pi |b|^{-1} + h)^{1/2}] \\
& \quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} D_{m} \exp[-j(4m \pi |b|^{-1} + h)^{1/2}], \\
0 & \leq h < 4 \pi |b|, \quad C_{n} \text{’s}, \quad D_{m} \text{’s}, \quad \rho \text{ can be arbitrary real-valued constants.}
\end{align*}
\] (32)

Eigenvalues: \( \lambda = \exp(-j bh/2) \).

- **Case E** \((a + d = -2, b \neq 0)\): Case E is the same as case D, except that \( C_{n} = D_{n} \) for all \( n \) or \( C_{n} = -D_{n} \) for all \( n \), and the eigenvalues are changed into \( \lambda = (-1)^{1/2} \exp(-j bh/2) \).

- **Case F** \((a + d > 2)\)

Eigenfunctions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Eigenvales:} & \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n} \exp[j(4n \pi |b|^{-1} + h)^{1/2}] \\
& \quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F_{m} \exp[-j(4m \pi |b|^{-1} + h)^{1/2}], \\
0 & \leq h < 4 \pi |b|, \quad E_{n} \text{’s}, \quad F_{m} \text{’s}, \quad \rho \text{ can be arbitrary real-valued constants.}
\end{align*}
\] (33)
A. Eigenfunctions of the Offset Fourier Transform

where

\[ \sqrt{\alpha g}(\alpha x) = \lambda(g(x)), \]

\[ \rho = \frac{-2sc}{s(d - a) + [(a + d)^2 - 4]^{1/2}}, \]

\[ h = \frac{s b}{[(a + d)^2 - 4]^{1/2}}, \]

\[ \sigma = \text{sgn}(\sigma - 1), \]

\[ s = \text{sgn}(\sigma - \sigma^{-1}). \]

Eigenvalues: \( \lambda = \sqrt{\alpha g(\alpha x)/g(x)}. \) (34)

- Case G \((a + d < -2)\): Case G is the same as case F, except that \(g(x) = \pm g(-x)\) must be satisfied, \(s\) is changed into \(\text{sgn}(\sigma - 1)\), and the eigenvalues are changed into \(\pm (-1)^{1/2}\lambda\).

In this paper we derive the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT based on the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the original FT, FRFT, and LCT.

3. EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE OFFSET FOURIER TRANSFORM, FRACTIONAL FOURIER TRANSFORM, AND LINEAR CANONICAL TRANSFORM

A. Eigenfunctions of the Offset Fourier Transform

We first introduce a useful theorem:

Theorem A: Suppose that the linear operator \(O_C\) can be decomposed as

\[ O_C f(x) = \exp(j\varphi)O_B(O_A^{-1}f(x)), \]

(35)

where \(O_A\) and \(O_B\) are linear operators and \(\varphi\) is a constant phase. If \(e(x)\) is an eigenfunction of \(O_A\) and the corresponding eigenvalue is \(\lambda\), i.e.,

\[ O_A[e(x)] = \lambda e(x), \]

then \(O_B[e(x)]\) is an eigenfunction of \(O_C\) and the corresponding eigenvalue is \(\lambda \exp(j\varphi)\):

\[ O_C[O_B[e(x)]] = \exp(j\varphi)O_B(O_A^{-1}O_B[e(x)]) \]

\[ = \exp(j\varphi)O_B[O_A[e(x)]] \]

\[ = \lambda \exp(j\varphi)O_B[e(x)]. \] (37)

Comparing Eq. (6) with Eq. (9), we can conclude that the offset FT is just the offset LCT with parameters \([a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta] = [0, 1, -1, 0, \tau, \eta]\) multiplied by \(\sqrt{\varphi} \exp(-j\tau \eta)\):

\[ \text{FT} \_a,b,c,d,\tau, \eta g(x) = \sqrt{\varphi} \exp(-j\tau \eta)O_F^{0.1, -1, 0, \tau, \eta}[g(x)]. \] (38)

We then use Theorem A and the additivity property of the offset LCT [see Eqs. (15)–(19)] to derive the eigenfunctions of the offset FT. We choose \(O_C\) as the offset FT, choose \(O_A\) as the original FT, and then choose \(O_B\) as the combination of space shifting and frequency modulation:

\[ O_B[g(x)] = \exp(-j\tau h_2)x \exp(-j\eta h_2)g(x - h_1), \]

\[ O_B^{-1}[g(x)] = \exp(-j\tau h_2)x \exp(-j\eta h_2)g(x + h_1). \] (39)

Then \(O_A\) is just the offset LCT with parameters \([0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0]\) multiplied by \(\sqrt{\varphi}\), \(O_B\) is the offset LCT with parameters \([1, 0, 1, h_1, h_2]\), and \(O_B^{-1}\) is the offset LCT with parameters \([1, 0, 0, 1, -h_1, -h_2]\) multiplied by \(\exp(-j\tau h_2)\). So Eq. (37) can be rewritten as

\[ \sqrt{\varphi} \exp(-j\tau \eta)O_F^{0.1, -1, 0, \tau, \eta}[f(x)] \]

\[ = \exp(j\varphi)O_F^{1, 0, 0, 1, h_1, h_2}(\sqrt{\varphi} \exp(-j\tau h_2)) \]

\[ \times \{\exp(-j\tau h_2)O_F^{1, 0, 1, -1, -h_2}[f(x)]\}. \] (40)

Then, from the additivity property of the offset LCT [see Eqs. (15)–(19)], we can express Eq. (40) as

\[ 0 \quad 1 \quad t \quad \tau \]

\[ -1 \quad 0 \quad \omega \quad \eta \]

\[ = [0 \quad 1 \quad t \quad \omega - h_1 \quad h_2] \]

\[ = [0 \quad 1 \quad t \quad \omega + h_1 + h_2]. \] (41)

So we must choose \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) as

\[ h_1 = (\tau + \eta)/2, \]

\[ h_2 = (\eta - \tau)/2. \] (42)

Then \(O_B\) becomes

\[ O_B[g(x)] = \exp(j\varphi)O_B^{1, 0, 0, 1, h_1, h_2}[f(x)]. \] (43)

Then we calculate the constant phase \(\varphi\) in Eq. (40). From Eqs. (17) and (40), we obtain

\[ \sqrt{\varphi} \exp(-j\tau \eta)O_F^{0.1, -1, 0, \tau, \eta}[f(x)] \]

\[ = \sqrt{\varphi} \exp(j\varphi - j\eta^2 + j\tau h_2 - j\eta h_1 + h_2) \]

\[ \times O_F^{0.1, -1, 0, \tau, \eta}[f(x)], \]

(44)

\[ \varphi = (\tau - \eta)^2/4. \] (45)

From Eqs. (43) and (45) and Theorem A, we obtain the following conclusion:

Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the offset FT: If \(E(x)\) is an eigenfunction of the original FT and \(\lambda\) is its corresponding eigenvalue,

\[ \text{FT}[E(x)] = \lambda E(x), \]

then the offset FT with parameters \(\tau\) and \(\eta\) has the eigenfunction

\[ E_{\tau, \eta}(t) = \exp(j\varphi)\text{FT}[E(x - \tau + \eta/2)], \]

(46)

and its corresponding eigenvalue is \(\lambda \exp[j(\tau - \eta)^2/4]:\)

\[ F_{\tau, \eta}[E_{\tau, \eta}(x)] = \lambda \exp[j(\tau - \eta)^2/4]E_{\tau, \eta}(x). \] (48)

So we can obtain the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset FT easily from the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the original FT. In Eq. (47), we can choose \(E(x)\) as the Hermite polynomial multiplied by \(\exp(-x^2/2)\) [as in Eq. (22)], or the functions in Eq. (24), or any other eigenfunction of the original FT. From Eq. (26), all the eigenfunctions of the original FT can be expressed as
\[ \exp(-x^2/2) \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} a_h H_{4h+q}(x), \]

where \( H_m(x) \) is the Hermite polynomial of order \( m \), and their corresponding eigenvalues are \((-j)^q\). Thus we can conclude that all the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset FT can be expressed as

\[ \Phi_{a_h}^{q_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots}(x) = \exp \left[ j \frac{\eta - \tau}{2} x - \frac{(2x - \eta)\tau}{8} \right] \times \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} a_h H_{4h+q}(x), \]

where \( q = 0, 1, 2, 3 \), \( a_h \)'s are free to choose, and their corresponding eigenvalues are \((-j)^q \exp[j(\tau - \eta)^2/4], \ q = 0, 1, 2, 3. \)

Just as the original FT, the offset FT has only four different eigenvalues.

There are some interesting special cases worth noting. From Eq. (47), we find that when \( \tau = -\eta \), the amplitude of the eigenfunctions of the offset FT are the same as those of the original FT:

\[ |E_{c,d}(x)| = |E(x)| \quad \text{when} \quad \tau = -\eta. \]

In addition, when

\[ \tau = \eta \quad \text{or, more generally,} \quad \tau^2 + \eta^2 = 2N\pi, \]

where \( N \) is an integer, the eigenvalues of the offset FT are \( \pm 1 \) and \( \pm j \), which are all the same as those of the original FT.

The eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset IFT [defined as in Eq. (7)] can also be derived easily. Since the offset IFT is the inverse operation of the offset FT, its eigenfunctions are all the same as those of the offset FT [see Eq. (47) or (50)], and the corresponding eigenvalues are changed from \( \lambda \) to \( \lambda^{-1} \) (i.e., the corresponding eigenvalues are \( j^q \exp[-(\tau - \eta)^2/4], \) where \( q = 0, 1, 2, 3. \)).

### B. Eigenfunctions of the Offset Linear Canonical Transform and Fractional Fourier Transform

We also apply Theorem A, described in Subsection 3.A, to derive the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset linear canonical transform (offset LCT) with parameters \( \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} \). In Eq. (35), we choose \( O_\lambda \) as the original LCT with parameters \( \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} \). We also choose \( O_B \) and \( O_B^{-1} \) as the forms (39). Then \( O_\lambda, O_B, \) and \( O_B^{-1} \) are all special cases of the offset LCT, and Eq. (35) can be rewritten as

\[ O_F^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}[f(x)] = \exp(j\varphi)O_F^{1,0,0,1,h_1,1}(O_F^{a,b,c,d,0,0}[f(x)]) \times \{ \exp(-j\varphi h_1 h_2)O_F^{1,0,0,1,-h_1,-h_2}[f(x)] \}. \]

From the additivity property of the offset LCT [see Eqs. (15)–(19)], Eq. (54) can be represented by

\[ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} [t \omega] + \begin{bmatrix} \tau \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \omega \begin{bmatrix} -h_1 \h_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \]

where \( h_1 = \frac{(1-d)\tau + b\eta}{2-a-d} \), \( h_2 = \frac{c\tau + (1-a)\eta}{2-a-d} \) (if \( a + d \neq 2 \)), and \( O_B[g(x)] = \exp \left[ j \frac{c\tau + (1-a)\eta}{2-a-d} x \right] \times g(x - \frac{(1-d)\tau + b\eta}{2-a-d}). \)

Moreover, from Eqs. (17) and (54), we obtain

\[ O_F^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}[f(x)] = \exp \left[ j \varphi + j(ch_1^2 + dh_1 h_2) - j \frac{ac}{2} h_1^2 + bch_1 h_2 + \frac{bd}{2} h_2^2 \right] \]

where \( \varphi = \left( \frac{ac}{2} - c \right) h_1^2 + (bc - d + 1)h_1 h_2 + \frac{bd}{2} h_2^2 \)

\[ = \frac{-c \tau^2 + 2(1-d)\tau \eta + b \eta^2}{2(2-a-d)}. \]

From Eqs. (57) and (59) and Theorem A, we obtain the following conclusions:

**Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the offset LCT**: If \( E(x) \) is an eigenfunction of the original LCT with parameters \( \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} \) belonging to \( \lambda \), i.e.,

\[ O_F^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}[E(x)] = \lambda E(x), \]

where the form of \( E(x) \) is shown in expressions (27)–(34), then the offset LCT with parameters \( \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} \) has the eigenfunction

\[ E_{c,d}(x) = \exp \left[ j \frac{c\tau + (1-a)\eta}{2-a-d} x \right] \times E(x) \]

\[ = \frac{(1-d)\tau + b\eta}{2-a-d} \] (if \( a + d \neq 2 \), and the corresponding eigenvalue is
\[ \lambda_{c, \eta} = \exp \left[ \frac{-c \tau^2 - 2(1-d)\tau \eta + b \eta^2}{2(2-a-d)} \right] \lambda. \]  

(62)

So the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset LCT with parameters \( \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} \) can be obtained easily from those of the original LCT with parameters \( \{a, b, c, d\} \). The eigenfunctions of the original LCT are listed in expressions (27)–(34). After a space shift and a frequency modulation, they become the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT.

The above discussion is suitable for the case where \( a + d \neq 2 \). When \( a + d = 2 \), the above results are incorrect, and we must use other methods to find the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues.

We first discuss the case where \( a + d = 2 \), \( b = 0 \), and \( \tau \neq 0 \). If \( E_{c, \tau, \eta}(x) \) satisfies the quadratic-periodic relation, given by

\[ \lambda E_{c, \tau, \eta}(x) = \exp[j \pi x + j c(x - \tau)^2/2]E_{c, \tau, \eta}(x - \tau) \]

\( (\tau \neq 0), \quad (64) \)

or

\[ E_{c, \tau, \eta}(x + \tau) = \lambda^{-1} \exp[j \pi(x + \tau) + j c(x - \tau)^2/2]E_{c, \tau, \eta}(x) \]

\( (\tau \neq 0), \quad (65) \)

then \( E_{c, \tau, \eta}(x) \) is the eigenfunction of the offset LCT and the corresponding eigenvalue is \( \lambda \). In addition, when \( a + d = 2 \), \( b = 0 \), and \( \tau = 0 \), the eigenfunctions have the form of an impulse train:

When \( c \neq 0 \):

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \delta(x + \frac{\eta}{c} - \frac{4n \pi}{|c|} + h)^{1/2} \]

\[ + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \delta(x + \frac{\eta}{c} + \frac{4m \pi}{|c|} + h)^{1/2}, \]

\( 0 < h < 4\pi/|c|, A_n \)'s and \( B_m \)'s can be arbitrary real-valued constants. (66)

When \( c = 0 \):

\[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n \delta(x - 2n \pi \eta^{-1} + h), \]

\( h \) and \( A_n \)'s can be arbitrary real-valued constants. (67)

The corresponding eigenvalue is \( \exp[-j \pi \eta^2/(2c) + j c h/2] \) when \( c \neq 0 \) and \( \exp[-j \pi h] \) when \( c = 0 \).

We then use the above result to derive the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT when \( a + d = 2 \) and \( b \neq 0 \). In Theorem A, we can choose \( O_A \) as the offset LCT with parameters \( \{1, 0, c_1, 1, \tau_1, \eta_1\} \) and choose \( O_B \) as the offset LCT with parameters \( \{a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, \tau_2, \eta_2\} \). Then \( O_B^{-1} \) is the original LCT with parameters \( \{d_2, -b_2, -c_2, a_2, -d_2 \tau_2 + b_2 \eta_2, c_2 \tau_2 - a_2 \eta_2\} \), and Eq. (35) can be represented by

\[ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ + \begin{bmatrix} -d_2 \tau_2 + b_2 \eta_2 \\ c_2 \tau_2 - a_2 \eta_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \eta_1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_2 \\ \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (68) \]

We solve \( c_1, b_2, \) and \( d_2 \) as

\[ b_2 = \pm \sqrt{|bc_1^{-1}|}, \]

\[ d_2 = \frac{a - d}{2c_1 b_2^2}, \]

\( c_1 \) can be an arbitrary real-valued constant, except that

\[ \text{sign}(c_1) = -\text{sign}(b). \quad (69) \]

In addition, \( a_2, \tau_2, \) and \( \eta_2 \) are all free to choose, \( c_2 = (a_2 d_2 - 1)/b_2 = 1, \) and \( \tau_1 \) and \( \eta_1 \) must be chosen to satisfy Eqs. (69). After some complicated calculation, we can show that, in fact, \( a_2 \) and \( \eta_2 \) have no effect on the eigenfunctions that we obtain. Thus we can fix them as

\[ a_2 = 0, \quad \eta_2 = 0. \quad (70) \]

Then the other parameters are

\[ c_2 = -b_2^{-1}, \quad \tau_2 \] can be an arbitrary real-valued constant,

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \eta_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{d_2}{b_2^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} -b_2 & 0 \\ \tau + (a - 1) \tau_2 \]

\[ \eta + c \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (71) \]

Thus we can conclude that the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT when \( a + d = 2 \) and \( b \neq 0 \) are

\[ E_{\tau, \eta}(x) = \exp \left[ \frac{d_2}{2b_2^2} (x - \tau_2)^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{j}{b_2} (x - \tau_2)q \right] g(q) dq, \quad (72) \]

where

\[ g(x + \tau_1) = \lambda^{-1} \exp[j \pi(x + \tau_1) + j c x^2/2]g(x), \quad (73) \]

and the values of \( b_2, d_2, \tau_2, c_1, \tau_1, \) and \( \eta_1 \) are chosen according to expressions (69) and (71). The corresponding eigenvalue is

\[ \lambda \exp(j \varphi), \quad (74) \]

where \( \lambda \) is determined by \( g(x) \) [see Eq. (73)], and
\[ \varphi = \tau_1 \eta_1 + b_2 d_2 \eta_1^2 + (\tau_1 c_2 + \eta_1 d_2) \tau_2 + \frac{\tau_1 (1 + \tau_1 b_2 d_2)}{2} \tau_1^2 + (1 + \tau_1 b_2 d_2) \tau_1 \eta_1 + (\tau_1 c_2 + \eta_1 d_2) (b_2 \eta_1 + \tau_2) + \frac{c_2 d_2 \tau_2^2}{2}. \]  

(75)

After the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset LCT are derived, we can derive the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset FRFT easily. Since the offset FRFT is the special case of the offset LCT with parameters \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} = \{\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, -\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha, \tau, \eta\}, then, from Eqs. (60)–(62), we obtain the following result:

Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the offset FRFT: If \( E(x) \) is an eigenfunction of the original FRFT and \( \lambda \) is the corresponding eigenvalue,

\[ O_{\text{FRFT}}^a(E(x)) = \lambda E(x), \]  

(76)

then the offset FRFT with parameters \{a, \tau, \eta\} (\( \alpha \neq 0 \)) has the eigenfunction

\[ E_{\alpha}(x) = \exp \left[ j \frac{-\tau \cot(\alpha/2) + \eta}{2} x \right] \times E \left[ x - \frac{\tau + \eta \cot(\alpha/2)}{2} \right], \]  

(77)

and the corresponding eigenvalue is

\[ \lambda_{\alpha} = \exp \left[ j \frac{\cot(\alpha/2)}{4} (\tau^2 + \eta^2) - j \frac{\tau \eta}{2} \right] \lambda. \]  

(78)

The case where \( \alpha = 0 \) is the same as the case of the offset LCT with parameters \{1, 0, 0, 1, \tau, \eta\}.

C. Completion, Orthogonality, and Symmetry Properties

In any condition, we can find a subset of the eigenfunctions of the offset FT, FRFT, or LCT [denoted by \{\phi_0(x), \phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \phi_3(x), \ldots\} \} that satisfies properties (79)–(81):

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_m(x) \phi_n(x) \, dx = C_m \delta_{m,n}. \]  

(79)

Any function can be decomposed as a linear combination of the eigenfunctions:

\[ f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n(x), \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_n(x) \, dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi_n(x)|^2 \, dx}. \]  

(80)

where \( f(x) \) is any function.

\[ |\phi_n(x)| = |\phi_n(-x + x_0)| \quad \text{for all} \quad n, \]  

(81)

where \( x_0 \) is some constant.

Thus the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT always have an orthogonal, complete, and symmetric eigenfunction set, whatever the values of their parameters are. That is, for example, for the offset FT, we can choose \{\phi_0(x), \phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \phi_3(x), \ldots\} as

\[ \phi_n(x) = \exp \left[ j \frac{\eta - \tau}{2} x - \left( x - \frac{\tau + \eta}{2} \right)^2 \right] \times H_n \left( x - \frac{\tau + \eta}{2} \right), \]  

(82)

It indeed forms an orthogonal, complete, and symmetric function set.

4. ANALYSIS OF SELF-IMAGING PHENOMENA

The eigenfunctions of the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT are useful for self-imaging phenomena analysis in optics. This is because many optical systems can be modeled by the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT.9–11 Before discussing self-imaging phenomena analysis, we first describe how to represent optical systems by the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT:

1. The free space with distance \( z \) can be modeled by the offset LCT with parameters

\[ \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} = \{1, z \lambda/2 \pi, 0, 1, 0, 0\}. \]  

(83)

2. The lens with focal length \( f \) can be modeled by the offset LCT with parameters

\[ \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} = \{1, 0, -2 \pi/f \lambda, 1, 0, 0\}. \]  

(84)

3. The prism whose ratio of bottom width to height is \( \sigma \) can be modeled by the offset LCT with parameters

\[ \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} = \{1, 0, 0, 1, 0, -2 \pi(n - 1) \sigma/\lambda\}, \]  

(85)

where

\[ \sigma = \frac{\text{bottom} - \text{width of the prism}}{\text{height of the prism}}, \]  

\[ n = \text{refractive index of the prism}. \]  

(86)

4. The shifted lens in Fig. 1 can be modeled by the offset LCT with parameters

\[ \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} = \{1, 0, -2 \pi/\lambda, 1, 0, 2 \pi x_0/\lambda\}. \]  

(87)

5. In addition to the above optical components, there are many other optical components that can be modeled by the offset LCT. For example, suppose that there is a medium (shown as in Fig. 2) with refractive index \( n \) and that its width is a second-order function of \( x \) (\( x \) axis is perpendicular to the direction of propagation):

\[ w(x) = h x^2 + h_1 x + h_0, \quad x \text{ is not too large}, \]  

(88)

then we can represent the medium by the offset LCT with parameters

\[ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 \pi(n - 1) h_2 / \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \]  

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \tau \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \pi(n - 1) h_1 / \lambda \end{bmatrix}. \]  

(89)

If an optical system consists of the optical components described above, then we can use the offset LCT with some parameters set to represent this system. We first
represent each of the components (in order from input to output) in the optical system by the offset LCTs with parameter sets $A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_N$ (each of the $A_i$'s has the six parameters \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\}). Then the whole optical system can be represented by

$$D_o(x) = \exp(j \varphi)O_F^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}[g(x)]$$

$$\times [D_i(x)], \quad \varphi \text{ is some constant phase},$$

(90)

where $D_i(x)$ and $D_o(x)$ are the light distributions of the input and the output of the optical system and

$$O_F^{a,b,c,d,\tau,\eta}[g(x)]$$

$$= O_F^{A_1}O_F^{A_2}O_F^{A_3}\cdots O_F^{A_N}[g(x)]$$

(91)

From the additivity property of the offset LCT [see Eqs. (84), (85), and (87)], we can model this system successfully by using the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT.

If we can use the offset LCT with parameters \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\} to represent an optical system, then the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT with parameters \{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1\} can cause the self-imaging phenomena for this optical system if \{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1\} satisfy some constraints. From Eq. (9), we can prove that

$$\sqrt{\sigma}G_{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1}(\sigma(u - t + \sigma^{-1}r_1))$$

$$= \left|G_{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1}(u)\right| \quad \text{when } \sigma = a_1/a = b_1/b.$$  

(92)

Thus we obtain the following conclusions:

1. In optics, only the intensity is observed. So, from Eq. (92), if

$$a_1 = a, \quad b_1 = b, \quad \tau_1 = \tau,$$

then, even if \{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1\} \neq \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\}, the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT with parameters \{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1\} will cause the self-imaging phenomena for the optical system that can be modeled by the offset LCT with parameters \{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\}.

2. Furthermore, if the difference of location is ignored, then constraints (93) are relaxed to

$$a_1 = a, \quad b_1 = b.$$  

(94)

3. If the difference of scaling is ignored, then constraints (93) are relaxed to

$$a_1 : b = a : b, \quad \tau_1 = a_1 \tau/a.$$  

(95)

4. If both the difference of location and the difference of scaling are ignored, then constraints (93) are relaxed to

$$a_1 : b = a : b.$$  

(96)

Thus, if an optical system can be modeled by the offset LCT, as in Eq. (90), then there are usually varieties of inputs that can cause self-imaging phenomena.

In Ref. 8, we applied the eigenfunctions of the original LCT to analyze self-imaging phenomena of the optical system consisting of free spaces and lenses. Nevertheless, if an optical system consists of prisms, shifted lenses, and the optical components as in Fig. 2, then its self-imaging phenomena are hard to analyze by the eigenfunctions of the original LCT. This problem can be solved successfully by using the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT.

We give an example in Fig. 3. It has a prism and a shifted lens, so we cannot use the eigenfunctions of the original LCT to analyze self-imaging phenomena. Nevertheless, we can use the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT. From Eqs. (83), (85), and (87), we can model this system by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_2 k^{-1} \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 k^{-1} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} |t| \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left[ 1 - (z_1 + z_2)k^{-1} (z_1 + z_2)k^{-1} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} t \\ -k f^{-1} \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$+ \left( \begin{array}{c} (z_1 + z_2)k f^{-1} - (n - 1)z_2 \sigma \\ k [z_2 f^{-1} - (n - 1)\sigma] \end{array} \right)$$

(97)

So the optical system can be modeled by the offset LCT with parameters

![Fig. 3. Optical system with a shifted lens and a prism.](image-url)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  a & b \\
  c & d
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 - (z_1 + z_2)f^{-1} & (z_1 + z_2)k^{-1} \\
  -k^{-1} & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  \tau \\
  \eta
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  (z_1 + z_2)x_0f^{-1} - (n - 1)z_2\sigma \\
  k[x_0f^{-1} - (n - 1)\sigma]
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (98)

Then, from Eqs. (93) and (98), we can conclude that if
\[
a_1 = 1 - (z_1 + z_2)f^{-1}, \quad b_1 = (z_1 + z_2)k^{-1},
\]
\[
\tau_1 = (z_1 + z_2)x_0f^{-1} - (n - 1)z_2\sigma,
\] (99)

then the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT with parameters \(\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1\}\) can cause the self-imaging phenomena for the optical system in Fig. 3. Furthermore, from Eq. (96), if
\[
a_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}[(z_1 + z_2)^{-1} - f^{-1}],
\] (100)

then the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT with parameters \(\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1\}\) can cause the self-imaging phenomena if the differences of scaling and location are ignored. In fact,
\[
|D_0(x)| = |\lambda \sqrt{f}D_1(\sigma(x - s))|, \quad (101)
\]
\[
\rho = \frac{a_1f}{f - z_1 - z_2},
\]
\[
s = \frac{(z_1 + z_2)x_0}{f} - \frac{(n - 1)z_2\sigma - \tau_1}{\rho},
\] (102)

where \(D_1(x)\) and \(D_0(x)\) are the input and the output of the system in Fig. 3, respectively, and \(D_1(x)\) satisfies \(O_{f, b_1, c_1, d_1, \tau_1, \eta_1}[D_1(x)] = \lambda D_1(x)\), where \(a_1, b_1,\) and \(\tau_1\) satisfy Eq. (100).

From the above conclusions, and applying the results in Eqs. (60)–(62), we can find all possible inputs that can cause the self-imaging phenomena for the optical system in Fig. 3. There are varieties of inputs that can cause the self-imaging phenomena for this optical system.

There are two interesting things worth noting:

1. Suppose that \(D_1(x)\) can cause a self-imaging phenomenon for an optical system. If we shift a lens upward or downward, or place a prism in this optical system, then \(D_1(x)\) can still cause the self-imaging phenomenon, except that the location of the output image is shifted.

2. The following input will cause self-imaging phenomena for all the optical systems that have no optical component other than lenses, free spaces, prisms, shifted lenses, or the optical medium in Fig. 2 when the differences of scaling and location are ignored:
\[
D_1(x) = A \exp(jy_0x) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)H_m(x - x_0), \quad (103)
\]

where \(H_m(x)\) is the Hermite polynomial of order \(m\), and \(A, x_0,\) and \(y_0\) are free to choose. This is because from Eq. (77), we can conclude that Eq. (103) is the eigenfunction of the offset FRFT with parameters \(\alpha, \tau,\) and \(\eta\), where
\[
\tau = x_0(1 - \cos \alpha) - y_0 \sin \alpha,
\]
\[
\eta = x_0 \sin \alpha - y_0(1 - \cos \alpha),
\] (104)

where \(\alpha\) is any real number. The offset FRFT with these parameters is just the offset LCT with parameters \(\{\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, -\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha, x_0(1 - \cos \alpha) - y_0 \sin \alpha, x_0 \sin \alpha - y_0(1 - \cos \alpha)\}\). When the differences of scaling and location are ignored, its eigenfunctions can cause self-imaging phenomena for the optical system that can be modeled by the offset LCT with parameters \(\{a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta\}\), where
\[
a/b = \cot \alpha. \quad (105)
\]

Since \(\alpha\) is any real number, \(a/b\) can also be any real number. Thus \(D_1(x)\) as defined in Eq. (103) can cause self-imaging phenomena for all optical systems that can be modeled by the offset LCT.

5. ANALYSIS OF RESONANCE PHENOMENA AND OTHER APPLICATIONS

A. Analysis of Resonance Phenomena

Analyzing the resonance phenomena of spherical mirror pair systems (as in Figs. 4 and 5) is another application of the eigenfunctions of the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT.

The eigenfunctions of the original FT can cause resonance phenomena for a cofocal spherical mirror pair system (i.e., the system in Fig. 4 when \(R_A = R_B = D\)). In our new manuscript in preparation, we show that the eigenfunctions of the original LCT can cause resonance phenomena for a spherical mirror pair system even when \(R_A \neq R_B \neq D\). The only requirement is that the centers of the two spherical mirrors be aligned, as in Fig. 4.

In fact, even when the centers of the two mirrors are not aligned together (as in Fig. 5), we can still analyze the resonance phenomena of the spherical mirror pair system. This is because this system can be modeled by the offset LCT. Suppose that, in Fig. 5, the center of mirror A is at \((x, y) = (0,0)\), the center of mirror B is at \((x, y) = (x_0, y_0)\) (\(|x_0|\) and \(|y_0|\) are not too large), and the reflective coefficients of mirrors A and B are \(r_A\) and \(r_B\), respectively:

![Fig. 4. Spherical mirror pair system (the centers of the two mirrors are aligned).](image1)

![Fig. 5. Spherical mirror pair system (the centers of the two mirrors are not aligned).](image2)
\[ F_{AB}(x, y) = r_A F_{AI}(x, y), \quad F_{BB}(x, y) = r_B F_{BI}(x, y), \]

(106)

where \( F_{AI}(x, y) \) and \( F_{BI}(x, y) \) denote the incident light of mirrors A and B and \( F_{AR}(x, y) \) and \( F_{BR}(x, y) \) denote the refractive light of mirrors A and B.

If the light \( F_1(x, y) \) is emitted from mirror A, it will propagate rightward to mirror B, be reflected by mirror B, and then propagate back to mirror A. Then the reflective light will be received by mirror A. If we denote the light received by mirror A as \( F_1(x, y) \), then

\[ F_1(x, y) = \exp[j(2kD + \phi)] r_B O_{F_1}^{(a,b,c,d,\tau_x,\eta_x)} \times \{O_{F_1}^{(a,b,c,d,\tau_x,\eta_x)}[F_1(x', y')]) \], \]

(107)

where \( O_{F_1} \) and \( O_{F_2} \) stand for the one-dimensional offset LCT along the \( x \) axis and the \( y \) axis, \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \), and \( \phi \) is the phase factor.

That is, the relations between the emitted light and the received reflective light of the spherical mirror pair system in Fig. 5 can be expressed by the offset LCT. If the mirrors are aligned \( (x_0 = y_0 = 0) \), then, in Eqs. (108), \( \tau_x = \eta_x = \tau_y = \eta_y = 0 \), and we can use just the original LCT to model the system. Nevertheless, when \( x_0 \neq 0 \) or \( y_0 \neq 0 \), we must use the offset LCT to model the system in Fig. 5.

Thus, in Fig. 5, if the input light emitted from mirror A is

\[ F_1(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y), \]

(110)

where \( f_1(x) \) and \( f_2(y) \) are all the eigenvectors of the offset LCT, i.e.,

\[ O_{F_1}^{(a,b,c,d,\tau_x,\eta_x)}[f_1(x')] = \xi_1 f_1(x), \]

\[ O_{F_2}^{(a,b,c,d,\tau_y,\eta_y)}[f_2(y')] = \xi_2 f_2(y), \]

(111)

where \( a, b, c, d, \tau_x, \eta_x, \tau_y, \eta_y \) are defined as in Eqs. (108), then, in Eq. (107), the light \( F_1(x, y) \) received by mirror A is just a constant multiple of \( F_1(x, y) \):

\[ F_1(x, y) = \exp[j(2kD + \phi)] \xi_1 \xi_2 r_B F_1(x, y). \]

(112)

Furthermore, the received light \( F_1(x, y) \) will be reflected by mirror A, propagate forward to mirror B again, and then propagate backward. The propagation–reflection operation will repeat again and again. If the original input light \( F_1(x, y) \) has the distribution (110) and we use \( F_n(x, y) \) to denote the light received by mirror A for the \( n \)th time, then

\[ F_n(x, y) = \exp[j(2knD + n\phi)] \xi_1 \xi_2 r_B^{n-1} F_1(x, y). \]

(113)

It is always a constant multiple of \( F_1(x, y) \). If the coherent constraint is satisfied, i.e.,

\[ \arg[\exp[j(2knD + n\phi)] \xi_1 \xi_2 r_B^{n-1}] = 0, \]

then the resonance phenomena occur. Thus, if the original input light has the same distribution as that of the eigenfunctions of the offset LCT, as in Eqs. (110) and (111), and its eigenvalues satisfy the coherent constraint (114), then the input light will cause resonance phenomena. Since, usually, \( |\xi_1 \xi_2 r_B^{n-1}| < 1 \), we can prove that the total light received by mirror A is

\[ F_S(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp[j(2knD + \phi)] \xi_1 \xi_2 r_B^{n-1} F_1(x, y). \]

(115)

If \( |\xi_1 \xi_2 r_B^{n-1}| \approx 1 \) and Eq. (114) is satisfied, then \( |F_S(x, y)| \) can be many times \( |F_1(x, y)| \).

B. Other Potential Applications

1. Deriving the Eigenvectors of the Offset Discrete Fourier Transform, Discrete Cosine Transform, and Discrete Sine Transform

The eigenvectors of the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) can be derived from the eigenfunctions of the original FT.\(^{19}\) In fact, we can also use the eigenfunctions of the offset FT found in this paper to derive the eigenvectors of the offset DFT, which is defined as

\[ X_{a,b}[m] = \sqrt{N^{-1}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp[-j2\pi(m - a)(n - b)] x[n]. \]

(116)

Moreover, we can further derive the eigenvectors of the discrete cosine transform and the discrete sine transform.

2. Fractal Analysis

When \( |a + d| > 2 \), the eigenfunctions of offset LCTs are scaling-invariant functions (i.e., fractals) with some extra...
operations. Thus, developing the fractal theory and analyzing the performance of fractals in optics are also potential applications of the eigenfunctions of offset LCTs.

3. **Mode Selection and Its Related Applications**

A mode selection algorithm for the original FRFT has been developed. Since the eigenfunctions of the original FRFT are complete and orthogonal, every function \( f(x) \) can be expressed as

\[
f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n(x),
\]

(117)

where \( \phi_n(x) \) is the eigenfunction of the original FRFT. The mode selection algorithm is the one that uses the FRFTs with different parameters (can be implemented by optical systems) to expand the input function \( f(x) \) as in Eq. (117) by optics. It is useful for retrieval of the phase information in optics, analysis of the light spectrum, and encryption.

Since the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT also have a complete and orthogonal eigenfunction set (see Subsection 3.C), we can also use an algorithm similar to the mode selection algorithm of the original FRFT (Ref. 16) to do the work of mode selection in optics. Thus we can also use the eigenfunctions of the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT for the applications of phase retrieval in optics, light spectrum analysis, and encryption.

6. **CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we have derived the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the offset Fourier transform (FT), offset fractional Fourier transform (FRFT), and offset linear canonical transform (LCT). The properties and the applications of these eigenfunctions are also discussed. With the aid of the eigenfunctions of the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT, we can study self-imaging phenomena of the optical system consisting of lenses, free spaces, lenses, and shifted lenses, and the media with the transfer functions \( \exp \{ j(h_2x^2 + h_1x + h_0) \} \). In addition, we can also use the eigenfunctions of the offset FT, FRFT, and LCT for resonance phenomena analysis, mode selection, phase retrieval, and other potential applications.
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