The effect of devitalized trabecular bone on the formation of osteochondral tissue-engineered constructs
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ABSTRACT

In the current study, evidence is presented demonstrating that devitalized trabecular bone has an inhibitory effect on in vitro chondral tissue development when used as a base material for the tissue-engineering of osteochondral constructs for cartilage repair. Chondrocyte-seeded agarose hydrogel constructs were cultured alone or attached to an underlying bony base in a chemically defined medium formulation that has been shown to yield engineered cartilaginous tissue with native Young’s modulus ($E_Y$) and glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content. By day 42 in culture the incorporation of a bony base significantly reduced these properties ($E_Y = 87 \pm 12$ kPa, GAG = $1.9 \pm 0.8$%ww) compared to the gel-alone group ($E_Y = 642 \pm 97$ kPa, GAG = $4.6 \pm 1.4$%ww). Similarly, the mechanical and biochemical properties of chondrocyte-seeded agarose constructs were inhibited when co-cultured adjacent to bone (unattached), suggesting that soluble factors rather than direct cell–bone interactions mediate the chondro-inhibitory bone effects. Altering the method of bone preparation, including demineralization, or the timing of bone introduction in co-culture did not ameliorate the effects. In contrast, osteochondral constructs with native cartilage properties ($E_Y = 730 \pm 65$ kPa, GAG = $5.2 \pm 0.9$%ww) were achieved when a porous tantalum metal base material was adopted instead of bone. This work suggests that devitalized bone may not be a suitable substrate for long-term cultivation of osteochondral grafts.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Articular cartilage is a specialized connective tissue that bears load and reduces friction across moving joints. It is composed of an extracellular matrix that contains no nerves or blood vessels and relatively few cells (5% volume). When damaged, articular cartilage does not heal, but instead often degenerates further, leading to pain and loss of function [1]. Due to the prevalence of osteoarthritis (OA) and damage to articular cartilage, coupled with this poor intrinsic healing response, there is a great demand for clinical intervention, usually in the form of a highly invasive non-biological prosthetic (such as total joint arthroplasty). Currently, the most common biological alternative to arthroplasty entails the transplantation of healthy osteochondral autografts (cartilage along with some of the underlying bone) from a non-load bearing region to the affected site [1–5]. Osteochondral grafts are better anchored than chondral-only grafts and are less likely to be displaced by shearing forces within the joint. While these autologous grafting procedures are promising, they are limited both by the amount of tissue available and donor-site morbidity associated with its harvest. Tissue-engineering strategies, if successful, would alleviate these problems by creating replacement tissues of the proper size and shape without concurrent damage to other regions of the patient’s body.

Osteochondral constructs are designed to be press-fit into pre-drilled cavities in the damaged joint, replacing the host cartilage above while anchoring to the bone below. There are a great variety of tissue-engineering approaches to form osteochondral constructs, but typically they all entail the use of some form of tissue-scaffold.

The most important characteristics of the scaffold are its mechanical properties, its porosity, and its biocompatibility. Specifically it must be able to properly bear and transfer loads to the surrounding tissue without being crushed. Since this environment also includes shear forces, the interface strength between the cartilage and bone regions should also be considered. The scaffolds must also have the proper porosity to allow for cell infiltration and nutrient transport and be biocompatible to mitigate immunogenic
issues while allowing engineered tissue to develop functional properties. The two regions of an osteochondral construct can be formed from a single continuous scaffold [6–8] or from two independent scaffolds that have been joined together [9] with each region specialized to promote chondrogenic or osteogenic development.

Although this development process would ideally take place entirely within the defect site [10–15], there is evidence that some in vitro pre-culture may be necessary to have enough fortitude to survive the harsh mix of inflammatory cytokines and concentrated loads that are common in an injured joint [16,17]. The amount of time dedicated to in vitro pre-culture must be considered in the choice of engineered scaffolds, especially if the scaffold material is designed to degrade over time. We have recently developed a tissue culture protocol using chondrocyte-seeded agarose hydrogel and the temporal addition of growth factors that yields engineered tissue with native Young’s modulus ($E_Y$) and glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content [18]. In Study 1 of the current set of experiments we expanded this system to include osteochondral constructs formed using a devitalized trabecular bone as a base scaffold.

Among its many benefits, trabecular bone represents the gold standard in terms of mechanical properties, and unlike degradable substrates these properties do not change substantially over time in culture, allowing for extensive in vitro cultivation. Bone also has an interconnected porosity that is ideal for gelling chondrocyte-laden agarose or other hydrogels. It is abundantly available for research use and easily machined into a multitude of forms. Devitalized and demineralized bone is already approved by the FDA for clinical use as a scaffold to promote bone growth, as a source of osteoinductive factors, and as allografts [19]. As such it is an alluring choice for an osteochondral scaffold, however, the results of preliminary trials [20] using devitalized trabecular bone suggest there are unanticipated inhibitory effects on chondral development. Study 1 extends these preliminary results, by examining the effect of trabecular bone on chondral development when used both directly in the formation of multi-phase osteochondral constructs and indirectly when included in the culture medium unattached to the developing gels.

An alternate approach to forming osteochondral constructs is to culture the two phases separately and join them together after certain engineering milestones have been met (i.e., mechanical or chemical fortitude, etc.). Study 2 was designed to examine the feasibility of this approach by delaying the introduction of bone to the culture medium to allow for matrix development. Finally, in Study 3 we examine porous tantalum metal, a highly promising synthetic alternative to bone, as a tissue-engineering scaffold for the formation of osteochondral constructs with functional mechanical properties.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental design

Three studies were carried out in this manuscript (Fig. 1). In Study 1 the development of chondrocyte-seeded agarose hydrogel constructs (Study 1, Gel) and osteochondral constructs (Study 1, OC(bone)) were directly compared using the same tissue-engineering protocol. To assess the effects of soluble factors released by bone, Gel constructs were also co-cultured adjacent (but unattached) to devitalized bone (Study 1, Co-culture(bone)). To exclude the effect of soluble minerals Gel constructs were also cultured adjacent to demineralized bone (Study 1, Co-culture(demin)). Finally, to test for the possibility that the inhibitory effect of bone is not related to soluble factors, but rather due to a decrease in the availability of growth factors through the absorption into bone, Gel constructs were cultured in medium without TGF-β3 (Study 1, No TGF-β3).

In Study 2 the possibility of forming functional osteochondral constructs after separate cultivation of the chondral region was examined by delaying the introduction of bone to day 14 of culture (Study 2, Bone Introduced on day 14) or on day 28 (Study 2, Bone Introduced on day 28). Gel constructs without any Bone Introduced served as controls (Study 2, No Bone Introduced).

Study 3: Porous Tantalum Metal is Examined as an Alternate Substrate for Osteochondral Tissue Engineering

Study 1: The Introduction of Bone is Delayed to Allow for Matrix Development

Fig. 1. Schematic of experimental design. Study 1: Gel: single-phase chondrocyte-seeded agarose constructs serve as controls, OC(bone): multi-phase osteochondral constructs formed with a trabecular bone substrate, Co-culture(bone): single-phase gel cultured adjacent to bone to test for the effect of soluble factors, Co-culture(demin bone): Gel constructs cultured adjacent to demineralized bone to control for the effect of soluble minerals, No TGF-β3: Gel constructs cultured in medium without TGF-β3 to test for possible decrease in availability of growth factors through absorption into bone. Study 2: Bone is introduced to gel constructs at day 14 (Bone Introduced on day 14) or at day 28 (Bone Introduced on day 28) to examine the possibility of forming osteochondral constructs after gel is more developed. Study 3: OC(Tantalum): Multi-Phase osteochondral constructs formed with tantalum substrate, Gel and OC(bone) serve as controls. Each study was carried out separately and all groups were cultured for 42 days.
In Study 3 multi-phase osteochondral constructs are formed with a porous tantalum metal substrate (Study 3, OC(tantalum)) and compared to both Gel and OC(bone) constructs. Porous tantalum metal is a non-biological substrate currently being investigated as a component for total joint arthroplasty and has shown excellent early clinical results [21–26]. Each study was carried out separately and all groups were cultured for 42 days.

2.2. Cell isolation

Articular cartilage was harvested from bovine carpo-metacarpal (CMC) joints of freshly slaughtered 1–3 weeks old calves. Three to five joints were used for each study and cells were pooled from all joints. Cartilage chunks were digested in high-glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (hgDMEM) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and 390 μM collagenase type VI (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO) for 11 h at 37°C with stirring. The resulting cell suspension was then filtered through a 70 μm pore-size mesh and sedimented in a bench top centrifuge for 10 min at 1000 g. Viable cells were counted using a hemacytometer and trypan blue.

2.3. Osteochondral substrate preparation

2.3.1. Devalitized bone

Cylindrical cores (≈ 15 mm long) of trabecular bone were isolated from the subchondral region of bovine tibia using a diamond-tipped, hollow drill (Starlite, Rosemont, PA). Cores were rough cut to ≈ 6 mm in length and centered in a custom 4 mm thick stainless steel mold such that there were overhanging surfaces on both sides of the mold. These surfaces were then sanded flat with a hand-held device to ensure that the final bone cores had uniform dimensions (Ø 4 × 4 mm ± 50 μm) with parallel faces cut normal to the axis of symmetry. Bone cores were then cleaned of marrow in one of the three ways: (1) with a water pick and subsequently sterilized in 70% ethanol for 4 h, (2) by washing in hypotonic buffer with detergent and DNase and RNase solutions, or (3) as provided by a commercial vendor through their FDA approved BioCleanse processes (RTI Biologics). To keep the quantity of bone consistent between experiments, cleansed bone was sorted to within a 10% deviation in mass and volume. The experiments presented in Study 1 and Study 2 were repeated with each of these cleaning methods with no significant differences in results. The data presented here are averaged across all experiments. For the Co-culture(demin) group in Study 1 bone was demineralized in 6 N HCl for 12 h.

2.3.2. Tantalum metal

Porous tantalum metal (Zimmer) was prepared using wire cut electron discharge machining (to maintain the scaffold porosity [27]) to final construct dimensions of Ø 4 × 4 mm.

2.4. Casting of chondral or osteochondral constructs

One volume of chondrocyte suspension [at 60 × 10^6 cells/ml] was then mixed with an equal volume of 4% low-melt agarose (Type VII, Sigma) at 37°C to yield a final cell concentration of 30 × 10^6 cells/ml in 2% agarose. For Gel specimens the chondrocyte/agarose suspension was cast into slabs and cored using a sterile disposable punch (Miltex, York, PA) to final dimensions (Ø 4 mm × 2.3 mm).

For osteochondral specimens 60 μl of molten cell-laden agarose suspension was poured into the cylindrical wells of a custom mold. Osteochondral substrates were pressed into the gel from above to the desired depth (adjusted through a temporary retaining ring). With this technique a multi-layered construct was formed with the following dimensions: a 2 mm agarose-only top region, a 2 mm agarose + substrate interface region, and a 2 mm substrate-only region.

2.5. Growth medium

The growth medium was changed every other day and consisted of hgDMEM with 1 × PSF (100 units/ml Penicillin, 100 μg/ml Streptomycin, 0.25 μg/ml Fungizone), 0.1 μm dexamethasone, 50 μg/ml ascorbate 2-phosphate, 40 μg/ml L-proline, 100 μg/ml sodium pyruvate, and 1 × ITS + premix (insulin, human transferrin, and selenous acid, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Chemically defined medium

---

**Fig. 2.** Results of Study 1. Gel: single-phase chondrocyte-seeded agarose constructs (n = 14), OC(bone): multi-phase osteochondral constructs formed with a trabecular bone substrate (n = 14), Co-culture(bone): single-phase gel cultured adjacent to bone (n = 15), Co-culture(demin bone): gel constructs cultured adjacent to demineralized bone (n = 4), No TGF-β3: gel constructs cultured in medium without TGF-β3 (n = 4). *p < 0.05 vs. previous time point. **p < 0.05 vs. all other groups at same time point. *p < 0.05 vs. OC(bone), Co-culture(demin), or Co-culture(bone). §p < 0.05 vs. No TGF.
was further supplemented with 10 ng/ml of transforming growth factor beta 3 (TGF-β3, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) for the first 14 days of culture.

2.6. Material testing

The equilibrium Young’s modulus (EY) is commonly used as a measure of the behavior of cartilage that has been allowed to reach equilibrium after a known load or displacement has been applied. Constructs were tested for EY in unconfined compression using a custom computer-controlled testing system [28]. An initial 0.02 N tare load was applied, followed by a compression to 10% strain (of the chondral region) at a strain rate of 0.05%/s. EY was calculated from the equilibrium stress at 10% strain. Previous studies have shown EY to remain invariant across strain magnitudes ranging from 0 to 20% [18].

To determine the shear strength at the interface, the gel region of osteochondral constructs was cut in half, and mounted in a custom mold as to allow a platen to come into contact with the newly created flat surface (Fig. 6A). A linear displacement (10 μm/s) was then applied and the load measured. The shear strength at the interface was calculated in three ways, as is commonly expressed in the literature [29,30]. Peak load was determined as the highest force before failure (Fig. 6B, asterisks). Shear stiffness was determined by curve fitting the linear region on the force/displacement curve (Fig. 6B, bold line). Energy to Failure was determined by integrating the area under the force/displacement curve to the peak load and normalizing by interface area (Fig. 6B, shaded area).

2.7. Biochemical content

The biochemical content of each sample was assessed by first measuring sample wet weight, lyophilizing for 24 h, and then measuring the sample dry weight. Once dry, the samples were digested in proteinase-K overnight at 56 °C, as described previously [31]. Aliquots of digest were analyzed for glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content using the 1,9-dimethylmethylene blue dye-binding assay [32]. A further aliquot was acid hydrolyzed in 12 N HCl at 110 °C for 16 h, dried over NaOH, and resuspended in assay buffer [31]. Ortho-hydroxyproline (OHP) content was then determined via a colorimetric assay by reaction with chloramine T and dimethylamobenzaldehyde [33]. OHP content was converted to total collagen content using the conversion of 1:10 ratio of OHP:collagen [34]. Each biochemical constituent (GAG and collagen) was normalized to tissue wet weight.

2.8. Histological analysis

Gel samples were fixed in acid formalin ethanol [35], paraffin embedded, sectioned (8 μm thick), and stained to view proteoglycan or total collagen or type II collagen distribution as described previously [36]. For osteochondral constructs histological specimens were prepared through plastic embedding and stained at the Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Wisconsin. Live/dead assays were carried out using manufacture’s protocol (Molecular Probes).

2.9. Statistics

Statistics were performed with the Statistica (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK) software package. Each data point represents the mean and standard deviation. Groups were examined for significant differences by analysis of variance (α = 0.05); with EY, GAG, or OHP as the dependent variable using Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference Test (HSD).

3. Results

3.1. Study 1: comparing the development of chondral and osteochondral constructs in the presence of devitalized trabecular bone

In Study 1, both osteochondral (OC(bone)) and chondral (Gel) constructs developed significantly better mechanical and biochemical properties over time (Fig. 2). When the two groups were compared against each other, however, the osteochondral group consistently underperformed. The day 42 values, for example, were EY = 87 ± 12 kPa and GAG = 1.9 ± 0.8%ww for the osteochondral group compared to EY = 642 ± 97 and GAG = 4.6 ± 1.4%ww for the Gel group. Collagen values were not significantly different between the two groups. DNA quantification (not shown) indicated a 30% increase in cell number over the culture period with no significant differences between the two groups.

Live/dead staining revealed the presence of vital cells (98% live and 2% dead) in all three regions of the osteochondral constructs, including the bone-only region where no cells were initially seeded (not shown). These cells most likely migrated from the periphery of the agarose region where they appeared to have attached to the underlying bony substrate and adopted an elongated morphology. Immunohistological staining indicated the continued deposition of type II collagen in all three regions (Fig. 3), suggesting that the chondrocyte phenotype was maintained, even with the change in morphology.

![Fig. 3. Type II collagen deposition (green) in upper gel region (A), interface region (B) and by chondrocytes migrating to the lower bone region (C). Red indicates bone. Chondrocytes (grey) maintain phenotype in all three regions. Scale bar = 1 mm. Magnification = 40x.](image-url)
The presence of separate bone plugs in the co-culture experiments (Co-culture(bone)) resulted in significantly lower $E_Y$ and GAG by day 42 than the Gel groups and no statistical differences from the osteochondral group (Fig. 2). The demineralization of the bone Co-culture(demin) did not ameliorate these effects, yielding no statistical differences in $E_Y$, GAG, or collagen from the osteochondral groups and yielding statistically lower $E_Y$ and GAG than the Gel group (Fig. 2).

Constructs cultured without TGF-β3 (No TGF) resulted in significantly lower $E_Y$ and GAG when compared to Gel groups, but higher $E_Y$ than the osteochondral group (Fig. 2). Histological staining indicated a well-distributed extracellular network in all groups (not shown). Von Kossa staining was negative for calcium accumulation in agarose constructs and, therefore, did not indicate an osteogenic phenotype (not shown).

3.2. Study 2: delaying the introduction of bone to allow for extracellular development

The addition of bone to the culture medium resulted in lower $E_Y$ values regardless of whether the bone was added later in culture (Fig. 4). The introduction of bone on day 14 resulted in an $E_Y$ that was 15% of the Gel group by day 28 and 25% of Gel by day 42. Likewise introduction of bone on day 28 resulted in 58% of the $E_Y$ of Gel group by day 42. GAG values were similarly lower between the two bone groups and the Gel group, with the exception of the day 42 Bone Introduced on day 28 group vs. Gel. There were likewise no significant differences in collagen values between any of the groups.

3.3. Study 3: generating osteochondral constructs using a porous tantalum base substrate

By day 42, osteochondral groups formed with bone substrate (OC(Bone)) developed significantly lower $E_Y$ and GAG than Gel groups, as observed in the previous two studies (Fig. 5). Osteochondral constructs formed using a porous tantalum base, on the other hand, were not adversely affected by the scaffold and developed an $E_Y$ of $730 \pm 65$ kPa; a value within the range of native cartilage (500–1500 kPa) [16]. Gross morphology indicated a robust, cartilage-like chondral layer by day 42 in OC(tantalum) specimens. The chondral region in OC(bone) specimens appeared in some cases to have developed a gradient of extracellular deposition, becoming whiter and denser farther from the bony substrate. Unfortunately, there was some shrinkage in the histological preparation of the specimens of Study 3, nevertheless staining clearly indicated rich accumulation of proteoglycans in both the Gel and the OC(tantalum) groups, with less intense staining in OC(bone) groups. Shear testing (Fig. 6) showed that the integration strength of the chondral region to the tantalum base was greater than 200% that of the OC(bone) groups whether it was expressed as peak load, stiffness, or Energy to Failure (only peak load shown). By comparison OC(tantalum) groups developed 28% of the Energy to Failure observed in native osteochondral specimens (Fig. 6). All shear failure occurred along the interface between the gel region and bony substrate region of the osteochondral constructs.

4. Discussion

Taken together these results demonstrate that devitalized trabecular bone has an inhibitory effect on in vitro chondral tissue development when used as a base material for the tissue-engineering of osteochondral constructs for cartilage repair. There is no established level of mechanical competency that must be achieved to assure construct survival within the joint. Orthopedic surgeons have developed empirical guidelines for the transplantation of allogenic or autologous cartilage grafts with properties similar to the cartilage surrounding the defect, but there are no guidelines regarding the implantation of engineered cartilage tissues that have material properties less than native cartilage. Success using these tissues will likely depend on parameters such as the construct stiffness, defect size, and anatomic location, which together will determine the stress and strain on the implant. It is important to develop tissue-engineering protocols that result in stiffer, more functional tissues, as this will likely broaden their range of clinical applicability, possibly to include the tissue-engineering of total joint replacements (biological arthroplasty) [7,37,38]. Additionally, implantation of functional tissues with more mature elaborated matrix may better resist inflammatory cytokine-induced tissue degradation [16].

Our previous work has optimized culture conditions for growing engineered cartilage with material properties similar to native cartilage in less than 8 weeks [18]. For these chondral-only engineered tissues to be clinically relevant, however, they must be integrated with an underlying bony substrate that provides graft fixation upon implantation in a manner similar to native osteochondral grafts. As several weeks of culture are necessary for development of functional tissue properties, the scaffolds used in the construct design must foster tissue elaboration in long-term culture. In this context, devitalized trabecular bone initially seemed
to be a promising choice for the engineering of osteochondral constructs [37,39–41]. We had anticipated few problems in its use as vital and devitalized bone are used clinically as a scaffold for bone repair [42–44]. Our findings showing the apparent inhibitory effect of bone on chondral development (Study 1) were, therefore, unexpected.

When faced with the results of Study 1, we initially hypothesized that the observed detrimental effects were a result of the methods in which we had cleaned and sterilized the freshly harvested bony substrates. This was not the case, however, as we tried two additional cleaning methods, including the use of industrially prepared bone tissue. None of these techniques led to significant improvements in the properties measured.

We had also speculated that the differences in the mechanical properties were not inherent to the tissues themselves, but an artifact of having porous substrate present during mechanical testing. This could be possible if, for example, compressive tests led to permanent deformation of the hydrogel into the porous bony substrate, or if small variations in the parallelism of the bony surfaces propagated into larger errors in the mechanical testing results. To account for this we tested constructs both on and off their bony substrates, but removing the bone prior to testing did not affect the results.

Thus the most likely remaining mechanisms in which bone was adversely affecting tissue development were as follows: (1) minerals and/or chemical factors associated with bone were leeching into the growth medium, (2) nutrient transport was limited by the presence of bone, or (3) TGF-β3 was being sequestered away in the bone matrix and was, therefore, unavailable to cells. The results of our co-culture experiment in Study 2 clearly indicated that excessive mineral content, or limitations on nutrients, or sequestering away of TGF-β3, were not the main factors suppressing construct development. Cartilage constructs co-cultured with devitalized bone matrix showed a decrease of

---

**Fig. 5.** Left: Osteochondral constructs with porous tantalum metal as a substrate (OC(tantalum)) compared to a trabecular bone substrate (OC(bone)) or no substrate(Gel). *p < 0.05 vs. previous time point. †p < 0.05 vs. Gel. ‡p < 0.05 OC(bone) vs. OC(tantalum). n = 12 per group. Right: Images of developing osteochondral constructs showing more robust growth for tantalum substrate over bone, OC(bone) on day 14 (B14) and day 42 (B42), OC(tantalum) on day 14 (T14) and day 42 (T42). Histological staining for GAG (alcian blue staining, dark purple) on day 42 for Gel, OC(tantalum), and OC(bone). Deeper intensity of purple indicates the presence of more GAG. Some shrinkage occurred in processing. Scale bar = 1 mm.
mechanical properties and GAG levels. Similarly, constructs cultured in medium that had been preconditioned with bone (data not shown) also yielded significantly lower $E_Y$ than the Gel group. Collectively, our findings suggest that soluble chemical mediators were inhibiting the observed chondral tissue development. We speculate that osteoinductive factors released by bone may contribute to suppression of the chondrogenic phenotype [19]. Bone matrix is known to contain intrinsic cytokines and growth factors [45] that have a wide and largely unknown range of effects on cell development. The type and concentration of these factors vary even between the bones of the same animal [45,46]. Ongoing studies are aimed at elucidating the specific chemical factor(s).

In light of our observations of tissue maturation-independent deleterious effects of long-term culture with bone, one approach would be to cultivate the gel phase separately until maturation and then integrating this functional engineered chondral tissue to the bone with some fixation method such as sutures, glues or solders [9,47,48] immediately prior to implantation. This approach has the advantage of allowing culture conditions to be optimized for each phase of the osteochondral construct, but precludes any cell-mediated development of an interface between the two halves prior to implantation. However, the results of the current study do not support this approach as the addition of bone at later time points in culture resulted in poorer mechanical and biochemical properties when compared to bone-free controls.

The generation of osteochondral constructs was most successful when bone was substituted with a non-biological alternative. Using porous tantalum metal we were able to achieve native Young's modulus values and GAG and collagen content similar to chondral-only constructs. The integration strength (between the layers) of tantalum/agarose scaffolds was on par with, or exceeded, values reported in the literature [29,49,50], but remained below native levels [30,51]. Improvements on the integration strength are likely to be required for tissue-engineered constructs to be successful in vivo.

In the context of creating functional engineered, osteochondral grafts with chondral regions that possess mechanical properties similar to native tissue and that are integrated to the underlying bony base via cell-elaborated matrix, porous tantalum metal appears to be a suitable bone substitute. Tantalum metal was chosen as a non-biological substrate control because of its current clinical application in orthopedic implants and its promise as an osteochondral scaffold for tissue-engineering [23–25]. An additional benefit of a tantalum base may be realized upon implantation since it may reduce direct contact with the underlying marrow and blood supply and, therefore, lessen the inflammatory effects associated with allogenic cells [19,52,53]. Tantalum metal has also been shown to be osteo- and chondroinductive [21–26] and may, therefore, promote integration between the two graft halves in culture as well as development of the subchondral plate after implantation. Agarose hydrogel has been used extensively in cartilage biology and in tissue-engineering efforts for maintaining long-term chondrocyte suspension cultures [54–57]. It is currently being explored as a scaffold component of a next generation autologous cartilage implantation (ACI) procedure called Cartipatch [58] where it is currently being tested in phase III clinical trials [59]. In this context, our findings support the use of a biohybrid osteochondral graft design comprised of a cell-seeded hydrogel and underlying tantalum metal substrate for promoting functional development of tissue-engineered osteochondral constructs in long-term culture. If an appropriate human cell source is identified (e.g., allogenic or autologous chondrocytes, stem cells, etc.), we anticipate the possibility for immediate clinical application of the agarose-tantalum biohybrid system.

### 5. Conclusions

In the current study, devitalized trabecular bone had an inhibitory effect on in vitro chondral tissue development when used as a base material for the tissue-engineering of osteochondral constructs for cartilage repair. Changes in the method of bone preparation or the timing of its introduction did not ameliorate the effects. In contrast, osteochondral constructs with native cartilage properties were achieved when a porous tantalum metal base material was adopted instead of bone. This work suggests that devitalized bone may not be a suitable substrate for long-term cultivation of osteochondral grafts.
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