1. INTRODUCTION

Transferring a patient is a basic functional assistance for individuals with moderate to maximum disability [1-4]. The one who performs the transfer includes the health professionals, and the attendant caregivers [5-7]. The health professionals, especially nurses and physical therapists, have been identified as the high risk group with low-back injury [8-9]. One of the possible reasons for low-back injury is suggested as the high physiological work demand during transfer [10-11]. The workload on heart with continuous heart rate (HR) monitor in nurses demonstrated that the HR during solo transfer was $129 \pm 10.9$ beats/min, and the rate of perceived exertion was $15 \pm 3.2$ which indicated heavy workload [11]. However, there is lack of quantitative analysis of workload on therapists during
transferring the disabled patients at different heights.

Transferring patients can be performed by manual techniques and with different mechanical aids [7]. The mechanical aids, such as: Hoyer lift, and Trans-aid were not perceived by nurses and patients to be comfortable and less physical stressful [7]. Therefore, manual transfer is still the major one for delivering the patient. Transfer is a brief, heavy workload [10] and it took about 20 seconds to transfer patients from wheelchair to shower chair [7]. Therefore, it is difficult to measure the steady-state oxygen consumption (i.e., > 3 min) as the intensity of workload. The heart rate measurement would be used in this study to calculate the workload [11-12]. Furthermore, the physical therapists and physical therapy students were recruited as the one who performed the patient transferring task.

From the usage survey of ambulatory assistive devices in long-term care facilities in Taiwan, the height of regular wheelchair is 50.2 ± 10.2 cm (n=200), the bed is 51.2 ± 10.6 cm (n=213) and the regular wheelchair is 49.4 ± 10.9 cm (n=192) [13]. If the bed mattress or seat cushion is added, the total height of bed or wheelchair would be around 60 cm. Therefore, the uneven transfer is common for patient care. We have designed a new wheelchair with adjusted height (ROC patent number 181375). The research hypotheses of this study included: (1) uneven transfer would increase the heart rate and workload, and (2) the increase of the heart rate and workload could be overcome by adjusting height of wheelchair or bed.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Subjects
Eleven healthy adults who performed the patient transfer included six physical therapists (PT) with one to seven years of working experience, and five physical therapy students (PTS) with clinical training and experience of patient transfer. In order to keep the workload quite constant, all the therapists transferred the same patient and the study finished within 2 weeks. According to the classification of American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) [14], the patient who was transferred by the therapists was a thoracic cord motor complete injured male with neurological level at T12, and the ASIA impairment scale was B (complete motor loss below the level of injury with sensory preservation). He was 21 years old with traffic accident happened 3 months ago. His body weight was 52 kg and height was 170cm. During the time of study, he could perform the transfer by himself with minimum assistance. However, the patient was instructed not to use his feet on the floor to aide the transfer, and had to be a passive patient. The consent form was obtained and this study has been proved by the Institutional Review Committee of the National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

Set-up and Protocol
The adjustable wheelchair with maximum elevation of 18 cm height and maximal lateral-shift of 12 cm distance (Fig. 1A and B) was designed by our research group and was made in Taipei, Taiwan. The newly designed adjustable wheelchair was put along the side of the bed with adjustable height, and the approaching angle was 30 degree. The patient sat on the wheelchair, and the therapist stood in front of the patient with his/her hands holding patient’s waist and belt. All the therapists transferred the same patient twice for each of the following protocols in a random order: (1) Low-even transfer: the wheelchair was at the same height as the bed (i.e., 58 cm height from floor), (2) Uneven transfer: the bed was 8 higher than the wheelchair, (3) High-even transfer: the wheelchair was elevated and lateral shifted to match the raised bed (i.e., 66 cm height from floor).

Heart Rate Measurement and Relative Workload
The heart rate was measured by a telemetry system (WEP-4204J, Nihon Kohden Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The surface EKG electrodes were put on the right 2nd intercostal space and the left 5th intercostal space. The resting heart rate (HR rest ) was measured before the trial and about 10 minutes rest was monitored between each trial. The peak heart rate (HR peak ) was recorded for each trial. The relative workload was calculated by the formula of Rodah (1989) as (HR peak - HR rest )/(HR max - HR rest )×100%.

Fig 1. A newly designed wheelchair with elevation (A) and lateral shift (B) functions.
The maximum HR (HR$_{\text{max}}$) was determined from 220 minus age [12].

**Data Analysis**

All the data was stored in SPSS 11.0 for Window. The mean and standard error (SE) was calculated by the descriptive statistics. The mean HR$_{\text{peak}}$ was calculated as the mean of the two trials. The comparison of workload among three conditions was analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc analysis. The statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$.

3. **RESULT**

The mean age of the 11 therapists (including PTS) was 24.4$\pm$1.1 years old, and the mean body weight and height were 62.7$\pm$3.5kg, and 166.4$\pm$2.4cm, respectively (Table 1). The age of PTS was significantly younger than PT (Table 1).

The mean resting HR is 83.9$\pm$3.1 beats/min, and the peak HR during even or uneven transfer was significantly ($p<0.05$) greater than that at rest. However, there was no significant difference of HR$_{\text{peak}}$ among low-even (115.3$\pm$4.6 beats/min), uneven (130.6$\pm$5.3 beats/min) and high-even (121.9$\pm$5.5 beats/min) transfer (Fig. 2).

In terms of relative workload, the uneven transfer (42.1$\pm$3.9 %) significantly ($p=0.045$) increases the workload more than that during low-even transfer (28.4$\pm$2.9 %), and the increase is abolished during high-even transfer (34.0$\pm$4.2 %) with elevated, lateral-shift wheelchair (Fig.3).

4. **DISCUSSION**

This study indicated that the even and uneven transfer significantly increased the heart rate as comparing with the resting state (HR$_{\text{rest}}$=83.9$\pm$4.6 beats/min). Therefore, transferring a patient is a demanding task. The mean heart rate responses during low-even and uneven transfer in this study were 115.3$\pm$4.6 and 130.6$\pm$5.3 beats/min, which were close to the report from Hui-Ling et al. whom measured the heart rate responses of nurses doing the transferring task in the geriatric ward (i.e., 129$\pm$10.9 beats/min) [11]. It seemed that the HR response did not differ much between nurses and physical therapists, although we did not measure the transferring heart rate responses both in nurses and physical therapists.

The workload can be measured from oxygen consumption [15], heart rate [12], and systematic blood pressure [16]. The transient work (i.e., few seconds) during transfer is difficult to obtain from the
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**Table 1.** Demographic data of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Age (Y.O.)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Weight (Kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.6*</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>163.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>169.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mean</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>166.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTS: physical therapy student
PT: physical therapist
M: male
F: female
* : $p<0.05$, if PTS v.s PT
measurement of oxygen consumption and blood pressure. The measurement of recovery heart rate responses has been proposed to calculate the workload of static combined with dynamic task [17]. However, it was difficult to measure the recovery heart rate if the therapists had to readjust her posture after transfer. The recovery heart rate was not measured in this study. Instead, the relative heart rate increase during transfer was considered as a reliable indicator of workload [12]. The relative workload in this study is calculated from the formula of Rodahl (1989), and it is related to heart rate reserve (HRmax-HRrest) [12].

This study indicated that when transferred a subject from low to high level (i.e., uneven transfer) significantly increased the relative heart rate as comparing with low-level transfer, but the peak heart rate did not increase significantly. Therefore, the uneven transfer increased the relative load on heart, not the absolute heart rate response; in order to lift the patient against gravity. The result supported part of our hypothesis that the uneven transfer from low to high level increased the relative physical demand of the heart. As shown in Figure 3, this study also supported that the relative work demand on heart during uneven transfer could be overcome by the elevated and lateral-shift wheelchair (i.e., high-even transfer).

The workload difference during even and uneven transfer might be explained by the differences caused by the gravity effect and the use of body mechanics. During uneven transfer, therapist would have to lift the patient up, but the therapist had to pull the patient laterally by upper extremity and could not rely on the lower extremity pivoting force. Therefore, the workload was reduced, but the peak heart rate response did not significantly different from that during uneven transfer.

In spite of the height of transfer, the possible factors influencing the transfer included skill, sex, anthropometry, and workplace (Ryden 1989, Garg 1991). If compared the peak heart rate responses between experienced physical therapists and non-experienced students, there was no significant difference found. The limitation of this study was that we did not control the sex and anthropometry of the therapists. However, the strength of this study is that the workplace and the subject being transferred were controlled. Further studies would be suggested to investigate the factors influencing the transfer.

The major conclusion of this study is that the workload during uneven transfer would be increased and the adjustable seat height would reduce the workload. However, the strain on the low back would require further investigation.
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