Sulfate ions in sodium chloride solutions effectively enhance the capacitance of aluminum foils etched with direct current. This study explored the effects of sulfate ions on pitting behavior of aluminum foils for low-voltage electrolytic capacitor during etching with alternating current. Experimental results indicate that the presence of sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid reduced the loss of aluminum due to underlining by the cubic pits. Furthermore, sulfate ions adsorbed on the aluminum surface increased the breakdown potential of the surface film and led to passivation of the existing pits. Consequently, the aluminum foil etched in the solution with the addition of sulfate ions displayed a more uniform pitted structure than that etched in the solution solely composed of hydrochloric acid.
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Experimental

AC etching.— The material used for this study is the commercial aluminum foil (99.985 wt. % purity) for low-voltage electrolytic capacitors. Prior to etching, the foil was cleaned in a solution composed of 20 mL L⁻¹ nitric acid and 20 g L⁻¹ sodium sulfate at 75 °C for 2.5 min and then thoroughly rinsed in deionized water. AC etching was carried out in 0.8 M hydrochloric acid without and with addition of 0.005 or 0.02 M sodium sulfate at 35 °C using 25 Hz triangular waveforms with a peak current density of 38 A dm⁻². The exposure area of the foil was 2 × 6 cm, and a high-density graphite plate of 120 × 38 cm was used as the counter electrode. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was employed as the reference electrode. After etching, the foil was rinsed in deionized water and dried in room temperature air overnight. Some of the foils were immersed in a mixture of 0.2 M chromic and 0.55 M phosphoric acid to remove the etch products formed during etching. The mass difference of the foil before etching, and after removal of the etch product was defined as the weight loss of the foil during etching, and was reported as an average of three specimens separately etched in the same condition.

Current vs potential curves.— During the course of etching, the potential with reference to SCE and the current were recorded synchronously. The galvanodynamic polarization curve was then plotted, in which the breakdown potential was measured. That is, during the anodic half-cycle, the potential went through a maximum while the current kept increasing. This peak potential represented the breakdown potential associated with the surface film on the foil.

Microstructural characterization.— After removal of the etch products, the surface morphology of the foil was investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Cross-sectional SEM specimens were prepared from the as-etched foil for characterizing the overall pitted structure. Cross-sectional transmission electron microscope (TEM) specimens were also prepared from the as-etched foil to reveal the detailed pit morphology and etch film structure. Additionally, the composition of the etch film was measured using energy-dispersive spectrometry (EDS) in TEM using an electron probe 10 nm in diameter, and the structure of the etch film was identified using the electron diffraction technique. Finally, X-ray photoelectron spectra of the surface film on the as-etched foil were measured using an ESCA250 (VG Science Inc., U.K.) spectrometer with monochromatic Mg Kα radiation. The pressure in the spectrometer was approximately 10⁻⁶ Torr during the measurements. Binding energy of the peak was referenced to the C 1s peak at 285 eV.

Results

Surface morphology and cross-sectional characterizations.— Figure 1 shows the surface morphology of the foil after etching in the various solutions for 100, 500, and 1500 cycles, respectively. The foil was dotted with numerous pits after 100 cycles of etching. Up to this stage of etching, no distinct difference was observed for the specimens etched in the solutions with and without sulfate ions (Fig. 1a-c). As etching proceeded to 500 cycles, most of the pits had grown to a larger size by the merge of several individual
pits while some areas of the foil remained relatively flat, on which scattered fine pits were observed (see the arrow in Fig. 1d). Compared with the other two samples, the foil etched in the solution with 0.02 M sulfate ions contained smaller pits and fewer flat areas. This difference continued up to the maximum number of cycles studied, i.e., 1500 cycles when the foil was uniformly and almost completely attacked in the solution with 0.02 M sulfate ions, as shown in Fig. 1i.

Figure 2 shows the cross-sectional TEM micrographs of a foil after etching in 0.8 M hydrochloric acid for 500 cycles. Cross-sectionally observed in TEM, the foil without etching exhibited a laminated structure in which the aluminum grains had been deformed and elongated along the rolling direction and contained high-density dislocations and subgrains, a characteristic of the hard aluminum foil for low-voltage electrolytic capacitors (Fig. 2a). Figure 2b shows the overall pitted structure of the foil. Figure 2c further reveals that the cubic pit was the basic building element of the pitted structure, in good consistence with those observed on aluminum etched in hydrochloric acid with the ac current.2,3,6,22-24 The merge of the fine cubic pits resulted in two overall pitted morphologies: V-shaped and U-shaped relatively large pitted structure, as depicted by the solid lines in Fig. 2b. Furthermore, the unattacked aluminum (marked by the arrow in Fig. 2b) was frequently observed on the surface of the foil, and would peel from the foil when further undermining of the cubic pits occurred with continued etching. Figure 2c shows that the unattacked aluminum overhanging on the mouth of the relatively large pit was generally encompassed by strings of cubic pits (the arrow in Fig. 2c). The complete coalescence of these strings would eventually cause the loss of the unattacked aluminum.

Figure 3a shows that the foil etched in hydrochloric acid with addition of 0.02 M sulfate ions also contained cubic pits, which, once coalesced, resulted in either the V-shaped structure or the U-shaped structure. Compared with the foil etched in 0.8 M hydrochloric acid, the presence of sulfate ions in the solution suppressed the formation of strings of cubic pits, thus promoting the formation of U-shaped structure. The U-shaped structure contained less unattacked aluminum than the V-shaped structure. Figure 3b is a close-up view of the area inside a U-shaped structure. It is shown that the walls of the cubit pit were covered with etch products (marked by the arrow). Furthermore, these etch products were amorphous, as evidenced by the inset nanobeam electron diffraction pattern in Fig. 3a. Because the etch product was amorphous, it was easier to differentiate the boundary between the etch product and aluminum by tilting the specimen so that the aluminum substrate underwent strong diffraction relative to the electron beam. Under this condition, some aluminum grains exhibited a relatively dark contrast (marked by “3” in Fig. 3b) and two types of the etch products were observed: the porous overlay and the layer directly contacting aluminum, which are marked as “1” and “2” in Fig. 3b, respectively. Figure 3c shows that the EDS spectrum from the porous overlay contained aluminum, oxygen, and traces of sulfur and chloride species. Sulfate and chloride anions were apparently adsorbed and incorporated into
the porous layer during etching. Aluminum etched in hydrochloric or nitric acids using the ac current is generally covered with etch films that are composed of hydrated aluminum oxide.1,2,22-24 The porous overlay observed in the present study is thus presumably aluminum hydroxide. The spectrum taken from the layer directly contacting aluminum also contained aluminum and oxygen; however, the sulfur and chloride signals were below the detection limit of EDS as shown in Fig. 3d. A clear and continuous boundary between this layer and aluminum, as indicated by the double arrow, can also be seen in Fig. 3b. Therefore, the layer directly contacting the substrate is likely a result of anodization of aluminum in the solution during the anodic half-cycle,7 while the porous aluminum hydroxide resulted from Al(OH)₄⁻ colloid due to pH rise during the cathodic half-cycle.1,2,22-24

To statistically compare the effect of sulfate ions on the population density of V- and U-shaped pitted structure, and on the average depth of the pitted structure, cross-sectional SEM micrographs were taken at a magnification of 2500. Like cross-sectional TEM characterization, V- and U-shaped structure were also observed on cross-sectional SEM specimens, as marked by “V” and “U” in Fig. 4a, respectively. The linear density of V- and U-shaped structure and the average depth of these structures were then measured on ten SEM micrographs taken randomly on each specimen. These measurements show that the linear density of the U-shaped structure increased, while that of the V-shaped structure decreased as sulfate ions were added to the solution (Fig. 5a). Moreover, both the V- to U-shaped structure ratio and the average depth decreased with increasing solution sulfate ion concentration (Fig. 5b).

Weight loss.—Figure 6 shows the weight loss as a function of the number of ac cycles. The presence of sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid reduced the weight loss of the foil. This reduction became more pronounced as etching continued. The difference in the weight loss of the foil etched in the solution with and without sulfate ions is partly due to the loss of the unattacked aluminum, because undermining of aluminum by strings of cubic pits became more severe with continued etching. The weight loss increased slightly as the concentration of sulfate ions was increased from 0.005 to 0.02 M. This increase is probably due to the enhancement of the growth of the pits and the formation of tunnels from the pits.14

Galvanodynamic polarization curves.—Figure 7a-c shows the galvanodynamic polarization curves of the foils etched in the various solutions at 100, 500, and 1500 cycles, respectively. An obvious breakdown potential \( E_b \) in Fig. 7a was noted in 0.8 M hydrochloric acid without sulfate ions, after which the potential decreased while the current increased as etching continued. In contrast, in the presence of sulfate ions a rather constant potential was observed after the breakdown of the etch film. This indicates that some of the newly nucleated pits formed upon the breakdown of the film were passivated as the anodic half-cycle continued. This passivation can be due to adsorption of sulfate ions or repair of the etch film by sulfate ions.18 Figure 7 also shows that repassivation of the pit depends on the concentration of sulfate ions in the solution. After 500 cycles of etching, the galvanodynamic polarization curve measured in the solution with 0.005 M sulfate ions resembled that in the

Figure 3. Cross-sectional TEM characterization of the foil after 500 cycles of etching in 0.8 M hydrochloric acid with 0.02 M sodium sulfate: (a) the overall pitted structure; (b) a close-up view of the pitted structure and etch film; and (c) and (d) the EDS spectra taken from the area marked as 1 and 2 in (b). Inset in (a) is the nanobeam electron diffraction pattern taken from the porous layer with an electron probe 25 nm in diameter.

Figure 4. Cross-sectional SEM micrographs of the foil after 500 cycles of etching in 0.8 M hydrochloric acid (a) without; and (b) and (c) with addition of 0.005 and 0.02 M sodium sulfate, respectively.
solution without sulfate ions, on which the potential decreased while the current increased after the breakdown potential had been reached (Fig. 7b). On the contrary, in the solution with 0.02 M sulfate ions the potential above the breakdown potential was still a constant and a maximum anodic potential response in phase with the current still existed after 1500 cycles of etching (Fig. 7c).

Figure 5. Effect of sulfate ions on (a) the linear density of V-shaped and U-shaped structure, and (b) the average depth of the pitted structure and the ratio of V- to U-shaped structure.

Figure 6. Weight loss of the foil as a function of the number of etching cycles.

Figure 7. Galvanodynamic polarization curves of the foil after etching in the various solutions at (a) 100; (b) 500; and (c) 1500 cycles, respectively.

Figure 8 shows the dependence of the breakdown potential on the number of etching cycles. The breakdown potential generally increased with continued etching regardless of the solutions, and would gradually reach a constant value. Furthermore, the breakdown
potential notably increased with the concentration of sulfate ions regardless of the number of etching cycles. This dependence agrees well with those reported.5,7

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).— General survey XPS spectra identified aluminum and oxygen species as the main constituents of the surface film (not shown here). High-resolution scans of XPS further revealed the presence of sulfur and chlorine species on the surface film, as shown in Fig. 9a and b. Furthermore, the S 2p and Cl 2p binding energy appeared at approximately 169.4 and 198.5 eV, respectively, indicating the presence of sulfate and chloride.25 Figure 9 also shows that the amount of sulfate in the surface film increased while that of chloride decreased when more sulfate ions were added to the solution.

Discussion

Effects of sulfate ions.— Commercial aluminum foils for low-voltage electrolytic capacitor are generally fabricated by ac etching. After proper etching, the foil was composed of a pitted structure on both sides and an unetched aluminum core in the center, while retaining its original thickness before etching.5,7 To achieve this ideal structure, the loss of unetched aluminum due to undermining by the pits must be suppressed to the least extent. The solution containing hydrochloric acid as the sole constituent is apparently not suitable for etching aluminum to have enough pitted depth and effective thickness before etching.26 The loss of unattacked aluminum is a result of the merge of strings of cubic pits, and the concurrent passivation of etch pits. Besides, the film characteristic of thin anodic film (Fig. 2) directly contacting aluminum suggests that sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid can suppress undermining of aluminum by inhibiting the formation of strings of cubic pits (Fig. 3).

The presence of sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid results in a higher breakdown potential (Fig. 8) and passivation of the newly formed pits as an indicator of the constant potential when the breakdown potential is reached (Fig. 7). Sulfate ions adsorbed on the foil surface (Fig. 9) must play an important role in the nucleation and subsequent passivation of etch pits. Besides, the film characteristic of anodic half-cycle, new pits form locally on the aluminum surface or inside the preexisting pits that have been passivated by the etch film. In the presence of chloride ions, new pits inside the preexisting pit nucleate on the weak spots of the etch film,1,2 that are attacked by chloride ions with the assistance of positive potential. As passivation of the preexisting pits is promoted by the adsorbed sulfate ions, probably due to competitive adsorption of sulfate ions with chloride ions and to the formation of aluminum sulfate or anodized film, the aluminum surface can be attacked to a larger extent in the solution with sulfate ions than in that without sulfate ions. As a result, the foil etched in hydrochloric acid with sulfate ions contained less relatively flat areas than that etched in the solution without sulfate ions, signifying a more uniformly etched surface in the solution with sulfate ions (Fig. 1).

Pit nucleation and propagation.— Aluminum etched in chloride-containing solutions with the dc or ac current contains cubic pits characteristic of the attack on [100] crystallographic planes.2,22,24,27-30 The cubic pit formed during dc etching propagates along the tip of the pit while leaving the four sidewalls passive, thus resulting in tunnel pit. Pulsed reductions of the anodic etching current of several milliseconds duration bring about passivation of the active pit tip, while tunnel growth continues after the resumption of the current.28 Variation in current results in a change in the active area of the pit tip,25 but hardly deviates the tunnel from its straight
axis.\textsuperscript{30} Unlike dc etching, ac etching involves cathodic half-cycles, during which the sidewalls and base of the cubic pit can be passivated by the etch film.

Consequently, tunnel pits are hardly observed during ac etching. Instead, new pits nucleate randomly inside the preexisting pit during the subsequent anodic half-cycle,\textsuperscript{30} thus leading to the formation of high-density cubic pits (see Fig. 10 in Ref. 2). Nevertheless, the base of the pit, if not completely passivated by the etch film, can be the preferential site to be attacked during the anodic half-cycle because that current step or ramp of the dc current hardly causes deviation of the tunnel from its straight axis.\textsuperscript{30} Consequently, strings of cubic pits are frequently observed (see Fig. 3 in Ref. 3, also Fig. 2 in the present study).

Undermining of aluminum by strings of cubic pits formed in hydrochloric acid without sulfate ions promotes the formation of V-shaped structure, and even worse, results in the loss of unattacked aluminum. Adsorbed on the sidewalls and base of the cubic pit, sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid can enhance passivation of the pit. This reduces the difference in the stability between the sidewalls and base of the cubic pit and hence equalizes, to some extent, the opportunity for the nucleation of new pits on sidewalls and base of the existing pit. Consequently, most of the pits grow laterally and inward, which, in turn, favors the formation of U-shaped pitted structure (Fig. 5). This significantly reduces the risk of the loss of aluminum due to undermining of strings of cubic pits.

As the pits grow with continued etching, the solution inside the existing pits will be depleted of protons but enriched with aluminum ions, because the former is reduced during the cathodic half-cycle while the latter is created during the anodic half-cycle. As a result hydrated alumina, aluminum chloride, and sulfate precipitate more readily inside the existing occluded pit, giving a rise in the breakdown potential (Fig. 8).

Conclusions

The effects of sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid on pitting behavior of aluminum foil during ac etching have been investigated. Results in this study can be summarized as follows:

1. After ac etching in hydrochloric acid with and without sulfate ions, the surface of aluminum contained pitted areas and relatively flat areas. The fraction of the relatively flat areas decreased with increasing concentration of sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid.

2. Cubic pits were the building element of the pitted structure on aluminum etched with the ac current in hydrochloric acid with and without sulfate ions.

3. Two overall pitted morphologies resulting from the merge of the individual cubic pits were observed: V-shaped and U-shaped pitted structures. Adding sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid increased the ratio of U-shaped to V-shaped structures.

4. Undermining of aluminum by strings of cubic pits was more frequently observed in the solution without sulfate ions. The reduction in the weight loss by the presence of sulfate ions seems to be due to the suppression of the formation of strings of cubic pits via adsorption of sulfate ions on the sidewalls and base of the cubic pit.

5. The presence of sulfate ions in hydrochloric acid increased the breakdown potential and also promoted repassivation of the pits. Moreover, the breakdown potential increased with continued etching because the occluded pits were gradually enriched with aluminum ions, but depleted of protons.
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