Development of high-performance solar LED lighting system

B.J. Huang*, M.S. Wu, P.C. Hsu, J.W. Chen, K.Y. Chen

New Energy Center, Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Available online 28 December 2009

Keywords:
Stand-alone solar system
Off-grid solar system
Solar-powered lighting
LED lighting
Solar LED lighting

A B S T R A C T

The present study developed a high-performance charge/discharge controller for stand-alone solar LED lighting system by incorporating an nMPPO system design, a PWM battery charge control, and a PWM battery discharge control to directly drive the LED. The MPPT controller can then be removed from the stand-alone solar system and the charged capacity of the battery increases 9.7%. For LED driven by PWM current directly from battery, a reliability test for the light decay of LED lamps was performed continuously for 13,200 h. It has shown that the light decay of PWM-driven LED is the same as that of constant-current driven LED. The switching energy loss of the MOSFET in the PWM battery discharge control is less than 1%. Three solar-powered LED lighting systems (18 W, 100 W and 150 W LED) were designed and built. The long-term outdoor field test results have shown that the system performance is satisfactory with the control system developed in the present study. The loss of load probability for the 18 W solar LED system is 14.1% in winter and zero in summer. For the 100 W solar LED system, the loss of load probability is 3.6% in spring.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Stand-alone-solar-powered system is widely used in remote areas where the grid power cannot reach. Therefore, durability and reliability are the two key issues. The system has to be designed with a good matching between the installed capacity of solar photovoltaic module and battery capacity, according to a specific energy load in order to obtain a proper loss of load probability (LLP) in long-term performance [1]. A good charge/discharge control technique is thus needed.

For lighting application using light-emitting diode (LED), the load is employed at night which is not in phase with power generation at daytime. To assure good performance, three important factors have to be considered: (1) high efficiency in photovoltaic (PV) power generation; (2) good battery charge control to charge the battery in full capacity to provide enough energy storage and protect the battery from overcharge; (3) good battery discharge control for lighting without damaging the LED and provide a sufficient illumination at night.

In the present study, we adopt a near-maximum-power-point-operation (nMPPO) design of photovoltaic power generation system [4] to get rid of a maximum-power-point-tracking controller (MPPT) by properly matching the PV module specification with the battery voltage in design to obtain a similar performance of MPPT. The additional cost, reliability problem, and energy loss of the MPPT is thus avoided.

2. Development of battery charge control system

2.1. Design of nMPPO for PV power generation

A flat-plate PV module with 2X reflective-type concentrator (Fig. 1) was used in the present study. Huang and Sun [6] have shown the low concentration ratio reflector can increase about 23% PV power generation compared to the flat-plate PV.

In grid-connected or stand-alone solar PV power generation system, a maximum-power-point-tracking controller (MPPT) is usually used to track the operating point of the PV module near its maximum-power-point [2,3]. For the stand-alone PV system, the system design matching between the battery, PV module, and the load becomes much more complicated. It usually needs to add a sophisticated energy management system to properly control the operation of the three components during charging and discharging phases according to the load variation to keep the MPPT performance stable.
The use of MPPT increases the system cost and decreases the reliability. Huang et al. [4] developed a near-maximum-power-point-operation (nMPPO) design of photovoltaic power generation system that does not use a MPPT but just properly matches the PV module specification with the battery voltage in design to obtain a similar performance of MPPT.

Fig. 2 shows the power generation of the PV module at ambient temperature 26 ± 3 °C. The area between the two dash lines depicts the operation voltage range of the lead–acid battery which is widely used for solar LED lighting system [5] and it shows that the battery will operate near the MPP of the PV since the PV cell temperature is around 50 °C. Therefore, the system adopts the nMPPO design instead of using the MPPT controller.

2.2. Battery charge control system

The battery charge control of a stand-alone PV system is another important issue. Usually, the battery is charged only at about 80% state-of-charge (SOC) in order to avoid over-charging. The storage capacity as well as the system performance is thus reduced.

In the present study, we use the lead–acid battery as the storage device. The battery storage capacity or lifetime can decrease rapidly due to over-charging. The way to protect battery from overcharge is to reduce the charge current when the battery voltage reaches the overcharge point.

In the present study, a feedback control system has been developed with a PWM technique to reduce the charging current and maintain the battery voltage after overcharge point Vbo as shown in Fig. 3. A metal–oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) is used to switch on/off of the charging current from solar PV by PWM technique. The mean charge current can be reduced by decreasing the duty-cycle Davg. The controller can be implemented in a micro-processor to feedback the battery voltage and generate the PWM signal to trigger the MOSFET. Therefore, the battery voltage can be maintained at the overcharge point by controlling the mean charge current.

2.3. Outdoor battery charge test

An outdoor test was then performed to test the real battery charge operation. An 85 Wp flat-plate PV module (Table 1) with 2X reflective-type concentrator and a YUASA NP 38-12 (38 A h, 12 V) lead–acid battery [11] were used to test the controller performance. Fig. 4 shows the daily outdoor performance test of the charge control system. The sampling interval is at 5 min. The battery voltage setting was 14 V. The test results show that the battery voltage never exceeds 14.1 V (less than the worst-case maximum voltage 14.4 V). This indicates that the control system can protect the battery from overcharge.

After the overcharge point, the charge current is reduced automatically till 100% SOC. The charged energy at battery voltage up to

### Nomenclature

- \( P_V(s) \): dynamics model of the PV
- \( R_B(s) \): dynamics model of the battery
- \( C(s) \): dynamics model of the controller
- \( S_o \): solar radiation intensity, W/m²
- \( I_{PV} \): PV current, A
- \( D_{avg} \): duty-cycle of the PWM in charge control system
- \( e \): control error
- \( V_o \): overcharge voltage of controller, V
- \( V_B \): output voltage of battery, V
- \( V_{OC} \): open-circuit voltage, V
- \( I_{SC} \): short circuit current, A
- \( P_{MAX} \): power at MPP, W
- \( V_{PM} \): voltage at MPP, V
- \( I_{PM} \): current at MPP, A
- \( I_{LED} \): LED current, A
- \( I_F \): current of LM338 output, A
- \( V_I \): voltage of the 0.01 Ω resistor, V
- \( V_a \): voltage of the LM338 output, V
- \( V_{be} \): voltage between 4 Ω resistor and the MOSFET, V
- \( V_{in} \): input voltage, V
- \( I_{peak} \): maximum current, A
- \( P_{in} \): input power, W
- \( P_{out} \): output power, W
- \( D \): duty-cycle in the PWM energy loss test
- \( I_o \): output current of the battery, A
- \( I_{ave} \): average current, A
- \( I_o \): current setting, A
14 V is 59.6 Wh. The present charge control system can keep the battery voltage at 14 V and continue charging 46.6 Wh more, after the overcharge point. In terms of Ah, the nominal charge capacity is 4.4 Ah at battery voltage below 14 V and 3.3 Ah more was charged after 14 V. The battery life time can be influenced by the depth of discharge (DOD) [9]. A 10% reduction in nominal charge capacity is necessary for ensuring the battery life time. Therefore, the improved charge capacity by the battery charging control system according to Fig. 3 can be determined as the increased charge capacity divided by the useful battery capacity which comes up to be 9.7% more charging after the overcharge point.

### 3. Development of discharge control system for LED lighting

A stand-alone solar-powered LED lighting system generates electrical power which is stored in battery and discharged at night to light the LED. The energy is produced and consumed locally. It can save the costs of grid-power transmission, including local transformers, power line material, and transmission energy loss. It has been shown that the stand-alone solar lighting system utilizing LED can save energy with reasonable payback time in remote area [7]. The use of LED as light source has another advantage of DC-powered characteristics. It seems that LED can be directly driven by the battery used in a stand-alone solar PV system. However, there is a problem in driving the LED directly from the battery. The LED can be driven by pulse-width modulation (PWM) technique to maintain an average current below its rated value (e.g., 350 mA). The present study develops such a technology for LED lighting directly driven by battery voltage (see Fig. 6).

#### 3.1. Reliability test of PWM-driven LED

Some research has shown that the LED lamps can take instantaneous high current stress [8–10]. It is still not clear whether LED can be driven by PWM without causing fast light decay. A long-term reliability test for LED lamps was performed to clarify this. A light decay test for LED lamps using constant current and different PWM current driving was carried out. Fig. 7 is the test chamber for light decay test of LED lamps. Twelve LED lamps are soldered on an aluminium PCB to maintain at the same temperature. The LED lamps are soldered in four parallel rows with three lamps of the same kind LED at each row. The chamber inside temperature is controlled at 40 ± 3°C using two 100 W tungsten bulbs and an on/off controller. A photo sensor (S2387) is used to measure the illumination of LED lamps regularly. Besides, a controller was designed using micro-processor to control the on/off of the different circuits connecting LED lamps and measure the output of the photo sensor.

A LED driver is designed to simultaneously supply four different power inputs to four rows of LED lamps:

(a) 350 mA constant current (the rated current) as the baseline of the LED lamps life test.

![Fig. 6. LED driven by PWM technique.](image-url)

![Fig. 7. Test chamber for light decay test of LED lamps.](image-url)
(b) 700 mA, Duty-Cycle = 50%, PWM–Frequency = 100 Hz. The average current is 350 mA and the current stress is two times greater than Case (a).
(c) 700 mA, Duty-Cycle = 50%, PWM–Frequency = 10 kHz. The average current is 350 mA and the current stress is two times of Case (a) but the PWM–Frequency is 100 times greater than (b). This test intends to study the effect of frequency and the pulse stress.
(d) 1050 mA, Duty-Cycle = 33%, PWM–Frequency = 100 Hz. The average current is 350 mA but the current stress is three times of Case (a).

For battery operating at 10.5–13 V, the corresponding duty-cycle of the PWM driver is between 40% and 100% which can be provided by the above PWM driver designs. The tests were performed simultaneously to compare the light decay with different driving currents. The LED luminaire is put in the test chamber kept at 40 ± 3 °C to accelerate the light decay of the LED lamps. The PCB temperature is monitored and used to determine the LED junction temperature. The test has been continuously run for more than 15,800 h. Fig. 8 is the test results. It is seen from Fig. 8 that the temperature is monitored and used to determine the LED junction temperature. The LED luminaire is put in the test chamber kept at 40 ± 3 °C to compare the light decay with different driving methods. The tests were performed using PWM technique will not damage the LED lamps.

3.2. Energy loss of PWM-driven LED

The PWM driver to drive LED directly from the battery uses a MOSFET triggered by a PWM signal from a micro-processor. The DC/DC converter that is usually used in stand-alone system is omitted. However, there is energy loss in MOSFET and it needs to be determined.

We used a digital oscilloscope Tektronix TDS2014B to measure the wave form of the voltage and current across the MOSFET. An ABM 9306 DUAL-TRACKING power supply was used to provide the energy input to MOSFET. A 100 W 4 Ω adjustable resistor was select as the load to simulate a 100 W LED luminaire. A 0.01 Ω resistor is connected in series to the 100 W 4 Ω resistor to measure the instantaneous current by the Ohm’s law (Fig. 9). The TDS2014B measuring the 0.5 ohm resistor to accelerate the light decay of the LED lamps. The PCB temperature is monitored and used to determine the LED junction temperature. The test has been continuously run for more than 15,800 h. Fig. 8 is the test results. It is seen from Fig. 8 that the LED junction temperature are kept at 70 ± 3 °C for four kinds of driving methods is not distinguishable, within experimental error. This implies that the direct driving by battery using PWM technique will not damage the LED lamps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Energy loss of MOSFET in PWM at 125 Hz.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ipeak (A)</td>
<td>Duty-Cycle (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The discharge control system for LED lighting is shown in Fig. 12. The controller is implemented in a micro-processor to output a PWM signal to trigger the MOSFET to deliver a PWM current to LED for lighting. The PWM current is measured and analyzed to

![Fig. 8. LED reliability test results.](image-url)

![Fig. 9. Measuring device for energy loss of MOSFET.](image-url)

![Fig. 10. Energy loss test of MOSFET at D = 60% (Vb = 5.5 V, Ipeak = 4 A).](image-url)

![Fig. 11. Energy loss test of MOSFET at D = 40% (Vb = 24.2 V, Ipeak = 8.1 A).](image-url)
determine the average current \( I_{ave} \) for feedback control according to the setting value \( I_o \).

### 3.4. Design of central control system

The central control system can be realized by using a microprocessor (PIC18F252). Fig. 13 shows the algorithm of the central control system. Fig. 14 is the central control system circuit. The microprocessor has a built-in analog-to-digital converter (ADC) which can be used to detect the time of sun rise (beginning of daytime) or the time of sunset (beginning of night time) by measuring the voltage of PV. The ADC is also used to measure the battery voltage during charge. The current during discharge was measured by a 0.003 \( \Omega \) resistor connected to the MOSFET and used to calculate the current by Ohm's law. An operational amplifier was used to amplify the voltage signal of the 0.003 \( \Omega \) resistor for feedback to the ADC. The charge and discharge feedback control system can be realized when the battery voltage and the discharge current are measured by the ADC in PIC microprocessor.

### 4. Long-term field test of solar-powered LED lighting systems

Two stand-alone solar LED lighting systems were built and tested outdoor in the campus of National Taiwan University using the high-performance charge/discharge control technique described previously. The LED is turned on automatically at sunset when the sky is dark and turned off in the morning when the sky is bright. The open-circuit voltage of the PV module is used to detect the sunrise or sunset using a signal filter.

#### 4.1. 18 W solar-powered LED lighting system

This system using an 18 W LED luminaire, 80 Wp PV module, a YUASA NP38-12 lead–acid battery, and a controller developed in the present study (Fig. 15). The long-term performance was monitored.

Figs. 16 and 17 show the recorded daily lighting hours in 2007 and 2008. The 2007 test data covers the spring and winter seasons.
which have lower solar radiation. There are 172 recorded days and 28 days cannot provide all-night lighting. The total number of hours losing lighting is 270 h and the loss of load probability (LLP) is (270/1920)100% = 14.1%. The 2008 test data are taken in summer. There are 132 recorded days and the system can provide all-night lighting every day with zero LLP.

4.2. 100 W solar-powered LED lighting system

The 100 W solar-powered LED lighting system is developed for highway lighting (Fig. 18) and consists of a 100 W LED luminaire, a 400 Wp solar PV module, a 48 V 100 A h lead–acid battery, and a controller developed in the present study.

This system was installed on 2007/12/26. The data logger was installed on 2008/03/21. Fig. 19 shows the lighting hours from 2008/03/21 to 2008/11/19.

There are no recorded data from 5/28 to 6/9, 7/1 to 8/20 and 10/2 to 10/21 due to the failure of the data logger. For the 159 recorded days, only nine days do not provide all-night lighting. The loss of load probability LLP is 3.6% counted by lighting hours. This occurs in spring. No failure occurs so far for the 100 W solar-powered LED lighting system.

4.3. 150 W solar-powered LED lighting system

To show the application of the present technology for LED with higher power, a 150 W solar-powered LED lighting system is developed for test and comparison, in addition to the 100 W solar-powered LED lighting system. The system consists of a 150 W LED luminaire (Fig. 20), a 720 Wp solar PV module (Fig. 21), a 48 V 100 A h lead–acid battery, and a controller developed in the present study.

Fig. 22 shows the lighting hours from 2009/01/07 to 2009/08/10. There are missing data from 3/10 to 5/10 due to the failure of the data logger. For the 152 recorded days, 114 days do not provide all-night lighting. The loss of load probability LLP is 46.8% calculated...
lated by expected lighting hours. The high LLP is caused by the use of a lower battery capacity 100 A h which is the same as 100 W solar-powered LED lighting system. The 150 W system has been run from winter to summer and no failure occurs so far. The field test shows that the controller can be used for the 150 W solar-powered LED lighting system too. The LLP can be improved easily by using larger battery.

5. Discussion and conclusions

The present study developed a high-efficiency charge/discharge controller for stand-alone solar LED lighting system by incorporating an nMPPO (near-maximum-power-point-operation) design, a PWM battery charge control, and a PWM battery discharge control to drive the LED.

The near-maximum-power-point-operation (nMPPO) design of photovoltaic power generation system [5] can get rid of a maximum-power-point-tracking controller MPPT by just properly matching the PV module specification with the battery voltage in design to obtain a similar performance of MPPT. The additional cost, reliability, and energy loss of the MPPT is thus avoided.

A battery charge control system using PWM technique with feedback control is developed in the present study to charge the battery in full capacity. The daily outdoor experiment shows the battery capacity can be charged 9.7% more after the overcharge point.

For LED driven by PWM current directly from battery to eliminate the DC/DC conversion loss, a reliability test for the light decay of LED lamps was performed continuously for 15,800 h. It has shown that the light decay of PWM-driven LED is the same as that of constant-current driven LED. The energy loss of the MOSFET in the PWM battery discharge control is less than 1%. Further improvement is underway by using better MOSFET or new circuit design.

The conventional DC/DC converter circuit used in solar-powered system consists of capacitor, semiconductor (MOSFET), and inductor. The probability of failure of each component is 72%, 24%, and 3% respectively for capacitor, MOSFET and inductor, due to increase in equivalent series resistance (ESR), thermal stress cracks, and shorting windings respectively [12]. The present solar control system uses no DC/DC, only MOSFET is used for charging and discharging control.

The failure mode of the MOSFET is the thermal stress cracks. It can be reduced by adopting higher voltage system to reduce the charge/discharge current or using a better thermal management device to dissipate the heat of the MOSFET. Thus the system reliability can be increased by comparison to the use of conventional DC/DC converter.

Three solar-powered LED lighting systems (18 W, 100 W and 150 W LED) were designed and built according to the developed technology. The long-term outdoor field test results have shown that the system performance is satisfactory with the control system developed in the present study. The loss of load probability for the 18 W solar LED system is 4.1% in winter and zero in summer. For the 100 W solar LED system, the loss of load probability is 3.6% in spring. The LLP of the 150 W solar LED system is much higher than other two systems and it can be reduced if the battery capacity is increased. The field test of the three solar-powered LED lighting systems is covering winter and summer conditions. No failure happened to the controller except the data logger. The long-term field tests show the controller developed in the present study has good stability in charging the battery and driving the LED luminaire.
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