Selection of internal control genes for real-time quantitative RT-PCR assays in the oomycete plant pathogen *Phytophthora parasitica*
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Abstract

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) has become one of the most commonly used methods for RNA quantification in recent years. To obtain reliable results with biological significance, it is important that qRT-PCR data are normalized with a proper internal control. In this study, 18 housekeeping genes were selected and evaluated for their potential as a suitable internal control for study of gene expression in the oomycete plant pathogen *Phytophthora parasitica*. Analysis of qRT-PCR data using the geNorm software indicated that, although commonly used as internal controls, β-actin (ACT) and translation elongation factor 1α (eEF1A) might not be the best choice due to variable expression across different life stages of *P. parasitica*. Instead, other genes would serve as better controls, including ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (Ubc), WS21, and β-tubulin (Tub-b) for ‘asexual stage,’ Ubc and Tub-b for ‘sexual reproduction,’ while Ubc and WS21 for the stage of pathogenesis, because of their constant expression levels in each given subset of RNA samples. Although normalization with more than one gene would generate more reliable results, use of a single stably expressed gene as an internal control would suffice for accurate data normalization in some experiments.
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1. Introduction

*Phytophthora* represent a large group of devastating oomycete pathogens, which cause important diseases in a wide variety of plant species, including potato, tomato, soybean, and valuable forest trees (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996). Although similar to fungi in morphology and growth patterns, analyses based on sequence comparisons indicated that Oomycetes, now part of Stramenopiles, are phylogenetically distinct from true fungi (Baldauf et al., 2000; Gunderson et al., 1987). *Phytophthora* are diploid for the majority of their life cycle: mycelia, sporangia, zoospores, and cysts, which represent the asexual stage. Haploid exists only briefly in antheridia and oogonia prior to fertilization, which requires interaction between A1 and A2 mating types in heterothallic species such as *P. parasitica*. However, it has been demonstrated that homothallic mating can be induced by the action of mating hormone produced by an opposite mating type (Ko, 1988). Sexual reproduction will result in the formation of oospores. Infection by *Phytophthora* occurs through the release from sporangia of motile, biflagellate zoospores, which are chemotactically attracted to the leaves or roots of potential host plants. Upon contact of the plant surface, the zoospores encyst rapidly and germinate. The germ tubes then swell to form appressoria or appressorium-like structures that facilitate adhesion and penetration of the plant surface. Following penetration, coenocytic mycelia grow and become colonized on the host. The infection cycle is completed with the formation of sporangia which usually occurs within a few days of initial invasion (Hardham, 2001; Judelson and Blanco, 2005). Despite of their importance in the process of disease development, mechanisms underlying differentiation of sporangia and zoospores, as well as pathogenesis of
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Phytophthora spp. have been chronically understudied at the molecular level. Tremendous progress has been made recently, however, with the completion of genome and EST (expressed sequence tag) sequencing projects for the potato and tomato late blight pathogen *P. infestans*, the sudden oak death pathogen *P. ramorum*, and the soybean root rot pathogen *P. sojae* (Kamoun and Smart, 2005; Randall et al., 2005). Availability of genome sequences now provides unique opportunities for data mining and unraveling of complex molecular mechanisms which underlie the infection by *Phytophthora*. In this context, in addition to tools required for functional genomics such as DNA transformation, there is increasing need for well-defined methods for analysis of gene expression, which promises to provide insight into the complex regulatory network and helps to identify genes involved in specific biological processes.

Common methods for RNA quantification include Northern blotting, RNase protection analysis, microarray, and qRT-PCR. No matter which method is used, normalization with an internal control gene, usually a housekeeping gene such as ACT or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), is required in order to correct the sample-to-sample variation which might arise in the process of RNA quantification experiments (Bustin, 2002). Although it is usually assumed that, regardless of experimental conditions, the expression of housekeeping genes is kept constant, it can indeed vary considerably across different developmental stages and thereby leads to dramatic misinterpretation of the expression profile of a target gene (Lee et al., 2002; Steele et al., 2002). It is thus important to identify housekeeping genes which are expressed with constant levels regardless of life stages and determine the optimal number of internal control genes required for accurate data normalization. In this study, the expression of 18 genes at different life stages of *P. parasitica* were analyzed by qRT-PCR and evaluated for their potential as a suitable internal control for study of gene expression in this pathogen.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Phytophthora parasitica strains and growth conditions

*Phytophthora parasitica* strains 98151 (mating type A2), 98130_1 (mating type A1), and 98130_2 (mating type A2) were provided by Dr. P.J. Ann (Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, Wu Fong, Taiwan), and stored on sterile distilled water as described by Liou et al. (2002). For preparation of zoospores, cysts, germinated cysts, and sporangia, agar blocks of isolate 98130_2, which was grown on 5% V8 juice agar plate (5% Campbell’s V8 juice, 0.02% CaCO₃, and 2% agar) at 25 °C in the dark for 12 days, were soaked in 30 mL of sterile distilled water and incubated at 25 °C for 2 days under fluorescent light to induce sporangia formation. Afterwards, sporulating hyphae were chilled at 4 °C for 20 min, followed by incubation at room temperature for additional 5–10 min. The zoospores released from the sporangia were collected by centrifugation at 1000g. To prepare cysts, the zoospore suspension was agitated with a Vortex mixer (Vortex-Genie 2, Scientific Industries, Bohemia, NY) for 1 min to induce encysting (Ho and Ko, 1997). For preparation of germinated cysts, zoospores were resuspended in 5% V8 juice medium (5% Campbell’s V8 juice and 0.02% CaCO₃), and incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 4 h. Sporangia were prepared by digesting the sporulating hyphae with 1% lysing enzyme (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO) and 0.2% cellulase (Sigma) for 2–4 h, and filtering through one layer of Miracloth. The sporangia were collected by centrifugation at 1000g.

For samples representing the sexual stage, *P. parasitica* isolates 98130_1 and 98130_2 were inoculated separately on 5% V8 juice agar plates overlaid with one piece of polycarbonate membrane (0.2 μm, 90 mm diam; Nuclepore, Pleasanton, California). After incubation for 4–5 days at 25 °C in the dark, the inoculum was removed and polycarbonate membrane carrying the mycelium of 98130_1 was peeled off the agar plate, and transferred to agar plates inoculated with 98130_2. The membrane was deposited inside the petri dish either up-side-down to allow direct contact of mycelia from both mating types and thus occurrence of sexual reproduction by heterothallic mating, or right-side-up to avoid direct contact of the mycelia from different mating type. In the latter case, sexual reproduction could still occur via inducible homothallic mating (Ko, 1988). For both heterothallic and induced homothallic mating, antheridia and oogonia usually appeared 3 days after initiation of the mating experiments. At the end of each experiment, mycelia collected from the polycarbonate membrane were frozen instantly in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until use.

2.2. Inoculation experiments

Inoculation experiments were performed according to Yan and Liou (2005) with some modifications. Detached leaves obtained from the third- to fourth-leaf stage of tomato seedlings were soaked in a zoospore suspension of *P. parasitica* (10⁶ zoospores/mL) for 10 min. After removal of the residual suspension, the inoculated leaves were transferred to a petri dish and incubated at 25 °C in the dark for the indicated period of time. Control leaves were mock-inoculated with distilled water. At the end of each experiment, plant samples were frozen instantly in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until use.

2.3. Cloning the partial sequences of ACT, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (*G6PDH*), hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (*HGPRT*), phospholipase A2 (*PLA2*), and *TATA* box binding protein (*TBP*)

Sequences of the housekeeping genes (Table 1) were obtained from GenBank of NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), with the exception of ACT, HGPRT, PLA2, G6PDH, and TBP, which were unavailable from the public database and thus were cloned and analyzed in this study.
Table 1  
Housekeeping genes evaluated in this study and sequences of primers for qRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of genes</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Primer Sequences</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACT (β-actin)</td>
<td>DQ227744 Act_F1 ACTCTGGTGATGGTGT 137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase)</td>
<td>CK859486 G3PD_F1 GGGAGTTGCAACAAAGGA 103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PDH (glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase)</td>
<td>DQ227746 G6PDH_F1 G6PDH_R1 106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGPRT (hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase)</td>
<td>DQ227743 HGPRT_F1 HGPRT_R1 103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEL (secretory protein)</td>
<td>DQ227745 PLA2_F1 PLA2_R1 126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA2 (phospholipase A2)</td>
<td>DQ227745 Ppi1_F1 Ppi1_R1 110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppi1 (peptidyl prolyl isomerase 1)</td>
<td>DQ227745 Ppi2_F1 Ppi2_R1 107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppi2 (peptidyl prolyl isomerase 2)</td>
<td>DQ227745 RL13_F1 RL13_R1 140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL13 (60S ribosomal protein L13)</td>
<td>DQ227742 TBP_F1 TBP_R1 101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP (TATA box binding protein)</td>
<td>DQ227742 eEF1A_F1 eEF1A_R1 187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eEF1A (translation elongation factor 1α)</td>
<td>DQ227742 eEF2_F1 eEF2_R1 118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eEF2 (translation elongation factor 2)</td>
<td>DQ227742 eEF3_F1 eEF3_R1 101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eEF3 (translation elongation factor 3)</td>
<td>DQ227742 Tub-a (α-tubulin)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tub-a (α-tubulin)</td>
<td>DQ227742 Tub-b (β-tubulin)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tub-b (β-tubulin)</td>
<td>DQ227742 Ubc (ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubc (ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme)</td>
<td>DQ227742 WS21 (40S ribosomal protein S3A)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS21 (40S ribosomal protein S3A)</td>
<td>DQ227742 WS41 (protein of the BAR-domain family)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS41 (protein of the BAR-domain family)</td>
<td>CF891675 WS41_F1 WS41_R1 128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To clone these genes by PCR using genomic DNA as the template, homologues retrieved from the genome databases of *P. sojae* and *P. ramorum* (http://www.jgi.doe.gov/) were used as a reference to design primers: 5′-TTT ACC GCC AAT GGA TGC GGC GGC ACG-3′ for PL2; 5′-TGA CAT CCG CCT CCC GAT TCG TCT-3′ for TBP; and 5′-TTT AAC TGC CTG AGT GAC TCG GGC ACG-3′ for WS41. Nucleotide sequences of the recombinant clones were determined on both strands of DNA using the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit and an ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer apparatus (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequences were analyzed using programs in the GCG software package (Genetics Computer Group, Wisconsin Package Version 10.0).
RNase-free DNase I (0.5 U/μL; Roche, Mannheim, Germany) at 37°C for 1 h to remove the genomic DNA. The concentration of RNA was determined by spectrophotometry, using GeneQuant II (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden). Reverse transcription was performed with PowerScript™ reverse transcriptase (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) in a 20-μL reaction, using 1 μg of total RNA as the template and 5′-T2VN-3′ (V: A, C, or G; N: A, T, C, or G) as the primer. After 10× dilution with ddH2O, 1 μL of the diluted reverse transcription mixture was submitted in triplicate to quantitative PCR with the LightCycler system using FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I kit (Roche). The PCR was performed in a volume of 20 μL containing 2 μL of LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I, 3 mM MgCl2, and 1 μM of primers. The instrument settings were: initial enzyme activation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C/10 s, 60°C/5 s, and 72°C/10 s. Oligonucleotide primers for quantitative PCR (Table 1) were designed based on the sequences of each gene using an on-line design tool: https://www.genscript.com/ssl-bin/app/primer. Analysis for the expression of the pppg1 gene, which is known to encode an endopolygalacturonase in P. parasitica, was performed according to Yan and Liou (2005). All the experiments were performed with RNA from two different sets of samples.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The results obtained from qRT-PCR were analyzed using the geNorm program (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm) for calculation of the gene expression stability (M); genes with the lowest M value are the most stably expressed (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Furthermore, to estimate the optimal number of internal control genes required for reliable normalization, normalization factors (NFn) were calculated by stepwise inclusion of the most stably expressed housekeeping genes. Subsequently, pairwise variations (Vnn+1) were calculated for every series of NFn and NFn+1 to determine the effect of adding a (n+1)th gene. A great variation indicated that the newly added gene has a significant effect on normalization and thus should preferably be included for calculation of a reliable normalization factor (Vandesompele et al., 2002).

The expression profile of the pppg1 gene was analyzed and tested for significance by a randomisation test implemented in the relative expression software tool (REST®) (http://www.gene-quantification.info), which is an Excel-based application for the groupwise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in qRT-PCR (Pfaffl et al., 2002).

3. Results

3.1. Selection of housekeeping genes and specificity of the primer sets

A total of 18 housekeeping genes known to be involved in different aspects of cellular functions were evaluated for their potential as good internal controls, including ACT, GAPDH, G6PDH, HGPRT, OPEL (an abundant secretory protein), peptidyl prolyl isomerase 1 (Ppi1), peptidyl prolyl isomerase 2 (Ppi2), PL2, ribosomal protein L13a (RL13), TBP, eEF1A, translation elongation factor 2 (eEF2), translation elongation factor 3 (eEF3), α-tubulin (Tub-a), Tub-b, Ubc, WS21 (40S ribosomal protein S3A), and WS41 (protein of the BAR-domain family). Of these genes, Phytophthora sequences of ACT, G6PDH, PL2, HGPRT, and TBP were not available from the public database, and thus were cloned and analyzed in the present study. The resultant sequence data have been deposited in the GenBank database under Accession Nos. DQ227742, DQ227743, DQ227744, DQ227745, and DQ227746 (Table 1).

Primers for qRT-PCR were designed based on sequences corresponding to the 3′ portion of each gene, in order to alleviate problems which might be caused by RNA turnover and inefficient reverse transcription (Table 1). Specificity of each primer set was examined by qRT-PCR using RNA prepared from mycelia as the starting material. Amplification of a specific transcript was confirmed by the appearance of a single peak in the melting curve analysis following completion of the amplification reaction (data not shown). Besides, the amplified products were further analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining. Only a single band with the expected size (Table 1) was detected in each experiment (Fig. 1), indicating good specificity of all the primer sets in qRT-PCR.

3.2. The expression profile and expression stability of the housekeeping genes

To analyze the transcription level of each gene in different life stages of P. parasitica, total RNA was isolated from a variety of samples, corresponding to the asexual stage (non-sporulating mycelia, sporangia, zoospores, cysts, and germinated cysts), the sexual stage (heterothallic mating mycelia and induced homothallic spores, cysts, and germinated cysts), the sexual stage (heterothallic mating mycelia and induced homothallic mating mycelia), and infection of tomato leaves (Table 2), respectively, and analyzed by qRT-PCR. Transcription profiles of the 18 genes for every individual RNA sample were shown in Fig. 2. Cp (cross point) value is defined as the number of cycles needed for the amplification signal to reach a specific threshold level of detection, and thus is correlated inversely with the amount of cDNA template present in the PCR amplification reaction (Rasmussen, 2001). As shown in Fig. 2, Cp values for

Fig. 1. Analysis of the amplified products obtained from qRT-PCR by 3% agarose gel electrophoresis. Name of each housekeeping gene was shown on top of the gel.
HGPRT obtained from different RNA samples were usually higher than those of other genes, indicating a relatively low level of HGPRT transcription in most life stages of *P. parasitica*. Moreover, the expression of HGPRT was barely detectable in the cysts. Genes encoding translation elongation factors, in contrast, were found to display relatively low C_p values, with eEF1A being the most highly expressed in sporangia and inoculated tomato leaves, eEF2 in non-sporulating mycelia and the sexual stage, while eEF3 in zoospores and cysts. However, the expression of eEF3 and TBP was undetectable in inoculated tomato leaves, very likely due to interference caused by non-specific amplification of tomato cDNA primed by eEF3 and TBP primers.

To identify genes expressed constantly at different life stages of *P. parasitica*, the gene expression stability (M) of each housekeeping gene was calculated by using the geNorm program (Vandesompele et al., 2002). This value reflects the stability of gene transcription levels across different RNA samples; a gene with the lowest M value has the most stable expression. The rank of the housekeeping genes based on their M values was shown in Table 3. When RNAs from all 14 samples were taken into account, designated as ‘all stages,’ the expression of Ubc and WS21 was found to be the most stable, with an M value of 0.44, followed by Tub-b, WS41, PLA2, and other genes as shown in Table 3. The rank of Ubc and WS21 could not be discriminated since the gene expression stability was calculated based on the gene expression ratio. The expression of ACT, in contrast, was found to vary from stage to stage as reflected by its high M value of 1.57 (data not shown).

To identify genes expressed constantly at specific life stages of *P. parasitica*, such as the asexual stage, sexual reproduction, and pathogenesis, analysis by the geNorm program was performed with subsets of RNA samples, and the gene expression stability of each gene was recalculated. The rank of the housekeeping genes based on their gene expression stability in different subsets of RNA samples was shown in Table 3. For the asexual stage, which...
included RNAs isolated from non-sporulating mycelia, sporangia, zoospores, cysts, and germinated cysts, the expression of Ubc and WS21 was the most constant, followed by WS41 and Tub-b. For sexual reproduction, which included RNAs prepared from non-sporulating mycelia and those undergoing sexual reproduction initiated by either heterothallic mating (Hem1 and Hem2) or induced homothallic mating (Hem1, Hem2, and Hom4), the expression of Ubc and Tub-b was the most stable, followed by PLA2 and OPEL. Finally, for pathogenesis, which included RNAs isolated from non-sporulating mycelia, germinated cysts, and tomato leaves inoculated with zoospores of *P. parasitica* (Tom1, Tom2, and Tom3), the expression of Ubc and WS21 was the most stable, followed by WS41 and PLA2. Interestingly, although commonly used as internal controls for study of gene expression in *Phytophthora* spp., the rank of ACT and eEF1A was pretty low compared to other housekeeping genes evaluated in this study (data not shown).

### 3.3. Optimal number of internal control genes for normalization

Although only one single gene was used as an internal control for data normalization in most studies, it has been suggested that use of more than one gene might generate more reliable results (Tricarico et al., 2002; Vandesompele et al., 2002). To find out the minimal number of internal control genes required for reliable normalization, the pairwise variation ($V_{n/n+1}$) was calculated between two sequential normalization factors ($NF_n$ and $NF_{n+1}$) using the geNorm program. A cut-off threshold was set at $V = 0.15$, below which the inclusion of an additional control gene is not required (Vandesompele et al., 2002). As shown in Fig. 3, $V_{3/4}$ for the pool of both ‘all stages’ and ‘asexual stage’ was 0.15, while inclusion of the fifth internal control gene would result in an increase in the pairwise variation ($V_{4/5}$), indicating the use of the three most stably expressed genes was good enough for reliable data normalization. In
contrast, $V_{\text{G2}}$ for the pool of ‘sexual reproduction’ and ‘pathogenesis’ equaled to 0.07 and 0.13, respectively, both of which were below the cut-off threshold of 0.15. Therefore, use of the two most stably expressed genes, namely Ubc and Tub-b for ‘sexual reproduction’ while Ubc and WS21 for ‘pathogenesis,’ as internal controls would suffice for reliable data normalization in each case.

3.4. Analysis of the ppggl expression upon infection of tomato by P. parasitica

To verify the importance of accurate normalization, RNA was prepared from tomato leaves inoculated with zoospore suspension of P. parasitica and subjected to qRT-PCR for analysis of ppggl, Ubc, WS21, and ACT. Data obtained for ppggl were then normalized with both Ubc and WS21, as suggested by the aforementioned results, or ACT (Table 4). As shown in Fig. 4A, with both Ubc and WS21 as the internal controls, the expression of ppggl upon infection of tomato was found to be induced one day after zoospore inoculation (1.9-fold, with $P<0.05$), enhanced to an even higher level at 2 dpi (11-fold, with $P<0.05$), and dropped slightly at 3 dpi (9.2-fold, with $P<0.05$), compared to the ppggl expression level obtained from germinated cysts. Here, the expression in germinated cysts was used as a reference since penetration of host would presumably occur following germination of cysts. Similar results were obtained while normalization was performed using Ubc or WS21 as the sole internal control (Table 4). In contrast, while normalization was performed using ACT as the internal control, the expression of ppggl upon infection of tomato was found to be repressed compared to that obtained from germinated cysts (Fig. 4B). It is thus obvious that normalization with different internal control genes would result in completely different conclusion.

### Table 4

A pairwise fixed reallocation randomization test (2000 randomizations) of the relative expression of ppggl during infection of tomato by Phytophthora parasitica

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal control</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>RNA sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tom-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubc and WS21</td>
<td>Relative expression ratio</td>
<td>1.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>±0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubc</td>
<td>Relative expression ratio</td>
<td>2.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>±0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS21</td>
<td>Relative expression ratio</td>
<td>1.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>±1.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>Relative expression ratio</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>±0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The amount of ppggl transcript present in the inoculated tomato leaves was measured by qRT-PCR, normalized with the indicated internal control(s), and compared with those obtained from germinated cysts by the use of the software ‘REST’ (Pfaffl et al., 2002). Tom-1, Tom-2, and Tom-3 indicated tomato leaves collected 1, 2, and 3 days, respectively, after inoculation with the zoospore suspension of P. parasitica.

Fig. 4. Quantification of the ppggl transcript by qRT-PCR. The amount of ppggl transcript present in the inoculated tomato leaves was measured by qRT-PCR, normalized with the transcript of: (A) Ubc and WS21, or (B) ACT, and compared with those obtained from germinated cysts by the use of the software ‘REST’ (Pfaffl et al., 2002). Results of three experiments were presented as means ± SE. Tom-1, Tom-2, and Tom-3 indicated tomato leaves collected 1, 2, and 3 days, respectively, after inoculation with the zoospore suspension of Phytophthora parasitica.

4. Discussions

qRT-PCR has become one of the most commonly used methods for study of gene expression in recent years. Compared with conventional methods for direct detection of mRNA such as Northern hybridization and RNase protection analysis, qRT-PCR has the advantages of sensitivity, large dynamic range, and high sample throughput. Nonetheless, as has been noticed for other methods, accurate normalization with a right internal control is required to remove sampling difference in regard to RNA quantity and quality to identify the real gene-specific variation (Bustin, 2002). Ideally, a control gene should be constantly transcribed regardless of developmental stages and experimental conditions. In this regard, what was known about Phytophthora spp. has been very scanty, if there was any, despite that selection of suitable housekeeping genes has been well documented for other organisms (Nicot et al., 2005; Nielsen and Boye, 2005; Radonić et al., 2004).

To identify housekeeping genes suitable for internal control, the expression of 18 housekeeping genes at different life stages of P. parasitica was analyzed by qRT-PCR, followed by evaluation using the geNorm program (Vandesompele et al., 2002). By pairwise comparison, this method ranked the test genes according to the similarity of their expression profile so that genes with the most stable expression level in a given set of RNA samples could be identified (Nicot et al., 2005; Nielsen and Boye, 2005). In our study, analysis by the geNorm program indicated that the
expression of ACT, which was commonly used as an internal control for study of gene expression in *Phytophthora* spp. (Armstrong et al., 2005; Avrova et al., 2003; van West et al., 1998), varied greatly from stage to stage as reflected by its high M value. Similar results have been obtained in other studies (Nicot et al., 2005; Selvey et al., 2001; Steele et al., 2002). Besides, the rank of eEF1A, which was used occasionally as an internal control in *P. infestans* (Cvitanić and Judelson, 2003; Kim and Judelson, 2003), was pretty low among the housekeeping genes tested. Thus, it appeared that these two genes were not suitable internal controls for data normalization in *P. parasitica*. In contrast, the expression of Ubc and WS21 was found to show minimal changes in RNA pool representing ‘all stages’, ‘asexual stage,’ and ‘pathogenesis,’ respectively. Ubc is known to encode an ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, which catalyses the covalent attachment of ubiquitin to target proteins, while WS21 codes for a 40S ribosomal protein (Shan et al., 2004). In addition, Tub-b and WS41 also ranked high among the test genes. Tub-b is the gene coding for β-tubulin, while WS41 for a protein which contains a putative conserved domain, BAR (SM00721) (Habermann, 2004). In accordance with our results, it has been demonstrated previously that both WS21 and WS41 were constitutively expressed in different developmental stages of *P. parasitica* (Shan et al., 2004). Furthermore, WS41 has been the choice as an internal control for analysis of gene expression by Northern blot (Shan and Hardham, 2004). Analysis using the geNorm software also recommended the use of the three most stable genes for reliable normalization of a target gene within the pool of ‘all stages’ and ‘asexual stage,’ while two for the pool of ‘sexual reproduction’ and ‘pathogenesis.’

The importance of using a suitable internal control for data normalization can be envisaged from the analysis of the *pppg1* expression. Normalization with the two most stably expressed genes identified for ‘pathogenesis,’ namely Ubc and WS21, clearly demonstrated that the expression of *pppg1* was highly induced compared to the *pppg1* expression level obtained from germinated cysts. Normalization with ACT, the expression of which appeared to vary greatly at different life stages of *P. parasitica*, in contrast, indicated that the expression of *pppg1* was somehow repressed compared to that obtained from germinated cysts. It is thus obvious that normalization with genes exhibiting variable expression may lead to misinterpretation of the result and even a different conclusion.

According to the geNorm, the minimal number of internal control genes required for reliable normalization would be at least two rather than one, as seen in the case for ‘pathogenesis,’ since the conclusion was drawn based on pairwise variations of normalization factors. As mentioned in the previous section, the rank of Ubc and WS21 could not be discriminated due to the required use of the gene expression ratio for calculation of the M value. The possibility existed, however, that the transcription profile of Ubc was indeed very similar to that of WS21. In this case, it was likely that normalization with either Ubc or WS21 would show a result similar to that normalized with both genes. To test the hypothesis, the expression of *pppg1* was normalized with either gene alone. The results indicated that normalization with Ubc or WS21 as the sole internal control generated results which were essentially the same as that normalized with both genes. It was thus suggested that, if the expression of a housekeeping gene was known to be constant across a given set of RNA samples, then use of this single gene as an internal control would be sufficient for reliable normalization, despite that use of more than one has been suggested by other studies (Radonić et al., 2004; Tricarico et al., 2002). For study of gene expression in regard to pathogenesis in *P. parasitica*, use of either Ubc or WS21 would be sufficient.

In conclusion, normalization of qRT-PCR data with a right internal control is essential to obtain results with biological significance. To fulfill this request, the internal control must be selected with caution. In this study, by systematical analysis of a large group of housekeeping genes, suitable internal controls were identified in regard to specific life stages of *P. parasitica*. Besides, it was demonstrated that normalization with a single well selected gene could generate results comparable to that normalized with two. Most importantly, our strategy for selection of internal control genes and analysis of qRT-PCR data may provide a useful guideline for study of gene expression involving other experimental conditions and other *Phytophthora* spp. as well.
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