Assessing hazardous risks of human exposure to temple airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
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A B S T R A C T

We proposed an integrated probabilistic risk assessment framework based on reported data to quantify human health risks of temple goers/workers to airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from incense burning in typical Taiwanese temples. The framework probabilistically integrates exposure, human respiratory tract, and incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) models to quantitatively estimate size-dependent PAHs exposure in human lung regions and cancer risks for temple goers (moderate and high exposures) and temple workers (extreme exposure). Our results show that the ILCRs are greater than the acceptable level of $10^{-6}$ for extreme and high exposure groups through inhalation route. The result also indicates that the higher ILCRs ($10^{-6}$ to $10^{-4}$) are found in ingestion and dermal contact routes for temple goers/workers. For personal extreme exposure to carcinogenic PAH in the temple, 95% probability total ILCR (TILCR) ($9.87 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1.13 \times 10^{-3}$) is much greater than the range of $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-4}$, indicating high potential health risk to temple workers. For temple goers with high and moderate exposure groups, however, the 95% probability TILCRs were estimated from $6.44 \times 10^{-5}$ to $7.50 \times 10^{-5}$ and $5.75 \times 10^{-5}$ to $6.59 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively. This study successfully offers a scientific basis for risk analysis due to incense burning to enhance broad risk management strategies for temple indoor air quality.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Burning incense to worship deities is a daily religious ritual in most Buddhist and Taoist temples in Taiwan. It is also a part of the daily routine of about 50% of families in Taiwan [1]. Approximated 1.5 million frequent temple goers visit more than 14,500 temples across the Taiwan region and subject to burn incense inside the temples (http://www.moi.gov.tw/stat/). Incense burning is found to be the significant sources of large amount of particulate matters (PMs) and carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [2–10]. Due to the nature of its long, slow, and incomplete combustion process, this practice produces non-stop smoke. Many studies indicated that peoples exposed to such smoke caused significant potential risk for the occurrence of acute irritative symptoms, especially for throat and upper respiratory tract irritation [4,9–10].

To obtain a better estimate of the health risk associated with incense burning in the temples, the mass concentrations of PAHs and individual compound could be compared to present regulatory standards that are established to protect public or workers health with adequate safety margin. The target annual mean values of B[a]P of 0.7 to 1.3 ng m$^{-3}$ established by European countries [11] and the WHO risk estimate for PAHs in air based on lung cancer in coke-oven workers had led to a health-based guideline value of 0.1 ng m$^{-3}$ B[a]P for ambient air [12]. Fang et al. [13], Lin et al. [2], Chen [7] and Chuang [8] have reported the relevant measurements of PAHs in the selected Taiwanese temples and these measurements were sufficiently high to cause concern for personal exposure to carcinogenic PAHs in temples, implicating that temple PAHs exposure may result in the potential cancer risk. In fact, our previous researches [14–15] had provided evidence that 90% probabilities of B[a]P- and B[1]P-based total incremental lifetime cancer risks (ILCRs) for human in temple were larger than $10^{-6}$, implicating that exposure to smoke emitted from heavy incense burning may promote lung cancer risk. Hence, developing the useful knowledge for protecting human health for temple goers/workers is necessary.

To further understand and identify individuals who are “at risk” of carcinogenic effects by PAHs exposure during religious practices, we argue that, by understanding the linkage between human respiratory tract dynamics and probabilistic risk analysis, we can provide a cancer risk estimates and scientific based risk methodology to enhance broad risk management for temple indoor air quality issues. Here we intended to develop an integrated risk assessment framework, including probabilistic exposure model, human respiratory tract (HRT) model, and incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) model, to quantify temple goers/workers exposed to airborne PAHs in temples. Specifically, the objectives of this study are 3-fold:
Nomenclature

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{AB} & \quad \text{dermal adsorption fraction} \\
\text{ABSCl} & \quad \text{gastrointestinal absorption} \\
\text{AFd} & \quad \text{dermal adherence rate} \\
\text{AI} & \quad \text{the alveolar-interstitial region in HRT} \\
\text{ATc} & \quad \text{averaging time for cancer effects} \\
\text{BJP} & \quad \text{Benzo[a]pyrene} \\
\text{BP} & \quad \text{toxic equivalent} \\
\text{bb} & \quad \text{the bronchial region in HRT} \\
\text{BB} & \quad \text{the bronchial region in HRT} \\
\text{BW} & \quad \text{body weight} \\
\text{C} & \quad \text{air concentration} \\
\text{Cp} & \quad \text{p-PAH concentration} \\
\text{cdfs} & \quad \text{cumulative density functions} \\
\text{cf} & \quad \text{conversion factor} \\
\text{CSF} & \quad \text{cancer slope factor} \\
\text{CSF}_{i} & \quad \text{cancer slope factor for each exposure route } i \\
\text{ED} & \quad \text{exposure duration} \\
\text{ET} & \quad \text{the nasal passage in HRT} \\
\text{ET2} & \quad \text{the pharynx in HRT} \\
\text{EV} & \quad \text{event frequency} \\
\text{HRT} & \quad \text{human respiratory tract} \\
\text{ILCR} & \quad \text{incremental lifetime cancer risk} \\
\text{IR}_{\text{inh}} & \quad \text{inhalation rate} \\
\text{IR}_{\text{ing}} & \quad \text{ingestion rate} \\
\text{IR}_{a} & \quad \text{air inhalation rate} \\
\text{IR}_{p} & \quad \text{particle ingestion rate} \\
\text{LADD} & \quad \text{lifetime average daily dose} \\
\text{LN} & \quad \text{lognormal distribution} \\
\text{MMDs} & \quad \text{mass median diameters} \\
\text{PAHs} & \quad \text{polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons} \\
\text{pdfs} & \quad \text{probability density functions} \\
\text{PEF} & \quad \text{potency equivalency factor} \\
\text{PMs} & \quad \text{particulate matters} \\
\text{p-PAH} & \quad \text{particle-bound PAH} \\
\text{Q} & \quad \text{breathing rate} \\
\text{RT} & \quad \text{residence time} \\
\text{SA} & \quad \text{dermal surface exposure} \\
\text{TILCR} & \quad \text{total ILCR} \\
\text{U} & \quad \text{uniform distribution} \\
\text{UFPs} & \quad \text{ultrafine particles} \\
\text{VF}_{\text{adv}} & \quad \text{visiting frequency advice} \\
\text{VF} & \quad \text{visiting frequency}
\end{align*} \]

(i) to conduct a probabilistic lifetime cancer risk assessment of personal multi-routes exposure to PAHs for temple goers/workers; (ii) to estimate the PAHs mass concentrations, size distribution, and daily dose for different HRT regions; and (iii) to recommend a visiting frequency advice to temple goers and a suggested incense burning amount of different types of commonly used incense.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population

A Taiwanese temple that we employed to study is a typical famous Buddhist–Taoist combined temple. The average numbers of temple goers are nearly 3000–5000 per day. We delineated exposure populations into three subgroups: temple goers with moderate and high exposure levels and temple workers with extreme exposure level. Temple goers visiting the temple on the 1st and 15th days of each lunar month based on the Chinese Lunar calendar and on the major religious festivals (e.g., Duan Wu Jie and Zhong Yuan Jie) are defined as the daily exposure level subgroup, whereas high exposure level subgroup is designated as the daily temple goers for 10–60 min incense burning exposure. On the other hand, temple workers who exposed to daily basis incense burning for 8–12 h are defined as the extreme exposure level subgroup. The ages of three subgroups range from 20 to 70 yrs.

2.2. Reanalyze the published PAHs data

We quantitatively reanalyzed the particle size distribution, total-PAH, particle-bound PAH (p-PAH), and individual PAH (particle and gas phase) concentrations, and size-dependent PAH concentrations of temple incense burning from published data. Thanks to Fang et al. [13], Lin et al. [2], and Chuang [8] who have provided the remarkable dataset related to existed PAHs in Taiwanese temples. The PAHs data give us the opportunity to test all theoretical considerations of temple PAHs exposure effects and quantify its strength. Fang et al. [13] selected a famous Taiwanese temple Tzu Yun Yen located at Ching Shui town in central Taiwan as the study site. The sampling time was from 9:00 am to 7:00 pm daily and sampling periods were from August 2001 to January 2002. Lin et al. [2] selected a Taiwanese temple located at the suburban area of Tainan city in southern Taiwan. Sampling was conducted from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm and from 9:00 am to 9:00 am the next day, respectively, for 3 sequential days during March 1996. Moreover, the reported measurements also included the relationship between incense compositions and PAH emissions for three representative types of incense of aloe wood, Taiwan yellow, and Taiwan black. We have also adopted the research from Li and Ro [16] for PAH concentrations in five incense-burning homes and in 14 mixed residential homes (including one smoking household, five incense-burning households, and eight households without incense burning or smoking) in Taipei region for a comparison study.

We used the individual compound potency equivalency factor (PEF) relative to B[a]P based on a PEF scheme developed by Collins et al. [17] to estimate multi-component PAH exposure. Table 1 lists
Table 2
Mathematical models used in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LADD&lt;sup&gt;inh&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>( LADD_{\text{inh}} = C_a \cdot IR_a \cdot RT \cdot VF \cdot ED \cdot CF )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LADD&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>( LADD_{\text{d}} = C_{\text{p}} \cdot IR_d \cdot BW \cdot AT \cdot VF \cdot ED \cdot CF )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LADD&lt;sup&gt;dermal&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>( LADD_{\text{dermal}} = \frac{C_{\text{p}} \cdot AB \cdot SA \cdot EV \cdot AF_d \cdot RT \cdot VF \cdot ED \cdot CF}{BW \cdot AT} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HRT model<sup>a</sup>
\[ \frac{dC}{dt} = \left[ \frac{C(k, t)}{\text{BW}} \right] + \frac{[B] u(k, t)}{\text{BW}} \]

ILCR model<sup>b</sup>
\[ \text{ILCR} = \text{LADD} \cdot \left( \frac{\text{CSF}}{\text{BW} \cdot 70 \text{kg}} \right)^{1/3} \]

TILCR = \( \sum_i \text{ILCR} \).

2.3. Mathematical models

Exposure is expressed in terms of a lifetime average daily dose (LADD) and is calculated separately for each element and for each exposure pathway. Specifically, the doses contact through inhalation and ingestion of particles and absorb through the skin have been documented by U.S. EPA [20]. LADD for incidental inhalation, ingestion and dermal contact pathways are listed in Table 2. We treated \( C_a, IR_a, IR_d, IR_g, AB, SA, AF_d, RT, \) and \( BW \) in Eqs. (1)–(3) probabilistically.

We divided human respiratory tract (HRT) into five major compartments from the suggestion of ICRP66 [21]: (i) the nasal passage (ET<sub>1</sub>), comprising the anterior nose and the posterior nasal passages; (ii) the pharynx (ET<sub>2</sub>), comprising the larynx and mouth; (iii) the bronchial region (BB), comprising the airway from the trachea, main bronchi, and intrapulmonary bronchi; (iv) the bronchiolar region (bb), comprising the bronchiolae and terminal bronchiolae; and (v) the alveolar-interstitial region (AI), comprising the airway from the respiratory bronchiolae through the alveolar sacs. Followed by the principle of mass balance, the dynamic equations of inspiratory oral cavity varying with particle size range \( k \) and time \( t \) to each regional compartment are given by a state-space realization form of a linear dynamic representation (Table 2, Eq. (4)) [22,23].

The reference values for anatomical and physiological parameters, including volumes, breathing rates, transfer coefficients, and clearance rate, are taken from the ICRP66 [21]. More details for HRT model developments and constructions have been described elsewhere [22,23].

The incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) is estimated as the incremental probability of an individual developing cancer over a lifetime as a result of exposure to a potential carcinogen. We used the linear low-dose carcinogenic risk equation to reflect each of exposure routes of inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact (Table 2, Eq. (5)). The cancer slope factor (CSF), which is used to estimate the risk potential (Table 2, Eq. (5)) in the present study, for each exposure routes are normalized to account for extrapolation to a different body weight from standard of 70 kg. The CSFs for B[a]P inhalation and ingestion exposure were 12 and 3.9 (mg kg<sup>–1</sup> d<sup>–1</sup>)<sup>–1</sup>, respectively. Those values were adopted from OEHHA [24] and Neal and Rigdon [25]. For exposure to B[a]P by dermal contact pathway, the potencies were estimated to be 37.47 and 23.5 (mg kg<sup>–1</sup> d<sup>–1</sup>)<sup>–1</sup>, based on incidence of skin tumors in mice [26] and a gastroin-

Table 3
Exposure parameters considered as point estimates and random variables for temple goers/workers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Exposure groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visiting frequency (time yr&lt;sup&gt;–1&lt;/sup&gt;)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>VF</td>
<td>Temple goers with moderate exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure duration (yr)</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Temple goers with high exposure level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event frequency (event d&lt;sup&gt;–1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>EV</td>
<td>Temple workers (extreme exposure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averaging time for cancer effects (d)</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>U(10, 60)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random variable

| Residence time (min time<sup>–1</sup>)<sup>a</sup> | RT | U(10, 60) |
| Inhalation rate (m<sup>3</sup> d<sup>–1</sup>)<sup>b</sup> | IR<sub>inh</sub> | U(10, 60) |
| Ingestion rate (mg d<sup>–1</sup>)<sup>–1</sup> | IR<sub>ing</sub> | U(10, 60) |
| Dermal surface exposure (cm<sup>2</sup>)<sup>c</sup> | SA | U(0.02, 2.67) |
| Dermal adsorption fraction (unitless)<sup>d</sup> | AF<sub>d</sub> | U(0.02, 2.67) |
| Body weight (kg) | BW | U(39.19, 106) |

Notes:
- **a** Yearly estimated values: We assumed that moderate exposed groups visit the temple on the 1st and 15th days and on the major religious festivals for each lunar month based on the Chinese Lunar calendar and high and extreme exposed groups are daily temple goers and temple workers, respectively.
- **b** U denotes uniform distribution.
- **c** LN denotes lognormal distribution.
testinal absorption (ABSg) factor of 0.31, respectively [27,28]. We averaged those two CSF values and resulted in the arithmetic mean 30.5 (mg kg⁻¹ d⁻¹)⁻¹.

Cancer risks from various exposure routes are assumed to be additive, as long as the risks are for the same individuals and time period. The total ILCR (TILCR) is the sum of risks associated with each exposure route (Table 2, Eq. (6)). The lower end of the range of acceptable risk distribution (TILCR) is defined by a single constraint on the 95th percentile of risk distribution that must be equal or lower than 10⁻⁶ for carcinogens.

A Monte Carlo simulation is performed using Crystal Ball software (Version 2000.2, Decisioneering, Inc., Denver, CO, USA) to quantify the uncertainty and its impact on the estimation of expected risk. A sensitivity analysis by using Spearman rank correlations is performed to determine which probability density functions have the greatest effect on the risk estimates.

Current literature was reviewed to develop probability distributions for the random variables appearing in the risk models adopted (Table 3). Having no site-specific data on population body weight, a second-order distribution was chosen for this parameter with the population age distribution in the Taiwan region to estimate the population body weight followed a lognormal distribution as a function of age [29]. Probability distributions chosen for the inhalation, ingestion, and dermal adherence rates and for the skin surface area are based on the body weight distribution probability. The PAHs inhalation rates are estimated from USEPA [30] suggested inhalation rates for various activities combined with the specific activity patterns (rest, sedentary, light, and moderate activities) of the average household. The exposed skin surface areas of PAHs are given by the specific exposed skin surface area for difference seasons (summer and winter) [31]. In our probabilistic exposure assessment, not only the point estimate values (e.g., Vf, ED, EF, and ATv) but also the proposed random variables (e.g., RT, IRinhv, IRing, SA, AFg, AB, and BW) are considered (Table 3).

3. Results

3.1. Quantitative temple PAHs concentrations

The size distributions of B[a]P and B[a]Peq are similarly followed a bimodal in the northern Taiwan temple (data reanalyzed from [8]) (Fig. 1A and B). Two peaks of the average mass distributions were found at 0.18–0.32 and 1.8–3.2 μm for B[a]P and B[a]Peq, respec-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure route</th>
<th>Lifetime average daily dose (mg kg⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>50th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temple workers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme exposure level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>4.94 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>7.58 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>1.27 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>1.06 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.29 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>3.59 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal contact</td>
<td>4.29 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>5.45 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>2.67 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.32 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>3.05 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>5.94 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temple goers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High exposure level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>2.63 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>4.00 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>9.07 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>5.53 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>6.96 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>2.40 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal contact</td>
<td>2.27 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>2.81 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.63 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.23 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>1.62 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>4.15 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate exposure level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>2.38 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>3.61 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>8.19 × 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>4.99 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>6.44 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>2.22 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal contact</td>
<td>2.02 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>2.55 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.40 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.11 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.48 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>3.74 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tively. The concentrations of B[a]P and B[a]Peq in fine particles (aerodynamic diameter < 1 μm) are nearly 1 order of magnitude higher than that in coarse particles (1 < aerodynamic diameter < 10 μm), indicating that fine particles are the major contribution of B[a]P and B[a]Peq concentrations in temple. In the southern Taiwan temple (data reanalyzed from [2]), the median B[a]P- and B[a]Peq-based concentrations are 142.45 and 182.88; and 36.28 and 68.95 ng m\(^{-3}\) in indoor and outdoor, respectively (Fig. 1C), whereas the median particle-bound B[a]P- and B[a]Peq-based concentrations are estimated to be 102.61 and 153.40; and 10.14 and 14.36 ng m\(^{-3}\) for indoor and outdoor, respectively (Fig. 1D). The median B[a]P- and B[a]Peq-based concentrations for three types incense are estimated to be 9.36 and 22.44; 160.92 and 420.04; and 15.64 and 29.19 ng g\(^{-1}\), respectively, for Taiwan yellow, Taiwan black, and aloe wood (Fig. 1E), implicating that Taiwan black incense may be a significant contribution factor to PAH sources.

3.2. Estimates of lifetime average daily dose (LADD)

LADD estimates of PAHs for temple goers/workers and three exposure routes are shown in Table 4. For temple workers, the median and 95th percentile of LADDs of B[a]P and B[a]Peq for overall routes have orders of 10\(^{-5}\) mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), indicating high potential exposure risk; whereas for temple goers, the median and 95th percentiles of LADDs of B[a]P and B[a]Peq for overall routes have orders of 10\(^{-6}\) and 10\(^{-7}\) mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), respectively. Our results also show that ingestion exposure has higher LADD estimates of PAHs than those of inhalation and dermal for all three exposure groups (Table 4).

3.3. PAHs in human respiratory tract

The steady-state B[a]P mass concentrations are estimated to be 0.57–32.23, 0.35–23.84, 0.15–15.50, and 0.01–7.69 ng m\(^{-3}\) in ET, BB, bb, and Al regions, respectively (Fig. 2A, C, E, G). The mass median diameters (MMDs) are calculated to be 0.293, 0.289, 0.283, and 0.269 μm in ET, BB, bb, and Al regions, respectively (Fig. 2B, D, F, H). Temple goers with 35 min of daily exposure (high exposure group), the average daily doses of B[a]P and B[a]Peq are estimated to be 0.002–0.020 and 0.008–0.32 μg d\(^{-1}\); 0.016–0.227 and 0.077–0.360 μg d\(^{-1}\); and 0.033–2.479 and 0.135–3.927 μg d\(^{-1}\), respectively, in the BB, bb, and Al regions (Fig. 3A). Temple workers with 10 h of daily exposure (extreme exposure group), the estimated average daily doses of B[a]P and B[a]Peq are 0.03–0.35 and 0.14–0.55 μg d\(^{-1}\); 0.27–3.98 and 1.34–6.30 μg d\(^{-1}\), and 0.57–43.38, and 2.36–68.72 μg d\(^{-1}\), respectively, in the BB, bb, and Al regions (Fig. 3B). The similar results indicate that the average daily doses of B[a]P and B[a]Peq obtained from fine fraction depositing to the Al region are significantly higher than those to the BB and bb regions.

3.4. Risk estimates

The 95% probability lung cancer risks (10\(^{-6}\) to 10\(^{-5}\)) are greater than the USEPA acceptable level of 10\(^{-6}\) for temple workers and temple goers with high exposure level through inhalation route (Fig. 4A and B). Our result indicates that the higher ILCRs (10\(^{-6}\) to 10\(^{-4}\)) are found in ingestion and dermal contact routes for temple goers/workers (Fig. 4). Notably, a large proportion of the risk comes from above two exposure routes for which they are assumed to occur in the temples.

The probability density functions (pdfs) of TILCRs for temple goers/workers (geometric standard deviations of lognormal distribution range from 1.95 to 2.42) are tended to be skewed (Fig. 5A, C, E). Percentile predictions of TILCRs personal exposure of temple goers/workers could be determined from cumulative density functions (cdfs) corresponding to pdfs. Under most regulatory program, an ILCR between 10\(^{-6}\) and 10\(^{-4}\) indicates potential risk; moreover, larger than 10\(^{-4}\) indicates high potential health risk. All 95% probabilities of B[a]P- and B[a]Peq-based TILCRs are larger than 10\(^{-6}\) for temple workers and 10\(^{-4}\) for temple goers.
Fig. 3. Average daily dose to different size ranges of B[a]P and B[a]Peq in different HRT regions: BB, bb, and AI for (A) temple goers with high exposure and (B) temple workers.

3.5. Sensitivity analysis

For temple goers/workers, the most contributions to variance in risk are 47.2 and 78.0% in air inhalation rate ($R_a$); 54.7 and 78.1% in particle ingestion rate ($R_p$); and 72.6 and 86.1% in particle-to-skin adherence factor ($A_{fg}$), respectively, for inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact routes (Fig. 6). Moreover, residence time ($RT$) (16.9–42.1%) and B[a]P concentration ($C_{i[B[a]P]}$) (6–13%) play a more sensitive variable for temple goers, and $C_{i[B[a]P]}$ (6.7–17.6%) also does for temple workers, in three exposure routes.

The present result indicates that for all exposure routes, the exposures of 365 yr$^{-1}$ for temple goers yield ILCRs higher than 10$^{-6}$. The yielded risk values would be approximately 12-fold times of risk estimates for 33 yr$^{-1}$. We also calculated a risk-based visiting frequency advice ($VF_{adv}$) (yr$^{-1}$) and a suggested amount of incense burning associated with unit occupied volume of temple based on a maximum acceptable individual lifetime risk level of 10$^{-6}$. Our results indicate that the B[a]Peq- and B[a]P-based median $VF_{adv}$ for temple goers are estimated to be 312.84 and 462.59 yr$^{-1}$; 48.15 and 60.01 yr$^{-1}$; 43.75 and 59.94 yr$^{-1}$; and 18.08 and 22.67 yr$^{-1}$, respectively, for inhalation, ingestion, dermal contact, and overall routes.

4. Discussion

We have developed an integrated probabilistic risk assessment framework based on limited reported data to quantify exposure risk of temple goers/workers to airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in typical Taiwanese temples. For temple workers, the median and 95th percentile of LADDs of B[a]P and B[a]Peq for overall routes have orders of 10$^{-5}$ mg kg$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, indicating high potential exposure risk. The MMDs of B[a]P are calculated to be 0.293, 0.289, 0.283, and 0.269 μm, respectively, in ET, BB, bb, and AI, indicating that fine particles are apt to exist in the AI region. The average daily doses of B[a]P and B[a]Peq obtained from fine fraction depositing...
Fig. 4. Box and whisker plots of B[a]P- and B[a]Peq-based inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact incremental lifetime cancer risks for (A) temple workers and temple goers with (B) high, and (C) moderate exposure in the temples.

Generally, variables in the numerator of the exposure models (Eqs. (1)–(3)) \((IR_a, IR_p, AF_d, RT, etc.)\) will tend to be positively correlated with risk, whereas variables in the denominator (body weight \((BW)\)) will tend to be negatively correlated with risk. Because temple workers spend much longer time in the temples, the variables with the greatest effect on risk are \(IR_a, IR_p,\) and \(AF_d\), followed by \(C_{B[a]P}\). For temple goers/workers, there are several risk management options, for example (i) to reduce the residence time; (ii) to visit temples with a better ventilation conditions, fewer censers, and a lower required number of joss sticks; (iii) to avoid worshipping on the 1st and 15th days and major religious festivals in each lunar month; (iv) to wear masks; and (v) to maintain the cleanness of the censers.

In fact, the population groups have different susceptibility for disease. Therefore, the potential risk induced from incense burning for specific subgroups (child, adolescent, and adult) should be taken into account in the future work, especially for child. In light of this aspect, different contact rates \((CR, e.g., CR = IR_{inh} through inhalation pathway), exposure durations \((ED),\) and subgroup body weights \((BW)\) should be taken into account in the estimates of lifetime average daily dose (LADD). A paradigm for consequent exposure group to the AI region are significantly higher than those to the BB and bb regions. Incremental risks of lung cancer are greater than the USEPA acceptable level of \(10^{-6}\) for temple workers and temple goers with high exposure through inhalation route. Our finding also indicates that the higher ILCRs \((10^{-6} to 10^{-4})\) are found in ingestion and dermal contact route for temple goers/workers. For temple workers exposed to carcinogenic PAH, 95% probability TILCRs \((9.87 \times 10^{-4} to 1.13 \times 10^{-3})\) lie outside the range of \(10^{-6} to 10^{-4}\), indicating high potential health risk; whereas for temple goers, 95% probability TILCRs range from \(6.44 \times 10^{-5} to 7.50 \times 10^{-5}\) and \(5.75 \times 10^{-6} to 6.99 \times 10^{-6}\), respectively, indicating that potential health risk is alarming.

The suggested visiting frequencies for temple goers are recommended to be 300, 50, 40, and 20 yr\(^{-1}\), respectively, based on inhalation, ingestion, dermal contact, and overall routes considerations. The recommended maximum incense burning amount for three representative incense types of Taiwan yellow, Taiwan black, and aloe wood are suggested to be 0.74, 0.04, and 0.44 g m\(^{-3}\); 13.73, 0.81, and 8.16 g m\(^{-3}\); and 150.39, 8.95, and 92.33 g m\(^{-3}\), respectively, for temple workers and temple goers with high and moderate exposures.

The suggested visiting frequencies for temple goers are recommended to be 300, 50, 40, and 20 yr\(^{-1}\), respectively, based on inhalation, ingestion, dermal contact, and overall routes considerations. The recommended maximum incense burning amount for three representative incense types of Taiwan yellow, Taiwan black, and aloe wood are suggested to be 0.74, 0.04, and 0.44 g m\(^{-3}\); 13.73, 0.81, and 8.16 g m\(^{-3}\); and 150.39, 8.95, and 92.33 g m\(^{-3}\), respectively, for temple workers and temple goers with high and moderate exposures.

Generally, variables in the numerator of the exposure models (Eqs. (1)–(3)) \((IR_a, IR_p, AF_d, RT, etc.)\) will tend to be positively correlated with risk, whereas variables in the denominator (body weight \((BW)\)) will tend to be negatively correlated with risk. Because temple workers spend much longer time in the temples, the variables with the greatest effect on risk are \(IR_a, IR_p,\) and \(AF_d\), followed by \(C_{B[a]P}\). For temple goers/workers, there are several risk management options, for example (i) to reduce the residence time; (ii) to visit temples with a better ventilation conditions, fewer censers, and a lower required number of joss sticks; (iii) to avoid worshipping on the 1st and 15th days and major religious festivals in each lunar month; (iv) to wear masks; and (v) to maintain the cleanness of the censers.

In fact, the population groups have different susceptibility for disease. Therefore, the potential risk induced from incense burning for specific subgroups (child, adolescent, and adult) should be taken into account in the future work, especially for child. In light of this aspect, different contact rates \((CR, e.g., CR = IR_{inh} through inhalation pathway), exposure durations \((ED),\) and subgroup body weights \((BW)\) should be taken into account in the estimates of lifetime average daily dose (LADD). A paradigm for consequent exposure group to the AI region are significantly higher than those to the BB and bb regions.
in residential home, the LADD_{inh} should be revised as,

\[
LADD_{inh} = \frac{C_a \cdot RT \cdot VF \cdot cf}{AT_c} \cdot \left( \frac{CR_{child} \cdot ED_{child}}{BW_{child}} + \frac{CR_{adolescent} \cdot ED_{adolescent}}{BW_{adolescent}} + \frac{CR_{adult} \cdot ED_{adult}}{BW_{adult}} \right),
\]

where the parameters of \( C_a, RT, VF, cf, \) and \( AT_c \) have been defined in Table 3.

The differences in \( CSFi \) for different routes of exposure are due in part to the different treatment procedures and to assumptions adopted on animal physiological parameters (e.g., surface area scaling and route-to-route extrapolation factors). The one of the used dermal \( CSFi \) is based on a gastrointestinal absorption (\( ABS_{GI} \)) factor of 0.31 [28]. It is consistent with the following conditions: (i) the critical study upon which the toxicity is based on employing an administered dose (e.g., delivery in diet or by gavages) in its study design and (ii) adjustment of the oral toxicity value is significant only when the \( ABS_{GI} \) is <50% [31].

Kameda et al. [32] and Liao and Chiang [14] have evaluated the actual human exposure by HRT model and ILCRs exposed to carcinogenic PAHs, the former estimated the B[a]P_{eq}-based ILCR from ambient using WHO unit risk, whereas the later used an integrate framework which combine U.S. EPA protocol and probabilistic risk assessment model to assess the B[a]P_{eq}-based ILCR. Recently, the resident home and church were investigated for human exposed to airborne pollutants from indoor activities [33–36], showing that the PAHs and/or PMs in smaller size fraction have significant potential toxicities, especially for ultrafine particles (UFPs). Ott and Siemann [37] monitored the p-PAH emitted from different activity indoors by concentration and active surface methods simultaneously. In the future, the mass concentration of exposure PAHs maybe replaced.

Fig. 6. Sensitivity analysis of inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact cancer risk models for (A) temple goers and (B) temple workers.
by number concentration and surface area of UFPs, those can be as ideal dosimetry for toxic exposure. Based on our results and literature evidence, Taiwan regulatory agencies should pay more attention to study UFP number concentrations and carcinogenic compositions in indoor environments and to establish the related criteria of indoor air quality.

We recognize limitations in each of our data sources, particularly the inherent problem of uncertainty and variability of the data. The strength of these results rests on the consistent agreement of mathematical models and public and regulatory authority’s guideline values. Our model can be used to assess the cumulative cancer risk easily for any temple exposure scenario. At the same time, the framework also encourages risk managers to establish the appropriate safe airborne PAHs guideline or to quantify rigorous health risk estimates for temple goers/workers.
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