Porphyrid–triarylamine conjugates: strong electronic communication between triarylamine redox centers via the porphyrin dication
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A set of porphyrin–triarylamine hybrids have been synthesized in good yield by Sonogashira palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions between the zinc complex of 5,15-diethynyl-10,20-dimesitylporphyrin and the appropriate iodophenylidiaminamines. The crystal structure of porphyrin 1 shows that the dihedral angle between the acetylene-bonded benzene rings and the porphyrin macrocycle is 20.0°. Such a structural characteristic enables effective electronic perturbations within the molecule. The electronic spectra are red-shifted and display a broad Soret band and an intense Q band relative to those of meso-substituted tetraarylporphyrins. These conjugates display four oxidations and one reduction. All the electrochemical reactions involve one-electron transfer. The first and second oxidations are reversible and can be assigned to the porphyrin-centered reactions. The third and fourth ones, separated by about 270 mV, correspond to the triarylamine units. The comproportionation constant (Kc) is calculated to be 3.67 × 104. The electron coupling between the triarylamine moieties, at a separation of >23 Å, is remarkably strong. The electrochemical results and the absorption spectra show that the electronic characteristics of these porphyrins can be significantly modulated by the triarylamine substituents via the conjugated carbon–carbon triple bond. Variations of the substituents on the triarylamines can fine-tune the electronic properties of these molecules.

Introduction

The preparation of new materials for molecular electronics and photonic devices is a rapidly developing field in recent years.1 Porphyrins are an appealing class of macromolecules due to their unique photophysical and electrochemical properties.2 Because the porphyrin framework is a rigid unit and has twelve sites for functionalization, it is possible to precisely control the properties of porphyrins by fine tuning the peripheral substituents. In meso-substituted tetraarylporphyrins, the electronic perturbation is only moderate since the aryl groups twist out of the porphyrin ring leading to interruption of the π-conjugation.3,4 Aromatic ring fusion on the pyrrolic subunits has been systematically investigated.5–7 In some cases such as naphthylene- and acenaphthylene-fused porphyrins, significant red shifts of the UV-Vis absorptions have been noted. To increase the electronic interaction between the substituents and the porphyrin ring, numerous examples of porphyrins with aryl groups linked via ethyne, ethylene, imine and azo bridges have been studied.8–10 The azo and ethyne bridges exhibit better conjugation than the imine and ethylene-linked porphyrins show twists across the bridging unit, resulting in reduced conjugation. In the multiporphyrin system, a variety of linkages such as ethyne, polynye, ethylene, alkyl and aromatic entities have been used to bridge the chromophores.11–19 It has been shown that acenaphthylene substituents are ideal linkers to enable strong interporphyrin electronic communication.20,21,22

Recently, numerous efforts have been devoted to the synthesis and studies of triarylamines because of their wide utility in hole transporting materials, dyes and polymers.23,24 Since triarylamine can be reversibly oxidized, and the intercalare charge-transfer bands are relatively intense and are separated from other bands, they have been used for studies of electron transfer reactions which are one of the fundamental processes in biology and chemistry.25–29 Although a wide variety of bridges such as aromatic rings and unsaturated carbon–carbon bonds have been used to link two triarylamine moieties, examples showing electronic coupling between the triarylamine units through a porphyrin π system have not yet been reported. In this paper, we describe the synthesis, structure and electrochemical properties of a series of triarylamine–porphyrin conjugates. The triarylamine moieties display unprecedented strong coupling via the diethynylporphyrin unit and the ethyne bridge provides enhanced electronic interaction between the porphyrin ring and the triarylamine substituents.

Results and discussion

Synthetic design

Several conjugated molecules comprised of two redox active groups and a porphyrin spacer have been synthesized.30–33 A key requirement for the spacer to be considered as a potential molecular wire is strong coupling between the redox active units.34,35 However, examples showing coupling between the two redox active sites are rare.31 We have designed a new class of triarylamine–porphyrin conjugates in which the porphyrin and triarylamine subunits are linked by a carbon–carbon triple bond (Scheme 1). This structural characteristic provides an extensive conjugation over the whole molecule and may result in strong coupling between the triarylamine units. The synthesis of compounds 1–3 relies on Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions and is based on the key building blocks, porphyrin 5 and the corresponding iodoles (Scheme 1).36 For comparison, the zinc complex of 5,15-bis(phenylethynyl)-10,20-dimesitylporphyrin (4) was also synthesized. The N,N-diaryl-N-(4-iodophenyl)amines were synthesized by amination of aniline with the corresponding aryl iodide, followed by iodination. The general cross-coupling conditions for the synthesis of porphyrin–triarylamine conjugates under various conditions using Pd(PPh3)4 or Pd(OAc)2 catalyst, CuI cocatalyst, and an alkyl amine as a base in THF or toluene led to a totally insoluble porphyrin solid formed by homocoupling of porphyrin 5. The desired product was isolated in a yield less than 5%. When the coupling reaction was performed in pyrroldine using Pd(PPh3)4 as the catalyst and CuI as the cocatalyst, the expected product was obtained in good yield without the formation of
the insoluble porphyrin solid. All the new compounds were well characterized by various spectroscopic methods.

Crystal structure

Crystals of 1 were grown from slow diffusion of methanol into the solution of 1 in a mixture of THF and pyridine. Fig. 1 shows the ORTEP diagram of compound 1. The structural analysis reveals that the metal center is four coordinate. The bond distances and angles in the porphyrin core are similar to those observed in the 5,10,15,20-tetraarylporphyrin system.\(^{37,38}\) The porphyrin framework is nearly planar, as indicated by the fact that the root-mean-square deviation of fitted atoms is 0.03 Å. The acetylene groups essentially lie within the mean plane of the porphyrin. The mesityl groups and the porphyrin plane are nearly orthogonal with a dihedral angle of 83.57°. Strong electronic interaction requires efficient \(\pi\)-orbital overlap and this can be examined by the dihedral angles between the aromatic rings. The dihedral angle between the acetylene-bonded benzene rings and the porphyrin macrocycle is 20.0°, which is larger by 3.4° than that in 5,15-bis[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]-10,20-diphenylporphyrin.\(^{38}\) Previous reports using molecular mechanics calculations showed that there is no barrier to rotation for the aromatic rings attached to the acetylene bridge in the \(meso\) arylethynyl-substituted porphyrins.\(^{9,39}\) The deviation from a coplanar arrangement in compound 1 may result from the crystal packing interactions.\(^{10,38}\) The carbon–carbon triple bonds (C(20)–C(21)) are unexceptional with a bond length of 1.203 Å, and the respective bond distances of C(21)–C(22) and C(10)–C(20) are 1.437 and 1.436 Å, indicating that there is no cumulenic character in this compound. The \(-C\equiv C-\) chain slightly deviates from linearity, with bond angles at C(20) and C(21) of 177.53 and 173.74°, respectively. In the triarylamine units, the dihedral angles between the benzene rings and the plane defined by N-bonded carbon atoms (C(25), C(28) and C(34)) are in the range from 31.64 to 46.88°, which are comparable to those of triphenylamine.\(^{40}\)

Electronic absorption

The electronic interaction between the triarylamine and porphyrin macrocycle can be investigated by UV-Vis absorption spectra, for example, the peak broadening, peak shifts and changes in the oscillator strength of absorptions.\(^{10,38}\) The absorption spectra of these conjugates are very different from the sum of their respective components: porphyrin 5 and the corresponding triarylamines. As an example, Fig. 2 shows the UV-Vis spectra of 1 (---, conc. = 4.84 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) M), 5 (---, conc. = 4.84 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) M), and triphenylamine (···, conc. = 9.68 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) M) in THF.
of compounds 1 and 5, and triphenylamine. The attachment of triphenylamine units to the porphyrin via the carbon–carbon triple bond leads to significant perturbation of the ground-state properties of the porphyrin ring. The electronic absorption data for porphyrins 1–4 are summarized in Table 1. In the porphyrin–triarylamine conjugates both the Soret and Q bands show a large red shift, indicating a decreased energy gap between the HOMO and LUMO orbitals as a consequence of the expanded π-conjugation. \( K_{\text{c}} \) of 3.67 \( \times 10^4 \) is obtained, indicative of strong coupling between the redox centers. It should be noted that the coupling between the triarylamine units is not through the neutral diethynyl Zn(II) porphyrin but through its dicationic form because the porphyrin ring is oxidized prior to the triarylamines.

The nature of the substituents on the terminal phenyls was reflected by the redox potentials of these dyads. It is expected that stepwise one-electron oxidations for the two triarylamine units can be observed due to electronic communication. The electrochemistry of these compounds was examined by cyclic voltammetry. As an example, Fig. 3 shows the anodic cyclic voltammograms for compound 1 in benzonitrile. All the electrochemical reactions involve one-electron transfer judging from the magnitude of the waves. Since arylamines are electron-donating groups, the first two oxidation reactions corresponding to the porphyrin ring center at potentials of \( E_{1/2}(\text{ox1}) = +0.74 \) and \( E_{1/2}(\text{ox2}) = +0.98 \) V, are significantly shifted as compared to those of compound 4 (Table 2). The triarylamine centers show two or more less reversible oxidations at potentials of \( E_{1/2}(\text{ox3}) = +1.23 \) and \( E_{1/2}(\text{ox4}) = +1.50 \). From the separation of the redox potentials, a comproportionation constant \( (K_i) \) of 3.67 \( \times 10^4 \) is obtained, indicative of strong coupling between the redox centers. It should be noted that the magnitude of substituent effects depends on a number of parameters such as the number, nature
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**Table 1** Summary of electronic spectra for compounds 1–4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>FWHM* (nm)</th>
<th>Oscillator strength (cm^−1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1346 (464)</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1918 (468)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1278 (469)</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>740 (447)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Spectral width of the B band at half the height of \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \). * Oscillator strengths calculated over the region from 360 to 550 nm.

**Table 2** Electrochemical data for compounds 1–4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( E_{1/2}(\text{ox1}) )</th>
<th>( E_{1/2}(\text{ox2}) )</th>
<th>( E_{1/2}(\text{ox3}) )</th>
<th>( E_{1/2}(\text{ox4}) )</th>
<th>( E_{1/2}(\text{red1}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>−1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>−1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>−1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Potentials (V) are reported vs. Ag/AgCl (saturated) and referenced to the ferrocene–ferrocenium (Fc/Fc') couple which occurs at \( E_{1/2} = +0.54 \) V vs. Ag/AgCl (saturated). The anodic and cathodic redox data are obtained in benzonitrile and THF, respectively. * Quasi-reversible reaction. * Irreversible reaction.
and location of substituents. Generally, attachment of electron-releasing groups to the porphyrin core facilitates oxidation and retards reduction of the porphyrin ring. In contrast, the addition of electron-withdrawing groups to the macrocycle shifts both the oxidation and reduction to a positive direction. For example, Benzonitrile used for electrochemistry was degassed with nitrogen for 10 min. Then the solvent was evaporated to dryness in vacuum, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using CHCl₃/CH₃OH (1:1) as the eluent. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a pure dark green solid (24 mg, 70%).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we report the first examples of porphyrin–triarylamine conjugates in which the triarylamine redox centers are positioned at a fixed distance by a porphyrin linker with a well-defined geometry. Red shifts and peak broadening of the absorption spectra are indicative of electronic coupling between the chromophores. Electrochemical data show that there is well-defined geometry. Red shifts and peak broadening of the absorption spectra are indicative of electronic coupling between the chromophores. The reproducibility of individual potential values was within ±5 mV. The spectroelectrochemical experiments were accomplished with the use of a 1 mm cuvette, a 100 mesh platinum gauze as working electrode, a platinum wire as auxiliary electrode, and an Ag/AgCl (saturated) reference electrode.

Experimental

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Benzonitrile used for electrochemistry was dried over CaH₂ and freshly distilled prior to use. Tetra-n-butylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) was recrystallized twice from ethyl acetate and further dried under vacuum. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 900 series spectrophotometer. ESI-MS mass spectra were obtained with a Finnigan MAT LCQ spectrometer operating in the positive ion detection mode. Electrochemistry was performed with a three-electrode potentiotstat (CH Instruments, Model 750A) in benzonitrile or THF which were deoxygenated by purging with prepurified nitrogen gas. Cyclic voltammetry was conducted with the use of a home-made three-electrode cell equipped with a BAS glassy carbon (0.07 cm²) or platinum (0.02 cm²) disk as the working electrode, a platinum wire as the auxiliary electrode, and a home-made Ag/AgCl (saturated) reference electrode. The reference electrode is separated from the bulk solution by a double junction filled with electrolyte solution. Potentials are reported vs. Ag/AgCl (saturated) and referenced to the ferrocene–ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺) couple which occurs at E°Fc/Fc⁺ = +0.46 V vs. Ag/AgCl (saturated). The working electrode was polished with 0.03 µm alumina on Buehler felt pads and was subjected to ultrasound for 1 min prior to each experiment.

(5.15-Bis(4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenylethynyl)-10,20-dimetylporphyrinate)(zinc)(i) (1)

A mixture of N,N-diphenyl-N-(4-iodophenyl)amine (23 mg, 0.062 mmol) and porphyrin 5 (20 mg, 0.030 mmol) in dry pyridoline (15 mL) was degassed with nitrogen for 10 min. Then Pd(PPh₃)₄ (7 mg, 0.006 mmol) and Cu (1 mg, 0.006 mmol) were added. The solution was further degassed for another 10 min. Before the solvent was evaporated to dryness in vacuum, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using CHCl₃/CH₃OH (1:1) as the eluent. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a pure dark green solid (24 mg, 70%).

(5.15-Bis(4-(N,N-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)phenylethynyl)-10,20-dimetylporphyrinate)(zinc)(i) (2)

This compound was prepared from 5 and N,N-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-N-(4-iodophenyl)amine by employing a procedure similar to that for compound 1 (yield: 71%).

(5.15-Bis(4-(N,N-bis(4-chlorophenyl)phenylethynyl)-10,20-dimetylporphyrinate)(zinc)(i) (3)

This compound was prepared from 5 and N,N-bis(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(4-iodophenyl)amine by employing a procedure similar to that for compound 1 (yield: 65%).

(5.15-Bis(phenylethynyl)-10,20-dimetylporphyrinate)(zinc)(i) (4)

This compound was prepared from 5 and iodobenzene by employing a procedure similar to that for compound 1 (yield: 68%).

Fig. 4 Spectral changes of compound 2 in benzonitrile (0.1 M TBAP) at applied potentials of (a) +0.30, (b) +0.75 and (c) +0.90 V.
X-Ray crystallographic study

Crystals were grown from slow diffusion of methanol in a solution of I in a mixture of THF and pyridine. Crystal data for I, C_{12}H_{16}N: M_r = 223.77, triclinic, space group P1, T = 150(2) K, a = 7.0958(4), b = 14.3751(8), c = 15.7008(10) Å, α = 102.7998(3), β = 93.9724(4), γ = 94.0864(8), V = 1551.80(16) Å³, Z = 1, μ = 0.451 mm⁻¹, Dc = 1.310 g cm⁻³. 15547 reflections collected, 5456 independent, R = 0.0553, final residuals R1 = 0.0503, wR2 = 0.1226 [I > 2σ(I)]; R1 = 0.0768, wR2 = 0.1396 (all data). The chosen crystal was mounted on a glass fiber. Data collection was carried out on a NONIUS KappaCCD diffractometer with Mo radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Cell parameters were retrieved and refined using DENZO-SMN software on all observed reflections.39 Data reduction was performed with the DENZO-SMN software.39 An empirical absorption was based on the symmetry-equivalent reflections and absorption corrections were applied with the SORVAT program.44 All the structures were solved by using the SHELXLS-97th and refined with SHELXL-97 by full-matrix least-squares on F² values. Hydrogen atoms were fixed at calculated positions and refined using a riding mode.

CCDC reference number 251070.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b4/b417350c/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.
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