Platinum- and iron-doubly promoted tungstated zirconia catalyst for n-butane isomerization reaction
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Abstract

The catalysis of n-butane isomerization over iron-promoted tungstated zirconia (F1.2WZ) and platinum–iron-promoted tungstated zirconia (P/F1.2WZ) catalysts was studied and correlated to the catalyst characterization results. In the singly promoted F1.2WZ catalyst, we suggest that the iron species promotes the n-butane isomerization reaction through a redox effect. The formation of W–O–Fe linkages optimized the surface reduction of WOx, thereby facilitating the formation of Bronsted acid sites necessary for the generation of carbenium ion. In addition, Fe3+ itself can also act as a redox site. In the case of the doubly promoted P/F1.2WZ catalyst, its catalytic activity is much higher than the sum of the singly promoted P/WZ and F1.2WZ catalysts. The exceptionally high activity of P/F1.2WZ catalyst in n-butane isomerization reaction is explained by a cooperative effect. The diffusion of activated n-butane species stabilized by iron promoter to the Brønsted acid sites created by platinum promoter will be improved as both promoters are in close proximity to each other. The interaction between iron promoter and n-butane or its activated species is shown by the influence of iron on the propane selectivity of the reaction. In addition, the close proximity of platinum and iron promoters in P/F1.2WZ catalyst is shown by TPR. The location and nature of iron promoter on WZ were also characterized. Results revealed that the iron promoter is located on the surface of F1.2WZ catalyst. XANES, EXAFS, and EPR studies suggest that the iron promoter exists as highly dispersed Fe3+ species either bound to WOx surface or located at the surface vacant sites of zirconia. In addition, EPR study indicates the presence of fine α-Fe2O3 clusters on the surface of catalyst; the TPR peak at 421 °C is probably due to Fe–O–Fe of this species.
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1. Introduction

Many research groups have continued to study the properties of oxide-based strong acids in an attempt to replace the conventional acid catalysts such as liquid acids and halide-containing solids which have caused serious environmental concerns. Among strong solid acids, sulfated zirconia (SZ) and tungstated zirconia, WOx/ZrO2 (WZ), have shown promising catalytic behavior, and thus have received significant attention from the catalytic community. However, the long-term stability of the zirconia-supported sulfate species in SZ has cast serious doubt on its applications. The alternative to SZ, the more stable WZ catalyst, has become increasingly important since its discovery by Hino and Arata [1]. Later, its strong acidic properties were also demonstrated by several authors with the isomerization reactions of normal alkanes such as butane [2], pentane [3,4], and hexane [5,6].

Kuba et al. [7] has proposed a non-catalytic redox process for the activation of n-pentane on WZ. This process involves a homolytic C–H bond cleavage reaction on WOx, followed by one-electron transfer steps that yield surface W5+ ions, OH groups, and carbenium ions. Carbenium ion then acts as a chain carrier in the catalytic cycle of isomerization reaction. The carbenium ion could also act as an initiator for
C–H bond dissociation. Thus, the redox property of \(W^{6+}\) in \(WO_x\) is thought to play a crucial role in this initiation process of \(n\)-pentane isomerization reaction.

Since the catalytic activity of WZ is much lower than SZ, studies on WZ have widely focused on promoted catalysts. It is known that platinum is the best promoter for WZ in \(n\)-alkane isomerization reaction. The addition of platinum to WZ and hydrogen to the feed drastically improves the catalytic activity, stability and selectivity of iso-alkane. According to Barton et al. [8], hydrogen dissociates on platinum and facilitates the reduction of \(WO_x\) surfaces. The promotional effect of platinum may be two-fold: (a) creating Bronsted acid site on \(WO_x\), which is important for the formation of carbenium ion from alkene, leading subsequently to isomerization reaction and (b) enhancing the desorption of carbenium species as product, thus minimizing coke formation on \(WO_x\) surface.

When a second promoter such as iron, aluminum or gallium is present, the performance of this doubly promoted catalyst shows further improvement in catalytic activity, stability and selectivity of iso-alkane over the singly promoted catalyst in \(n\)-alkane isomerization reaction. However, the role of the additional promoter still remains unresolved. Based on the characterization and catalytic results, several propositions have been made on the promotional effect of iron [9,10]: (a) ensemble effect, which stabilizes the platinum particles in a highly dispersed state; (b) diffusional effect, which facilitates the migration of activated molecules from platinum to the acid sites; (c) redox effect which enhances the reducibility of \(W^{6+}\) to \(W^{5+}\) in \(WO_x\). A promoter may promote the reaction through a combination of these effects. The action of gallium promoter may be explained by a redox effect and the aluminum promoter by an acidity effect [11–13].

In the study of iron-promoted WZ catalyst, the nature and location of iron promoter in the catalyst are of great interest. However, relatively few studies on the nature and location of iron promoter on WZ have been reported so far [9,14]. Nonetheless, there is a diversity of opinions. Táborá and Davis [15] suggested that iron did not substitute in the octahedra. Okamoto et al. [17] pointed out that iron is occupying substitutional positions in the first surface layer of zirconia with a distorted octahedral coordination for \(Fe^{3+}\). Unfortunately, no catalytic data were provided to correlate the significance of their characterization results.

In this paper, we have prepared WZ catalysts promoted with platinum, iron, or both. They were studied by various characterization techniques and \(n\)-butane isomerization reaction. Our main purpose is to correlate the characterization and catalytic results. In addition, new catalytic evidences supporting the surface nature of iron promoter are provided. In order to locate the iron promoter on WZ and to understand its effect in \(n\)-butane isomerization reaction, we resort to various characterization techniques such as electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) and temperature-programmed reduction (TPR). EPR gives one the spin state of iron and tungsten. EXAFS spectra were taken at iron, tungsten, and zirconium centers in order to study the radial distribution of their neighbors. On the other hand, TPR can give us information on the nature of iron promoter and also on the strength of interaction between the promoter and its surrounding atoms.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis

WZ catalysts were prepared by calcination of commercially available WZ precursor (MEL chemicals) at 800 °C in static air for 3 h. The heating rate from room temperature to 800 °C was 5 °C min\(^{-1}\). Iron-promoted WZ (FWZ) catalyst was prepared by impregnating WZ precursor with ferric nitrate nonahydrate before calcination at 800 °C. Platinum-promoted WZ (P/WZ) and FWZ (P/FWZ) catalysts were prepared by impregnating platinum tetrachloride on WZ and FWZ, respectively, followed by calcination at 500 °C in static air for 3 h.

For comparison purposes, a separate catalyst was also prepared by simultaneous impregnation of ferric nitrate nonahydrate and platinum tetrachloride on WZ, and then calcined at 500 °C in static air for 3 h to give PF/WZ catalyst. It should be noted here that ferric nitrate nonahydrate was introduced on WZ in PF/WZ, whereas it was introduced on WZ precursor in P/FWZ.

2.2. Characterization techniques

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on powdered samples with a Scintag X1 diffractometer using Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption data were obtained at –196 °C on a Micromeritics ASAP 2000 apparatus and were analyzed with BET equation for surface area determination. Elemental composition was analyzed with a simultaneous ICP-AES allied analytical system (Jarrel-Ash, Model ICAP 9000). Carbon analysis was done with a Heraeus VarioEL-III instrument.

A Bruker EMX EPR spectrometer (X-band) was employed to measure the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra of the sample. The spectrometer is equipped with a variable temperature controller to record the
3. Results

3.1. General characterization

The catalysts contained 11–13 wt. % W metal, and these loadings are close to the optimum loading for best performing catalysts in isomerization reaction [3, 8, 20]. When platinum is employed, its loading is fixed at 0.4–0.5 wt. % Pt. For iron-promoted catalysts, two iron loadings were used (0.3 and 1.2 wt. % Fe) and they were indicated as subscripts in the catalyst notation. Structural determination of WZ by XRD showed that it contained primarily tetragonal zirconia. The peaks due to tungsten oxide were also observed at such high loadings of tungsten [13]. The surface areas of the catalysts are between 68 and 71 m² g⁻¹.

3.2. Catalytic study

Table 1 summarizes the initial catalytic performance, in terms of catalytic activity number (TON), of representative catalysts in the isomerization reaction of n-butane at 300 °C. Steady state performance followed a similar trend. It is important to note that F₁.₂WZ has higher activity than WZ catalyst and that platinum is the best promoter so far. The doubly promoted P/F₁.₂WZ catalyst is the best performing catalyst and its activity is even higher than the sum of each individually promoted catalyst. Interestingly, there is a distinct difference in activity between P/F₀.₃WZ and P/F₀.₃/WZ. P/F₀.₃/WZ catalyst shows much lower activity than P/F₀.₃/WZ.

We have also analyzed the cracking product of this reaction for catalysts shown in Table 1. We found that the amount of propane in the reaction product increased when iron promoter is present on WZ catalyst. The relative molar yield of CH₄:C₂H₆:C₃H₈ varies with iron content as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 compares the activity profiles of F₁.₂WZ catalyst in n-butane isomerization reaction after three repeated catalytic cycles. The profiles for fresh P/WZ and P/F₁.₂WZ catalysts are also included for comparison and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts¹</th>
<th>TON¹² (µmol g⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Selectivity¹² (%)</th>
<th>CH4:C₂H₆:C₃H₈ (molar ratio)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WZ</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₁.₂WZ</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/WZ</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>1.0:1.0:1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/F₀.₃WZ</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>1.0:1.0:1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/F₀.₃/WZ</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/F₁.₂WZ</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>1.0:1.1:2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Fresh catalysts; their notations are described in Section 2.
² Data taken after 5 min on stream at 300 °C.
³ Turnover number, as described in Section 2.
⁴ Selectivity of isobutane.
one can see that they have higher catalytic activities. An interesting observation is the drastic increase in activity for the second catalytic cycle relative to the first. On the other hand, the second and third cycles do not show such discrepancy in activity. We found that this activity jump is higher in F1.2WZ catalyst (144%) than in WZ catalyst (60%).

3.3. TPO study

In order to find out the degree of coking in catalytic reaction, we compare the TPO profiles of P/WZ and P/F1.2WZ catalysts after n-butane isomerization reaction at 350 °C (Fig. 2). From the weight loss stages of both catalysts, we can see that most of the coke formed during the reaction can be burnt off before 500 °C (~0.5 wt.%). However, distinct differences were also observed between these two catalysts. The profile of P/F1.2WZ catalyst reaches a plateau at ~450 °C and is followed by a weight gain stage, as opposed to continuous weight loss in the case of P/WZ.

3.4. TPR study

We next investigated the redox properties of the catalyst by temperature-programmed reduction (TPR). Fig. 3 compares the reduction behavior of both platinum-free and platinum-promoted WZ and F1.2WZ catalysts. All profiles showed a broad and intense peak centered at 760–800 °C.
due to the reduction of WO₃ supported on zirconia [8]. The influence of promoter on the profile of WZ is described below:

(i) The effect of iron promoter on WZ can be seen by comparing the reduction profiles of WZ and F₁₂WZ catalysts. Three reduction peaks can be seen at 421, ~640, and ~850 °C on F₁₂WZ (Fig. 3a). However, only a very small peak is observed in WZ at 383 °C (Fig. 3b), which may be due to the presence of a small amount of Fe³⁺ impurities in the sample.

(ii) The effect of platinum promoter on WZ can be seen by comparing the reduction profiles of P/WZ (Fig. 3d) and WZ catalysts. In the case of P/WZ, reduction of WZ starts at a much lower temperature than WZ. Continuous uptake of hydrogen begins even at ~200 °C. This observation is consistent with the idea that platinum helps in the reduction of WO₃ by generating spillover hydrogen at room temperature [21]. At low temperatures, WO₃ supported on zirconia can undergo slight reduction by delocalizing net negative charge over several W atoms. Further reduction of WO₃ in P/WZ catalyst appeared at 651 °C besides the loss at 800 °C.

(iii) The effect of iron promoter on P/WZ catalyst is examined by comparing the reduction profiles of P/F₁₂WZ (Fig. 3c) and P/WZ catalysts. The uptake of hydrogen in the low temperature region of P/F₁₂WZ is not as high as that of P/WZ. This phenomenon indicates that there is some interaction between platinum and iron promoters in P/F₁₂WZ. Another indication of such interaction is the disappearance of the peak at 421 °C seen in F₁₂WZ catalyst. This kind of interaction seems to have an effect on the hydrogen chemisorption and/or dissociation properties of platinum.

We should mention here that no distinct peak due to platinum reduction was observed in our platinum-loaded catalysts. Platinum reduction usually occurs at a temperature between 100 and 200 °C [6]. Possibly, its reduction temperature is well below 100 °C in our case and therefore was not detected.

3.5. EPR study

We next focus on the effect of iron on the electronic state in F₁₂WZ by using EPR technique. Fig. 4 shows the EPR spectra of F₁₂WZ before and after reduction with hydrogen at 300 °C for 2 h. In Fig. 4a, two strong EPR signals appeared at $g_\perp \sim 4.26$ (signal A) and 2.04 (signal B). Although the sample has been exposed briefly to air oxidation during the sample transfer process, the reduced species can still be identified. In the expanded spectra (Fig. 4b), two additional signals ($g_\perp = 1.89$ for C and 1.96 for D) are also prominent. The latter two signals are not observed in the spectrum of the sample before reduction.
Another interesting observation is the increased proportion of signal A after this reduction/oxidation process.

3.6. XANES and EXAFS studies

In our XANES study, the Fe–K-edge absorption energy of F1.2WZ catalyst was determined from the first derivative of its X-ray absorption spectrum. The absorption edge energy for $1s \rightarrow 4p$ transition was found to occur at 7127 eV and is the same as $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ standard. The same energy value was also reported for other Fe$_2$O$_3$-containing compounds [22]. This result confirms that the iron promoter in F1.2WZ catalyst exists in the Fe$^{3+}$ state after calcination at 800 °C.

We have also measured the EXAFS spectrum of our F1.2WZ catalyst at the absorption edge of Fe, W and Zr so that the coordination environment around these centers can be understood. The important observations from these studies are described below:

(i) Fig. 5a compares the Fe–K-edge EXAFS RDF plots of F1.2WZ and bulk $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The first and second peaks in the Fe–O–Fe region of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ correspond to edge- and vertex-sharing Fe–O–Fe, respectively. The F1.2WZ plot has two main peaks at about 1.6 and 3.3 Å (not phase-shift corrected), and have been assigned to Fe–O and Fe–O–Zr bondings, respectively [16,18,23]. The small peak at 2.67 Å may be due to Fe$_2$O$_3$. A shoulder at 2.7 Å in the EXAFS spectrum of Carrier et al. [18] was also assigned to Fe$_2$O$_3$ clusters. Furthermore, the peak near 4 Å could be due to Fe–O–W, but its broadness indicates contribution from other materials also.

(ii) Fig. 5b compares the W–LII,III-edge EXAFS RDF plots of WZ, F1.2WZ, and bulk WO$_3$. Generally, the spectral feature of WO$_x$ in WZ is similar to bulk WO$_3$ except for a significant shift in the bond distance of the last peak. This peak corresponds to the W–O–W bond distance [24], and the peak shift is reasonably attributed to the interaction between WO$_x$ and the zirconia support. Most importantly, an additional peak (W–O–Fe) appeared at a distance of nearly 4 Å (not phase-shift corrected) in F1.2WZ and this is not found in WZ. Also, no broadening of the W–O–W peak was observed in F1.2WZ relative to WZ.

(iii) The Zr–K-edge EXAFS RDF plots of F1.2WZ and WZ are very similar (figure not shown). With reference to WZ, no broadening of the Zr–O–Zr peak at 3.2 Å (not phase-shift corrected) was observed in F1.2WZ.

4. Discussion

4.1. Possible reaction pathways and effect of promoters in n-butane isomerization reaction over WZ

Butane isomerization over WZ can be viewed as a surface chain reaction comprising initiation, propagation, and
termination steps [25]. In this paper, we are interested in the initiation process, which may be promoted by metals. We will not discuss the termination due to hydride transfer or coking. Many reaction mechanisms have been proposed for the initiation and propagation processes. The bimolecular mechanism shown below is a simplified version of that used to describe n-butane isomerization over H-mordenites [26]:

\[
\begin{align*}
  n\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10} &\rightarrow C_4\text{H}_8^+ + \text{H}^- \\
  \text{C}_4\text{H}_8^+ &\rightarrow C_4\text{H}_8 + \text{H}^+ \\
  \text{H}^+ + \text{H}^- &\rightarrow \text{H}_2 \\
  \text{C}_4\text{H}_8 + \text{H}^+ &\rightarrow C_4\text{H}_9^+ \\
  C_4\text{H}_9^+ + C_4\text{H}_8 &\rightarrow C_4\text{H}_{17}^+ \rightarrow i-C_4\text{H}_{10}
\end{align*}
\]

(1)–(5)

We should mention that the initiation process for \( n\)-(butane (Eq. (1)) is still a matter of speculation, however, either a hydride abstraction process (Eq. (1)) or protonation on the \( C_4\text{H}_8 \) (as impurity) will give \( C_4\text{H}_9^+ \). As we have described in the introduction section, the Brønsted acid site (Eq. (4)) is created by a slight hydrogen reduction of \( \text{WO}_3 \) through delocalization of negative charge in \( \text{WO}_4 \) domains. This reduction process may be facilitated by platinum promoter. In addition, platinum will also increase the rate of hydrogenolysis of reactant and product. It is also possible that iron promoter itself plays the role of redox site for the generation of \( \text{H}^+ \) on \( \text{WO}_x \) surface (see Section 4.3).

We shall discuss our catalytic and characterization results in correlation to this simple mechanism; some new ideas on promotional effect are also presented. Of course, the detailed mechanism will be more complex; we need here, however, only the simple skeleton to identify the roles of promoters.

4.2. General catalytic observation

Generally, our catalytic data conform to the well-known promotional effect of both platinum and iron [4,27]. This is evident by comparing the isomerization activity of P/WZ or \( \text{F}_{1.2}\text{WZ} \) with WZ. Surprisingly, Scheithauer et al. [14] found no promotional effect of manganese and iron on WZ. The authors ascribed this behavior to the loss of manganese and iron promoters via the formation of the respective tungstate. Interestingly, we have found that the activity of our doubly promoted catalyst (Pt and Fe) can change according to the method of catalyst preparation (Section 3.2). Here, the iron source is impregnated on WZ in \( \text{F}_{0.3}\text{WZ} \) and the iron source is bound to the hydroxide precursor of WZ in \( \text{F}_{0.3}\text{WZ} \). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the iron promoter in the latter case is more strongly anchored onto WZ surface than the former. Not surprisingly, \( \text{P/F}_{0.3}\text{WZ} \) has higher catalytic activity than \( \text{P/F}_{0.3}\text{WZ} \) in \( n\)-butane isomerization reaction. This result showed that the presence of a minimal amount of weakly anchored iron promoter on the surface of a low surface area catalyst like \( \text{P/F}_{0.3}\text{WZ} \) can lead to a lower catalytic activity. One possible explanation for this phenomenon is the formation of some alloy-like compound between iron and platinum during catalyst preparation or pretreatment stages. This finding demonstrates again how a minor variation of the preparation procedure of a catalyst can affect its catalytic performance.

4.3. Promotional effect of iron

Previously, Knözinger and coworkers have inferred that the promotional effect of Pt in \( n\)-pentane isomerization over P/WZ under hydrogen environment is due to the dissociative \( H_2 \) chemisorption on platinum [9]. The presence of platinum facilitates the reduction of surface \( W^{6+} \), which is important for the isomerization reaction. The promotional effect of iron in the singly promoted \( \text{F}_{1.2}\text{WZ} \) catalyst may also be explained by the above redox effect.

To explain how a strong interaction between iron promoter and WZ can promote the catalytic reaction, we first compared the W–O–W bond distance of bulk \( \text{WO}_3 \) and \( \text{WO}_x \) in WZ. One can see from the W–LII,III-edge EXAFS RDF plots that the W–O–W distance of \( \text{WO}_3 \) in WZ is much shorter than that of \( \text{WO}_3 \). Therefore, interaction between \( \text{WO}_x \) and zirconia can cause severe distortion of \( \text{WO}_x \) lattice, possibly by changing the W–O–W bond angle. This phenomenon will lead to great strain in W–O–W bond and will increase \( \text{WO}_x \) reducibility. However, one must not forget the stabilization effect of the zirconia support through interaction between \( \text{WO}_x \) and zirconia lattice. We think that the resultant effect of W–O–W bond strain and stabilization by the support in \( \text{WO}_x \) is to control the degree of its reduction to occur just on the surface layer with the formation of \( W^{5+} \) and Brønsted acid sites at the required temperature range for the isomerization reaction. We can see that the surface of \( \text{WO}_x \) can be reduced by hydrogen at a temperature as low as 200 °C [7].

In \( \text{F}_{1.2}\text{WZ} \), its W–O–W bond length is even shorter (although slightly) than that of WZ. Here, the iron promoter interacts directly with \( \text{WO}_x \) with the formation of W–O–Fe linkages. This leads us to suggest that the formation of W–O–Fe linkages helps to optimize the resultant effect mentioned above. It is probable that the peak (∼690 °C), which appears before the main reduction peak of \( \text{WO}_x \) in the TPR profile of \( \text{F}_{1.2}\text{WZ} \) is due to this effect. In addition, we have observed EPR signal corresponds to \( W^{5+} \) in the reduction of \( \text{F}_{1.2}\text{WZ} \) by hydrogen at 300 °C (reaction temperature) with \( g_{\perp} \) at 1.89 (and maybe \( g_{\parallel} \) at 1.96) [7].

As the iron promoter is present as highly dispersed species on the surface of \( \text{WO}_x \) in \( \text{F}_{1.2}\text{WZ} \) catalyst (see Section 4.5), a large number of surface W–O–Fe linkages will influence greatly the surface structure of \( \text{WO}_x \) and made its reduction more effective. If the iron promoter were a bulk species, then any interaction between iron and the surrounding may not have much impact on catalytic performance, since catalysis is a surface phenomenon.
Another possible function of iron promoter is to act as a redox site for the generation of Brønsted acid site from hydrogen species produced by hydrogen or n-butane dissociation:

\[ \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}^* \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}^+ \quad (6) \]

Kuba et al. [7] has suggested that n-pentane activation on WZ proceeds via homolytic C–H bond cleavage (see Section 1). Under the influence of iron, n-butane might do the same thing in F1.2WZ and the n-butyl radical generated as a consequence of this mechanism can undergo interaction with Fe\(^{3+}\) (see Section 4.4). The presence of Fe\(^{2+}\) is suggested by our TPO results.

In summary, the iron promoter may play multiple roles in n-butane isomerization reaction and a clear view requires further study.

### 4.4. Cooperative effect of iron and platinum

In the doubly promoted P/F1.2WZ catalyst, there may be an additional cooperative role of iron promoter besides the one mentioned above. This is because the catalytic performance of this doubly promoted catalyst is much higher than the sum of the corresponding individually promoted catalyst (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The cooperative roles of platinum and iron promoters act in two ways: that is, through platinum–iron interaction and through their close proximity to each other.

We have seen in the TPR results that the low temperature reduction of WO\(_x\) in P/WZ is suppressed by iron in P/F1.2WZ. The hydrogen adsorption and therefore hydrogenolysis capability of platinum may be suppressed by the interaction with iron. As the platinum in P/FWZ catalyst normally exists in a highly dispersed state, the suppression of its hydrogenolysis capability would have improved the selectivity of the isomerization product as shown in Table 1 [8]. Otherwise, hydrogenolysis of reactant and product on the highly dispersed platinum may be too serious. Therefore, platinum–iron interaction may help to check the hydrogenolysis effect of the highly dispersed platinum particles so that the selectivity of the isomerized product is not much affected compared to the reference P/WZ. We can see that the isobutane selectivity of our P/F1.2WZ catalyst is still very high, even though it has high catalytic activity.

To explain the exceptionally high activity of P/F1.2WZ catalyst, we suggest that a close proximity of platinum to iron promoters may help the diffusion of the intermediate formed after n-butane activation to the acid sites created by platinum. In the study of Lukinskas et al. [9], the iron promoter was also suggested to help the diffusion of activated hydrogenolysis products from platinum to the Bronsted acid sites, leading to improved selectivity of isomers. In our case, the iron promoter may first stabilize the butyl radical produced after n-butane activation on WO\(_x\) and may form a reservoir of this species. This kind of interaction may involve a Fe\(^{3+}/Fe^{2+}\) redox couple, as described by Lukinskas et al. [9]. Dissociation of this radical species will release butene to the acid sites for the generation of C\(_8^+\) (a secondary intermediate well-known for this reaction; see Eqs. (4) and (5)). Obviously, the consumption of butene will promote further activation of n-butane and the cycle is repeated. A possible indication of the interaction between butyl radical and iron promoter comes from the selectivity of cracking products in n-butane isomerization. We can see that the propane yield increases significantly with iron content and this may indicate the cracking of butyl radical on iron promoter sites with the formation of iron carbide. However, we cannot say unambiguously that the weight loss observed in our TPO profile of the used P/F1.2WZ catalyst is due to the formation of iron carbide. Further study on this problem is needed.

### 4.5. Location and nature of iron promoter on fresh FWZ

The promotional effect of platinum and iron mentioned in Sections 4.3 and 4.4 required that they are present on the surface of WZ. Besides, Section 4.2 suggests a strong interaction of iron and WZ. Thus, we also try to locate the site of iron promoter in F1.2WZ and to determine its nature. The location of platinum promoter is not discussed in this paper but it is generally shown to be on the surface of WZ [8].

In the study of Yamamoto et al. [16] regarding iron-promoted sulfated zirconia (FMSZ) catalysts, they found in their Fe–K-edge EXAFS RDF plot a peak due to oxygen neighbors at around 1.6 Å, and another peak at 3.3 Å due to zirconium neighbors. No peak due to iron neighbors was observed. Yamamoto et al. [16] finally concluded that the iron promoter is dispersed in the bulk of the zirconia, and that the iron atom does not participate directly in n-butane isomerization reaction. In our study on F1.2WZ catalysts, the iron promoter was impregnated onto the surface of the hydroxide of WZ and not on the surface of zirconium hydroxide as in the case of FMSZ. Therefore, we suggest that the iron promoter of our F1.2WZ catalyst is found on the surface of WZ and not in the bulk of zirconia. We provide three supporting arguments for our proposition, as listed below:

1. A new peak was observed at nearly 4 Å in our F1.2WZ W–I–II,III-edge EXAFS RDF plot, which occurs at a bond distance much longer than that for W–O–W. It could only be due to a terminal bonded iron species on WO\(_x\) of WZ (W–O–Fe). A terminal bonded Al\(^{3+}\) species on WZ was also observed at a similar bond distance [13]. Besides, there is no indication of iron substitution into the WO\(_x\) structure of F1.2WZ catalyst, since no broadening of W–O–W peak was observed relative to that of WZ. In addition, this iron species should remain on the surface under the reaction condition, since 300 °C is too low for iron dissolution into the bulk structure.
(ii) The addition of iron promoter on WZ increases the molar yield of propane in the cracking product. This catalytic result further strengthens the idea that the iron promoter is a surface and not a bulk species. The TPO result seems to indicate the reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ and it can be re-oxidized by air, as shown by the weight gain in P/F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst at temperature beyond 500 °C.

(iii) The iron promoter is sensitive to surface chemisorbed water as revealed by the activity jump from first (with fresh catalyst) to second (with regenerated catalyst) catalytic cycle. Higher activity is recorded for regenerated catalyst, since it has been subjected to higher temperature (450 °C during catalyst regeneration) prior to reaction as compared to the fresh catalyst (300 °C during catalyst pretreatment); hence, less chemisorbed water is present on the catalyst surface of this catalyst before the reaction starts. In fact, a fresh catalyst pretreated at 450 °C gave similar activity to that of the regenerated catalyst mentioned here. The much higher activity jump observed in F$_{1.2}$WZ relative to WZ indicates poisoning of the iron promoter by chemisorbed water. One can see that the second and third catalytic cycles where they were subjected to the same catalyst regeneration temperature gave similar activity profiles and this confirms our previous suggestion. A detailed account of this phenomenon has been published in a separate paper [13].

Evidently, the presence of tungsten species on zirconium hydroxide can influence the distribution of iron species in the calcined product and cause results different from that of pure zirconium hydroxide. We suggest that iron promoter is mainly exposed on the surface of WZ in F$_{1.2}$WZ, whereas it is dispersed in the bulk of zirconia in FMSZ [16].

It is possible that most of the iron species reside at the surface vacant sites of zirconia in F$_{1.2}$WZ, similar to the case of Carrier et al. [18]. Probably, our Zr-K-edge EXAFS spectra do not show peak broadening since only the surface layer of zirconia is affected. The observed EPR signal at a $g$-value of about 4.26 can be assigned to this isolated Fe$^{3+}$ in Fe–O–Zr (and also Fe–O–W) [28]. Fine α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-like clusters are also observed with a $g$-value at about 2.04 [28]. By comparing the EPR results, one can also see that our F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst has more iron in α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (relative to isolated Fe$^{3+}$) than the catalysts prepared by Carrier et al. [18]. This discrepancy can be explained by our different methods of catalyst preparation. The reduction peak at 421 °C in the TPR profile of F$_{1.2}$WZ is thus attributed to the consumption of hydrogen by the oxo-bridge of Fe–O–Fe in Fe$_2$O$_3$. We also note that the proportion of isolated Fe$^{3+}$ species can be increased by a redispersion (reduction/oxidation) process.

XANES and EXAFS techniques can give us a rather clear picture of the nature of iron promoter in our fresh F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst. XANES can provide information on the oxidation state of the central absorbing iron atom. XANES of the Fe 1s → 4p transition indicates that the iron promoter in F$_{1.2}$WZ exists as Fe$^{3+}$ species. EXAFS can provide direct information on the local environment of the central absorbing iron atom. In the case of F$_{1.2}$WZ, it is obvious from the low intensity of the RDF peaks assigned to α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Fe–O–Fe at 2.67 Å) and the presence of isolated Fe$^{3+}$ species (Fe–O–Zr at 3.3 Å and Fe–O–W at ~4 Å) that the iron promoter exists in a highly dispersed state and this is consistent with our EPR results.

In summary, the iron promoter of our fresh F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst may exist in three different forms: fine α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-like clusters (Fe–O–Fe), Fe$^{3+}$ at surface vacant sites of zirconia (Fe–O–Zr), and terminal bonded Fe$^{3+}$ on WO$_x$ (Fe–O–W). The question of how these species transformed during the pretreatment and catalytic conditions is a subject of further study.

5. Conclusion

We have investigated the promotional effect of iron in F$_{1.2}$WZ and P/F$_{1.2}$WZ catalysts for n-butane isomerization reaction. We focused on the location and function of the iron species in both the singly and doubly promoted catalyst systems and then correlated to the catalytic results. The important characterization and catalytic results over these catalysts are summarized below.

Firstly, the iron promoter in F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst is situated on the surface and not in the bulk. We have provided three pieces of supporting evidence: that is, terminal bonded iron species are present on WO$_x$ of F$_{1.2}$WZ (W–O–Fe); the iron promoter influences the propane ratio in the cracking product; and the iron promoter is poisoned by surface chemisorbed water. XANES, EXAFS, and EPR results suggest that the iron promoter probably exists as a mixture of Fe$^{3+}$ species such as α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, isolated Fe$^{3+}$ anchored on WO$_x$ surface, and Fe$^{3+}$ situated at surface vacant sites of zirconia.

Secondly, these highly dispersed Fe$^{3+}$ species in F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst probably promote n-butane isomerization reaction through a redox effect for the generation of Brønsted acid sites. The formation of W–O–Fe linkages causes structural distortion of WO$_x$ surface and facilitates its reduction and formation of Brønsted acid sites. Fe$^{3+}$ itself can also act as a redox site for the generation of Brønsted acid site from hydrogen species produced by the dissociation of hydrogen or n-butane.

Lastly, an additional cooperative role of iron promoter is observed in the doubly promoted P/F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst. The close proximity of iron and platinum promoters on P/F$_{1.2}$WZ catalyst is revealed by TPR and this proximity facilitates the diffusion of intermediate stabilized by iron to the acid sites created by platinum. The ease of migration of species...
between platinum and iron promoters leads to an exceptionally active P/F1.2WZ catalyst.
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