Thorpe–Ingold Effect on Photoinduced Electron Transfer of Dialkylsilylene-Spaced Divinylarene Copolymers Having Alternating Donor and Acceptor Chromophores
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ABSTRACT: Dialkylsilylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers having alternating donor and acceptor chromophores are designed and synthesized. The rates of photoinduced electron transfer (PET) depend on the nature of the substituent on silicon. A replacement of the methyl substituent by the bulky isopropyl group has been shown to enhance the rate of PET. The results are rationalized in terms of the Thorpe–Ingold effect, which would bring the neighboring donor and acceptor chromophores in closer proximity. The STM images of the isopropyl-substituted copolymers are more folded than those of the methyl-substituted analogues, and these results are consistent with the outcome of photophysical studies of these copolymers.

Introduction

Replacement of two geminal hydrogen atoms on a carbon tethering chain connecting two reacting centers by two alkyl substituents has been known to lead to conformational equilibrium changes which would bring the two reacting centers in close proximity and the reactivity is thus enhanced.1 Such geminal disubstitution effect, known as Thorpe–Ingold effect, has been widely applied in organic syntheses.1 This concept has been briefly explored for the conformational investigations of peptides2 and polymers.3 Alternating silylene-conjugated chromophore copolymers1 have been shown to exhibit a range of interesting photophysical properties, such as intrachain chromophore–chromophore aggregation,5 light harvesting and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET),5 photoinduced electron transfer,7 and transfer of chiroptical properties.8 The folding nature of these conformers would depend on the nature of the substituent on silicon (eq 1).

Me2SiMe2Ar-CH=CHAr-R

(1)

It seems likely that conformations B and C might contribute significantly to the folding of 1. The relative populations of these conformers would depend on the nature of the substituent R. Copolymer 1 would be more folded when R is a bulkier isopropyl group than when R is a methyl. Indeed, we recently found that emissions at longer wavelengths for 2b is significantly enhanced than those for 2a.5b Two kinds of chromophore–chromophore interactions have been proposed. Through space interaction between non-neighboring chromophores would be responsible for the emission of 2 in the blue light region. Alternatively, the contribution from conformer C would bring two neighboring chromophores in close proximity so that interactions between these chromophores would lead to emission around 390 nm. The emission in this region was also found in dimers 3.

It is well documented that the efficacies of photoinduced electron transfer (PET) processes depend on the distance between donor and acceptor chromophores.9 We have recently shown that PET occurred efficiently in dimethylsilylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers 1 having alternating donor and acceptor chromophores.7 Through-space interaction between neighboring chromophores has been suggested.7,10 It is envisaged that a replacement of the methyl substituent by the bulky isopropyl group would bring the neighboring donor and acceptor chromophores in closer proximity. In this paper, we report the first example on Thorpe–Ingold effect on the rates of electron-transfer process in silylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers 4 and 5 having alternating donor and acceptor chromophores.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. Polymers 4 and 5 contain divinylene–terphenylene and terphenylene–tetravinylene, respectively, as the fluorophores and aminostyrene as the quencher were designed and synthesized. Silylene-spaced copolymers 4a and 4b were obtained by the rhodium-catalyzed hydrosilylation5–8 of bis-(alkyne) 6 with bis(silanes) 7 (eq 2). Copolymers 5 were prepared similarly from 8 with 9 (eq 3). The details for the preparation of starting alkynes 6 and 87 and silanes 7 and 9 are described in the Experimental Section.
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Photophysical Properties. The absorption spectra of 4 and 5 and the corresponding monomers (or model compound) 7, 9, and 10 are shown in Figure 1. As expected, there is no difference in the absorption spectra of these compounds. The emission spectra of these compounds are shown in Figure 2. These photophysical properties together with the oxidation potentials of these compounds are summarized in Table 1 and the frontier orbital energies were thus estimated.

Upon excitation at 355 nm, both 7a and 7b in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ showed emission maxima at 390 and 410 with quantum yields 0.91. The fluorescence of 4a and 4b in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 390 and 410 nm were very weak, and the corresponding quantum yields were 0.06 and 0.05, respectively. When the measurements were carried in cyclohexane, the quantum yields for 4a and 4b were 0.49 and 0.14, respectively. The emission of 9a and 9b in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ appeared at 469 and 495 nm upon excitation at 410 nm and the quantum yields were 0.71 and 0.70, respectively. Again, the luminescence of 5a and 5b in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ appeared at the same wavelengths with quantum yields 0.51 and 0.12, respectively. The quantum yields for 5a and 5b in cyclohexane were 0.68 and 0.55, respectively. When the measurements were carried in cyclohexane when isopropyl substituent on silicon was incorporated. It seems likely that the bulky isopropyl substituent may bring the neighboring chromophores in closer proximity so that electron transfer might facilely take place.

As expected, the quantum yields of the polymers were much smaller than those of the monomeric compounds when CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was used as the solvent. Photoinduced electron-transfer between the aminostyrene and oligophenylene—vinylene chromophores may readily take place in this polar solvent. It is particularly noteworthy that the decrease in quantum yields were more prominent for polymers 4b and 5b having isopropyl substituent on silicon than those for 4a and 5a with methyl substituent. Apparently, Thorpe—Ingold effect may dictate the conformation of 4b and 5b to bring the two neighboring chromophores in conformation C (eq 1). As such, polymers 4b and 5b might be more folded and the chances for the two chromophores to meet each other in space might be larger and the electron-transfer process might be facilitated.
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Time-Resolved Fluorescence Spectroscopy. Femtosecond laser equipped with streak camera was employed to measure the time-resolved spectra of fluorescence decay in polymers 4 and 5, and the corresponding monomers 7 and 9 in CH₂Cl₂ and in cyclohexane. The fluorescence decay lifetimes (τ) were estimated by one-exponential curve-fittings for monomers 7 and 9 and two-exponential curve-fittings for polymers 4 and 5, unless otherwise specified. The results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

The fluorescence lifetimes, τ, in general, were shorter for 4 than those for 5; hence the charge separation rates k_CS for 4 were larger than those for 5. In addition, shorter τ’s and larger k_CS’s were observed for isopropyl-substituted polymers 4b and 5b than those for methyl-substituted analogues 4a and 5a. As expected, the τ’s were shorter and k_CS’s were faster in CH₂Cl₂ than those in cyclohexane.

As can be seen from Table 3, two parameters were used to fit the fluorescence decay curves. This observation suggested that there might be two different modes of electron-transfer processes in polymers 4 and 5. The shorter lifetimes τ₁’s, the faster k_CSR’s, and the higher charge separation yields Φ_CS₁ may arise from the interaction of two neighboring chromophores separated by a silylene moiety. We have shown that silylene-
spaced divinylarene copolymers are highly folded. Accordingly, there is possibility that two non-neighboring chromophores may meet each other through space. Such folding may lead to a second type of interaction between chromophores and, therefore, a second set of longer $\tau_2$'s, slower $k_{cs2}$'s, and the smaller $\Phi_{cs2}$ was observed as depicted in Table 3.

**Scanning Tunneling Microscopy.** Scanning tunneling microscopy was employed to examine the morphology of polymer 4 on highly ordered pyrolyzed graphite (HOPG) surface and the results are shown in Figure 4. The images of 4a are fuzzier than those of 4b, presumably due to the more fluxional conformation of 4a than that of 4b. The methyl-substituted 4a exhibited a relatively loose and elongated feature with a length roughly about 4.3 nm. On the other hand, the morphology of 4b appeared to be very different and the average diameter was 3.4 nm. Apparently, the isopropyl group may provide a bulky environment to provoke the divinylsilane moieties in 4b to adopt conformation $C$ (eq 1). As a result, the conformation for 4b would be expected to be in highly coiled structure. Such kind of coiled structure may lead strong interactions between chromophores in 4b and is serendipitously consistent with the photophysical results described above.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have demonstrated the use of the concept of Thorpe–Ingold effect to direct the conformation of silylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers. Since distance is known to play an important role in PET processes, the present investigation suggested that bulky substituent on silicon would bring the chromophores in closer proximity. Copolymers with bulky isopropyl substituent are more folded so that intrachain PET between these chromophores will become more prominent leading to more efficient fluorescence quenching. The results from the STM images appear to be consistent with the outcome.

### Table 3. Fluorescence Lifetime ($\tau$), Charge-Transfer Rate Constant ($k_{cs}$) and Charge-separation Yield ($\Phi_{cs}$) of 4a,b and 5a,b in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and Cyclohexane at Ambient Temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$k_{cs1}$ (ns$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cs2}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{cs1}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{cs2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>49 (0.80)</td>
<td>463 (0.20)</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>91 (0.56)</td>
<td>857 (0.44)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>29 (0.83)</td>
<td>263 (0.17)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>54 (0.71)</td>
<td>303 (0.29)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>192 (0.50)</td>
<td>1300 (0.50)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>48 (1.00)</td>
<td>1198 (1.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>48 (0.80)</td>
<td>854 (0.20)</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>358 (0.37)</td>
<td>878 (0.63)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The concentrations ($\sim$10$^{-5}$ M) for 4 and 5. b Time-resolved fluorescence lifetimes are estimated by exponential fitting of decay curve ($R^2 = 0.97$–0.99). The numbers in the bracket are the relative weight of different time constants. c One exponential fitting. d $k_{cs} = \tau^{-1} - k_s$ where $\tau$ is corresponding fluorescence lifetime and $k_s$ is the reciprocal of the fluorescence lifetime of the corresponding monomer (ref 11).

### Figure 3. Time-resolved fluorescence decays of 4a (open up triangle), 4b (solid up triangle), 7a (open circle), and 7b (solid circle) in (a) CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (b) cyclohexane ($\lambda_{ex} = 375$ nm and monitored at 400 nm). Time-resolved fluorescence decays of 5a (open up triangle), 5b (solid up triangle), 9a (open circle), and 9b (solid circle) in (c) CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (d) cyclohexane ($\lambda_{ex} = 410$ nm and monitored at 475 nm) (concentration: 1 × 10$^{-5}$ M).

### Figure 4. STM image of (a) 4a and (b) 4b. Conditions: (a) image size, 27 × 27 nm, $E_{bias}$ 0.80 V, $I_{tunneling}$ 20 pA; (b) image size, 27 × 27 nm, $E_{bias}$ 0.50 V, $I_{tunneling}$ 20 pA.
of photophysical studies of these polymers. Further investigations on integration of light harvesting and electron transfer in a single polymer are in progress.

Experimental Section

General Data. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was performed on a Waters GPC machine using an isocratic HPLC pump (1515) and a refractive index detector (2414). THF was used as the eluent (flow rate 1.0 mL/min). Waters Styragel HR2, HR3, HR4 (7.8 × 300 mm) were employed using polystyrene as standard (Mn values range from 375 to 3.5 × 10^9). Absorption spectra and emission spectra were measured with Hitachi U-3310 and Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometers, respectively. Quantum yield was obtained using coumarin 1 in EtOAc as reference (Φ = 0.99).

14-Bis(2-diisopropylsilyl)vinylstilbene (7b). Under N2, to a solution of 14 (0.39 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (30 mL) cooled at −78 °C was added slowly ‘BuLi (2.7 mL of 1.55 M in pentane, 4.2 mmol). After this mixture was stirred for 30 min at −78 °C, chlorodiosilipropylsilane (0.4 mL, 2.1 mmol) was added, and the mixture was gradually warmed to room temperature, stirring was continued for 3 h, and the reaction was quenched with H2O (3.0 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtO (3 × 10 mL), and the organic layer was washed with brine (20 mL), dried (MgSO4), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on Et3N-treated silica gel (CH2Cl2/hexane 1:4) to afford 7b as an oil (0.37 g, 80%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.05–1.16 (m, 28 H), 3.76–3.78 (m, 2 H), 6.43 (dd, J = 19.0, 4.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.05 (d, J = 19.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.11 (s, 2 H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 4 H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 4 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 10.9, 18.7, 126.4, 126.6, 126.7, 128.1, 137.0, 137.4, 146.9. IR (KBr): ν 2962, 2917, 2852, 1593, 1432 1 cm−1. HRMS (FAB): calcd for C30H44Si2, 460.2982; found, 460.2977.

14-Bis(4-(2-diisopropylsilil)vinyl)styril-2,5-dimethoxybenzene (9b). Under N2, to a solution of 15 (0.55 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (30 mL) cooled at −78 °C was added slowly ‘BuLi (2.7 mL of 1.55 M in pentane, 4.2 mmol). After the reaction was stirred for 30 min at −78 °C, chlorodiosilipropylsilane (0.4 mL, 2.1 mmol) was added, and the mixture was gradually warmed to room temperature, stirring was continued for 3 h, and the reaction was quenched with H2O (3.0 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtO (3 × 20 mL), and the organic layer was washed with brine (20 mL), dried (MgSO4), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on Et3N-treated silica gel (CH2Cl2/n-hexane 1:4) and the solid was recrystallized from pentane to afford 9b as a yellow solid (0.50 g, 81%): mp 183–184 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.10–1.20 (m, 28 H), 3.75–3.77 (m, 2 H), 3.95 (s, 6 H), 6.40 (dd, J = 19.1, 4.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.04 (d, J = 19.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (d, J = 16.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (s, 2 H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 4 H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 4 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 11.3, 19.0, 56.5, 108.7, 121.2, 122.8, 126.2, 126.4, 132.0, 136.9, 137.2, 146.7, 151.0. IR (KBr): ν 2960, 2933, 2885, 2852, 2831, 2104, 1556, 1516, 1487, 1462, 1348, 1205, 1176, 964, 882, 870, 788, 764, 637 1 cm−1. HRMS (FAB): calcd for C30H44Si2O2, 626.3662; found, 626.3657.

Polymer 4a. A mixture of 6 (93 mg, 0.2 mmol), 7a (70 mg, 0.2 mmol), NaI (64 mg), and RhCl(PPh3)3 (4.6 mg, 0.001 mmol) was converted to 4b (115 mg, 62%): Mw = 8600; PDI = 2.27. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.07–1.12 (m, 28 H), 3.63–3.71 (m, 24 H), 6.16–6.20 (d, 2 H), 6.60–6.64 (d, 2 H), 6.66–6.90 (d, 4 H), 6.90–6.99 (m, 4 H), 7.11 (d, 2 H), 7.35–7.37 (d, 4 H), 7.48–7.50 (m, 8 H), 7.50–7.59 (m, 3 H), 7.60–7.70 (m, 2 H), 7.71–7.80 (m, 4 H), 7.81–7.90 (m, 2 H).
IR (KBr): ν 3446, 2948, 2861, 1652, 1604, 1539, 1520, 1457, 1424, 1387, 1350, 1275, 1184, 898, 811, 789 cm⁻¹.

Polymer 5a. In a manner similar to that described above, a mixture of 84 mg, 0.2 mmol, 9α (102 mg, 0.2 mmol), Naf (64 mg), and Rh(PPh₃)₃Cl (4.6 mg, 0.001 mmol) was converted to 5a (140 mg, 75%): Mₙ = 8700; PDI = 2.05. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.28 (s, 3 H), 3.50–3.65 (16 H), 3.65–3.80 (m, 4 H), 3.93 (s, 6 H), 6.20–6.30 (2 d, 2 H), 6.45–6.55 (d, 2 H), 6.55–6.75 (d, 4 H), 6.80–7.00 (m, 4 H), 7.05–7.15 (m, 4 H), 7.30–7.35 (d, 4 H), 7.40–7.55 (m, 10 H). IR (KBr): ν 3620, 1387, 1350, 1275, 1229, 1184, 989, 881, 789 cm⁻¹.

Polymer 5b. In a manner similar to that described above, a mixture of 9 (84 mg, 0.2 mmol), 9b (125 mg, 0.2 mmol), Naf (64 mg), and Rh(PPh₃)₃Cl (4.6 mg, 0.001 mmol) was converted to 5b (125 mg, 60%): Mₙ = 7500; PDI = 2.17. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.05–1.25 (28 H), 3.55–3.70 (m, 16 H), 3.70–3.80 (m, 4 H), 3.95 (s, 6 H), 6.16–6.25 (2 d, 2 H), 6.45–6.55 (d, 2 H), 6.60–6.70 (d, 4 H), 6.85–7.05 (m, 4 H), 7.05–7.15 (m, 4 H), 7.30–7.40 (d, 4 H), 7.45–7.60 (m, 10 H). IR (KBr) ν 3436, 2950, 2885, 2856, 1650, 1614, 1548, 1405, 1368, 1221, 1185, 1119, 972, 788, 633 cm⁻¹.

Time-Resolved Fluorescence Measurements. A mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (wavelength, 750 nm for 4, 820 nm for 5; repetition rate, 76 MHz; pulse width, <200 fs) was passed through an optical parametric amplifier. The fluorescence of sample was reflected by a grating (150 g/mm; BLZ: 500 nm) and detected by an optically triggered streak camera (Hamamatsu C5680) with a time resolution of about 3 ps.

STM Characterization. STM imaging of polymers 4a and 4b was carried out with a NanoScope IIIa controller equipped with a low-current converter for experiments requiring high tunneling impedance. The STM probes were commercially available Pt/Ir tips (PT, or Corp.), subjected to vacuum-dry (760 Torr) to remove a trace amount of solvent, and rapidly transferred to a chamber where the humidity was less than 3%. The STM probes were commercially available Pt/Ir tips (PT, or Corp.), subjected to vacuum-dry (760 Torr) to remove a trace amount of solvent, and rapidly transferred to a chamber where the humidity was less than 3%.
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