Organic light-emitting diodes based on charge-neutral Os(II) emitters: generation of saturated red emission with very high external quantum efficiency
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The OLED device using 6% of Os(fptz)$_2$(PPh$_2$Me)$_2$ as the dopant emitter in a CBP host and BPAPF as hole transporting material shows an external quantum efficiency of 15.3% and luminous efficiency of 21.3 cd A$^{-1}$, power efficiency of 6.3 lm W$^{-1}$ at 20 mA cm$^{-2}$. An even higher external quantum efficiency of ~20% was achieved at a low current density of ~1 mA cm$^{-2}$.

Since the seminal work of Tang and VanSlyke in electroluminescence (EL) devices using organic materials, the research activities on organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) have made significant progress during the past two decades. The OLEDs of this category continuously attract great interest because of their potential in the development of full color flat-panel displays. In this regard, fabrication of OLEDs with energy efficient, saturated red emission becomes essential, and this has been achieved by using third-row metal Pt(II)- and Ir(III)-containing phosphorescent dopant emitters, for which the strong spin–orbit coupling effectively promotes the intersystem crossing from singlet excited states to lower triplet emitting states as well as the enhancement of the T$_1$–S$_0$ transition. Theoretically, OLEDs with 100% internal quantum efficiencies may be attained by harnessing both triplet and singlet excitons.

For most of the phosphorescent OLEDs, the device quantum efficiency drops rapidly with increasing current density and thus brightness. This is believed to be due to the fact that triplet excitons relax more slowly and the emission inevitably reaches saturation through a quenching mechanism involving triplet–triplet annihilation. One way to alleviate the problem is to use materials with a shorter triplet radiative lifetime. To achieve this goal, a potential category in point may be Os(II) complexes, which, in general, possess a shorter triplet-state exciton lifetime ($\leq$ a few $\mu$s) due to the enhancement of the heavy-metal atom participating in the lowest excited triplet manifolds (either $3\pi$–$\pi^*$, MLCT or the mixed states). More importantly, owing to its divalent state, the oxidation potential at the Os(II) metal center is significantly lower than that of the Ir(III) analogues with +3 oxidation state. The higher oxidation potential of the latter makes it less of an ideal center for carrier direct-trapping and recombination.

In this communication, we report the syntheses and characterization of a series of readily sublimable, charge neutral Os(II) triazolate complexes, Os(fptz)$_2$(PPh$_2$Me)$_2$ (1) Os(hptz)$_2$(PPh$_2$Me)$_2$ (2) and Os(hptz)$_2$(PPhMe)$_2$ (3), the molecular structures of which are shown in Fig. 1. In contrast to the PLED fabricated using the nonvolatile, ionic Os(II) emitters, remarkably high efficiency in red emission has been achieved by fabricating the OLED devices using a co-deposition technique. In particular, with a device configuration of ITO/BPAPF(40 nm)/CBP : 6% of I(30 nm)/BCP(10 nm)/Alq(30 nm)/LiF(1 nm)/Al(150 nm), an external quantum efficiency of 15.3% and a luminous efficiency of 21.3 cd A$^{-1}$, power efficiency of 6.3 lm W$^{-1}$ were obtained at 20 mA cm$^{-2}$, yielding CIE coordinates at $x = 0.64$ and $y = 0.35$. An even higher external quantum efficiency of ~20% was achieved at a very low current density of ~1 mA cm$^{-2}$. This high efficiency is comparable to that obtained for the best green-emitting Ir(III) based OLEDs. A maximum brightness of ~45 000 cd m$^{-2}$ was recorded at the driving voltage of 15 V. To our knowledge, this result steps up a major advance for red-emitting, small molecule OLEDs fabricated by the co-deposition technique.

Fig. 1 The molecular structure of the relevant compounds used in this study and configuration of the OLED devices.

*ychi@mx.nthu.edu.tw (Yun Chi)
ytt@chem.sinica.edu.tw (Yu-Tai Tao)
chop@ntu.edu.tw (Pi-Tai Chou)
These Os(II) emitting materials are designed by bearing the relatively poor electron-donating pyridyl triazole anion to increase the stability and to neutralize/balance the +2 charge on the Os(II) metal center, the strategy of which is in a way similar to the previously described pyrazolate complexes. Synthetic details of the triazole ligands have previously been elaborated. The pyridyl triazole ligands are expected to adopt a trans orientation around the Os(II) center. This is confirmed by the observation of a very downfield ortho-CH signal of the pyridine fragment (δ = 10.24–10.12 ppm), resulting from the notably strong interligand N···H–C hydrogen bond. Conversely, in order to tune the phosphorescent emission to the red, phosphine auxiliary ligands are also selected owing to their great electron-donating property (vide infra). Finally, the incorporation of either CF₃ or C₃F₇ fluorinated substituents in 1–3 is essential to reduce the intermolecular interaction, rendering the required volatility to these Os(II) emitting complexes.

The reaction condition was optimized using a one-pot synthetic strategy, which involved the in situ preparation of the dicarbonyl complexes Os(fptz)₂(CO)₂ and Os(hptz)₂(CO)₂ from Os₃(CO)₁₂, followed by conducting phosphine substitution in presence of Me₃N. This modified approach circumvents the tedious isolation of the above mentioned intermediates, gives us the desired Os(II) complexes 1–3 in much improved (>70%) yields, and hence has a great advantage in scaling up for the industrial application.

The absorption and luminescence spectra of complexes 1–3 in CH₂Cl₂ are shown in Fig. 2. The strong absorption bands commonly observed around 400 nm for 1–3 are assigned to the spin-allowed 1π–π* transition of the fptz (or hptz) ligands. The next lower energy absorption band around 545 nm (ε = 1400 M⁻¹cm⁻¹) and 550 nm (ε = 1450 M⁻¹cm⁻¹) for complexes 1, 2 and 3, respectively, can reasonably be assigned to a spin-mixing state mixing of spin–orbit coupling enhanced 3π–π* and 3MLCT transitions. It is also notable that substitution with stronger donor ligands such as PPhMe₂ not only causes the spectral red-shift due to the increase of the metal dπ orbital energy but also increases the entire transition dipole moment. A similar argument has been proposed to account for the systematic spectral variation of the Os(II) poly(pyridyl phosphine complexes.

Very intense luminescence was observed for 1–3 with λmax located at 617 nm, 614 nm and 629 nm, respectively, in CH₂Cl₂ solution. The significant overlap of the 0–0 onsets between emission and the lowest energy absorption band, in combination with a broad, structureless spectral feature, leads us to conclude that the phosphorescence originates primarily from the 3MLCT state. In comparison to 3 bearing PPhMe₂ as coordinating ligands, complex 2 with the PPh₂Me group reveals a ~15 nm hypsochromic shift in λmax and can qualitatively be rationalized by a decrease of Os(II) dπ energy level due to the stronger electron-withdrawing strength of an additional phenyl substitution. Table 1 lists the corresponding photophysical data for the studied complexes in both solution and solid phases. The observed lifetimes of ca. 0.8–1.0 μs, in combination with the quantum efficiencies of 0.50–0.76, lead us to deduce a radiative lifetime of 1.54, 1.23 and 1.62 μs for 1, 2 and 3, respectively, in degassed CH₂Cl₂. To our knowledge, the radiative lifetimes for 1–3 are considerably shorter than those of most reported red emitting Ir(III) complexes.

In the solid state, the emission maximum for these Os(II) phosphors shifts to the red, possibly due to their molecular packing, and the lifetime falls within the range of 0.2–0.9 μs. The emission quantum efficiencies of 1–3 lie in the range 0.21–0.36 in the solid state. It is notable that the excited lifetime of 3 is about 4.5 times greater than that of 1 in solid, implying that the OLED device fabricated using 1 should reduce T–T annihilation at the higher driving voltage (vide infra).

Due to its high PL quantum efficiency in the red and excellent redox stability, complex 1 was selected in fabricating a series of multilayer devices of the configuration ITO/HTL(40 nm)/CBP : I(30 nm)/BEP/CBP : I (30 nm)/AlQ₃(30 nm)/LiF(1 nm)/Al (150 nm), where CBP, BCP and AlQ₃ stand for 4,4′-N,N′-dicarbazolyl-1,1′-biphenyl, 2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline, and tris(8-hydroxyquinolino)aluminium(III) respectively. Two distinctive hole transporting materials (HTL) were 4,4′-bis[N-(1-naphthyl)-N-phenylamino]biphenyl (NPB) and 9,9-bis[(4-diphenylamino)phenyl]fluorene (BPAPF). The doping levels of Os(II) complexes 1 varied from 6%, 12%, 20%, 50% to 100% neat thin film. Device configurations and the molecular structures of the compounds used in these devices are also shown in Fig. 1, while crucial device performance characteristics are collected in Table 2. Bright red emission was observed for all the concentrations applied, even for the one with a pure layer of the Os(II) emitter. With NPB as HTL, the current–voltage–lumiance curves, plotted in Fig. 3a, show a rough trend of decreasing current density with increasing concentrations of 1, implying that the phosphorescent dopant sites serve as charge trapping sites. The EL spectra are given in Fig. 3b. A small amount of emission at ~450 nm, identified as originating from NPB, was observed for the low dopant concentration of 6%. This NPB emission diminished upon increasing the doping concentration to 12% and higher. Concurrently, a small red shift of the EL spectra was observed with increasing dopant concentrations, from λmax ~ 620 nm for the 6% device to 625 nm for the neat film device (Fig. 3b), presumably due to the change of the medium polarity.

Interestingly, upon switching the hole-transport layer from NPB to BPAPF, a significant improvement in both luminescence and
external quantum efficiency was observed. The EL spectrum is free from BPAPF emission at all dopant concentrations. Such an outcome may be attributed to the higher hole mobility of BPAPF than that of NPB, so that a shift of the charge recombination area well inside the CBP/Os(II) dopant emitter layer occurs, in view of the similar HOMO energy levels (NPB, 5.2 eV; BPAPF, 5.3 eV) and LUMO energy levels (NPB, 2.2 eV; BPAPF, 2.2 eV) for the two compounds. For comparison, the representative current–voltage–luminance characteristics for the 6% dopant device are depicted in Fig. 3c. A very high initial external quantum efficiency of ~20% and luminous efficiency of 27.8 cd A⁻¹ was noted that the decreasing trend in the quantum efficiency/power efficiency of the triplet-state emitters. The key difference is plausibly due to the nearly 100% internal phosphorescence efficiency. Like other phosphorescent emitters, the efficiencies also witnessed a drop with increasing driving voltage (Fig. 3d). At a driving current of 20 mA cm⁻², the external quantum efficiency is 15.3% and luminous efficiency is 21.3 cd A⁻¹, whereas at 100 mA cm⁻², the efficiencies remain 12.2% and 17 cd A⁻¹ respectively. However, it is noted that the decreasing trend in the quantum efficiency/power efficiency versus current density is slower than those reported for the triplet-state emitters. The key difference is plausibly due to the remarkably short radiative lifetime (~0.75 µs for I in solid), which significantly reduces the triplet–triplet annihilation.

In conclusion, a very efficient synthetic method for the charge neutral Os(II) emitters has been discovered and highly efficient, saturated red color, phosphorescent OLEDs are achieved by co-deposition of the charge neutral Os(II) triazole complexes with CBP host as the emitting layer. The results demonstrate for the first time the generation of saturated red emission with external quantum efficiency up to 20% among the organometallic emitters composing the third-row Os(II), Ir(III) and Pt(II) elements. In addition to the color tuning that should gain considerable interest, other basic photophysical properties, such as the phosphorescence lifetime of the designed Os(II) complexes, are also adjustable through modification of their ancillary coordination ligands to optimize the performance of the triplet-exciton driven OLEDs.

Table 1 Photophysical and electrochemical properties for complexes 1–3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL, /nm</td>
<td>405 (15500), 457 (2400), 543 (1400)</td>
<td>403 (16000), 457 (2300), 545 (1400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φl, b</td>
<td>0.62 (0.24)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τobs, b</td>
<td>0.86 (0.18) µs</td>
<td>0.94 (0.58) µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eλ/2 or Ecp (ΔEps)</td>
<td>0.12 [110]</td>
<td>0.19 [130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eλ/2 or Ecp (ΔEps)</td>
<td>-2.61 [120]</td>
<td>-2.78 [irr]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Performance characteristics for ITO/HTL/CBP : x% I/BCP/LiF/Al devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (%)</th>
<th>Max. lum./cd m⁻²</th>
<th>QE (%), c</th>
<th>LE/μd A⁻¹, c</th>
<th>PE/μm W⁻¹, d</th>
<th>λmax/nm (CIE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With NPB</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32627(15)</td>
<td>10.71 (8.61)</td>
<td>14.28 (11.48)</td>
<td>4.37 (2.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3686(15)</td>
<td>10.77 (8.21)</td>
<td>15.15 (11.55)</td>
<td>5.99 (3.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3631(15)</td>
<td>11.46 (9.06)</td>
<td>15.24 (12.06)</td>
<td>6.04 (3.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35070(15)</td>
<td>7.31 (6.90)</td>
<td>9.02 (8.52)</td>
<td>3.47 (2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11831(14.5)</td>
<td>2.62 (2.44)</td>
<td>2.69 (2.49)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With BPAPF</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45211(15)</td>
<td>15.29 (12.17)</td>
<td>21.31 (16.97)</td>
<td>6.34 (4.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34190(15)</td>
<td>14.99 (11.02)</td>
<td>19.94 (14.67)</td>
<td>6.15 (3.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25644(15)</td>
<td>13.27 (10.30)</td>
<td>17.22 (13.36)</td>
<td>4.75 (3.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20501(15)</td>
<td>7.96 (7.38)</td>
<td>9.92 (9.19)</td>
<td>2.69 (2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9049(15)</td>
<td>2.54 (2.29)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.54)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.64)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values in the parentheses are the applied driving voltage. b Data collected under 20 mA cm⁻². c Values in the parentheses are the data collected under 100 mA cm⁻². d Measured at the driving voltage of 8 V.

Reference:
[Os(hptz)2(PPh2Me)2] (2) and [Os(hptz)2(PPhMe2)2] (3) were prepared as follows. The synthetic procedures are essentially identical to those for complex 1, using a similar molecular ratio of 3-heptafluoropropyl-5-(2-pyridyl) 1,2,4-triazole (hppzH), powdery Os3(CO)12, freshly sublimed Me3NO and the phosphine ligands. The orange–red complex 2 and bright red complex 3 were obtained in 73% and 70% yields, respectively.

Spectral data of 2: MS (FAB, 192Os): m/z 1219 (M+), 1019 (M+ − PPh2Me), 818 (M+ − 2PPh2Me). 1H NMR (400 MHz, d6-acetone): δ 10.24 (d, 2H, JHH 6.8 Hz), 7.49 (dd, 2H, JHH = 6.8, 7.6 Hz), 7.30 (d, 2H, JHH = 7.6 Hz), 7.18–7.14 (m, 4H), 7.10–7.03 (m, 10H), 6.88 (t, 4H, JHH = 7.4 Hz), 6.59–6.55 (m, 4H), 1.22 (t, 6H, JHP = 3.2 Hz, CH3). 19F NMR (470 MHz, d6-acetone): δ 122.6 (s, 4F), 109.7 (q, 4F, JFF = 10.0 Hz), 79.8 (t, 6F, JFF = 10.0 Hz). 31P NMR (202 MHz, d6-acetone): δ 18.2 (s). Anal. Calcd for C46H34F14N8OsP2: C, 45.40; N, 9.21; H, 2.82. Found: C, 45.41; N, 9.27; H, 2.98.

Spectral data of 3: MS (FAB, 192Os): m/z 1095 (M+), 957 (M+ − PPhMe2), 8618 (M+ − 2PPhMe2). 1H NMR (400 MHz, d6-acetone): δ 10.12 (d, 2H, JHH = 6.4 Hz), 7.73 (dd, 2H, JHH = 6.4, 7.4 Hz), 7.68–7.65 (m, 2H), 7.39 (ddd, 2H, JHH = 7.4, 6.4, 1.6 Hz), 7.08 (t, 2H, JHH = 7.6 Hz), 6.90 (t, 4H, JHH = 7.6 Hz), 6.38–6.33 (m, 4H), 0.86 (t, 6H, JHP = 3.2 Hz, CH3), 0.61 (t, 6H, JHP = 3.2 Hz, CH3). 19F NMR (470 MHz, d6-acetone): δ −126.1 (s, 4F), −110.1 (q, 4F, JFF = 8.3 Hz), −80.0 (t, 6F, JFF = 8.3 Hz). 31P NMR (202 MHz, d6-acetone): δ −22.1 (s). Anal. Calcd for C36H30F14N8OsP2: C, 39.57; N, 10.25; H, 2.77. Found: C, 39.43; N, 10.20; H, 2.90.

Photophysical measurements were taken as follows. Steady-state absorption, emission and phosphorescence lifetime measurements both in solution and solid have been elaborated in our previous reports.9,20 For measuring quantum yields in the solid state, an integrating sphere (Labsphere) was applied, in which the solid sample film was prepared via a vapor deposition method and was excited by a 514 nm Ar+ laser line. The resulting luminescence was acquired by an intensified charge-coupled detector for subsequent quantum yield analyses.21

OLED fabrication and data measurement were performed as follows. BCP was purchased from Aldrich and used as received. AlQ3, NPB and BAPF were synthesized according to literature procedures, and were sublimed twice prior to use. Patterned ITO substrates with an effective device area of 3.14 mm2 were cleaned as described in a previous report.22 A 40 nm thick film of BAPF or NPB was first deposited as the hole transport layer (HTL). The light-emitting layer (30 nm) was then deposited by co-evaporating the CBP host and the phosphorescent dopant from two...
independent sources, with both deposition rates being controlled with two independent quartz crystal oscillators. A 10 nm thick BCP as a hole and exciton blocking layer (HBL) and 30 nm thick AlQ3 as an electron transport layer were then deposited sequentially. A thin layer of LiF (1 nm) and a thick layer of Al (150 nm) were followed as the cathode. The current–voltage–luminance of the devices was measured in ambient conditions with a Keithley 2400 Source meter and a Newport 1835C optical meter equipped with 818ST silicon photodiode.
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19 The hole mobility of BPAFP was estimated to be an order of magnitude higher than that of NPB from time-of-flight measurement, unpublished results.