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Abstract—The dependence of magnetotransport on field orientation is an important issue in spintronics-related devices where the applied field is not necessarily in the ideal field-in-plane (FIP) geometry. In this study, we perform tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) measurements on Co–Al₂O₃–CoFe–NiFe spin-dependent tunnel (SDT) junctions prepared at different conditions with varying field orientation ranging from FIP to field-perpendicular-to-plane (FPP). The TMR ratio decreases drastically, whereas the switching field of Co increases when the field direction is set close to FPP. Furthermore, in a situation near FPP, a peculiar TMR looping behavior is observed for one set of samples. Interface effect is thought to be related.

Index Terms—Field-in-plane (FIP), field-perpendicular-to-plane (FPP), spin-dependent tunnel (SDT) junction, tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR).

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin-dependent (SDT) junctions consisting of two ferromagnetic (FM) layers separated by a thin nonmagnetic tunneling barrier have been studied extensively in recent years for the interest that they exhibit large tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) and, hence, are directly linked to technological applications [1]. Most TMR studies utilize the field-in-plane (FIP) configuration in which the FM spin directions are manipulated along the geometric easy axis. In the FIP geometry, the tunneling conductance \( G \) of the junction can be described as

\[
G = G_{\text{fi}}(1 + P_b P_o \cos \theta)
\]

(1)

where \( P_b \) and \( P_o \) are the two effective ferromagnet-insulator coupled spin polarizations, \( G_{\text{fi}} \) is a constant, and \( \theta \) is the angle between the two FM spin directions [2]. The electron transport of the junction through the barrier is spin-dependent and modulated by the relative orientation of the two FM spins.

In contrast to FIP, the FM layers within the SDT junction in the field-perpendicular-to-plane (FPP) geometry often demand a larger field to align their moments in the hard-axis direction due to the geometric anisotropy, leading to the prolongation of the MR saturation [3]. The electronic structure of the FM layers in the sputtered junction is often assumed identical regardless of lateral or vertical orientation, but the switching of the FM moments complicates when the field is set away from the in-plane direction. Interfacial coupling starts to play a more crucial role. The localized magnetic domains formed via dipole interactions are found to be very sensitive to interfacial roughness and the way external field is applied [4], [5]. The antiparallel (AP) state of the two bulk FM layers, which gives rise to the tunneling resistance and dominates in the FIP geometry, might not be a stable state in the FPP situation [6]. In the presence of uniaxial anisotropy (not necessarily along the geometric easy axis), a perpendicular field contribution is likely even in the perfect FIP situation. A relevant issue is found in the magnetoresistive random-access memory (MRAM) design where the threshold for bit switching depends strongly on anisotropy and the combination of easy and hard direction fields. Thus, the study of TMR with changing field orientation is of both fundamental and technological importance.

Here, we demonstrate our study of TMR with varying field orientation on Co–Al₂O₃–CoFe–NiFe pseudospin-valve-type SDT junctions prepared at different conditions. The TMR ratio is analyzed along various field angles and is compared for different types of samples. In one type of samples in which the Al oxidation process is altered, an anomalous FPP looping behavior in the TMR curve is observed and discussed.

II. EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS

[Co(10 nm)–Al₂O₃(2.6)–CoFe(1.2)–NiFe(10)] SDT junctions were deposited onto glass substrates in a magnetron sputtering system having a base pressure of \( \sim 1 \times 10^{-7} \) torr. The sample preparation details were stated elsewhere [7]. Co and CoFe–NiFe were chosen for the pseudospin-valve-type FM elements because of their coercivity difference. Two series of samples were fabricated—series \( a \) made with our typical deposition conditions [7] and series \( b \) made with an intentional exposure to air prior to the deposition of the top FM layer in order to investigate the impact of interfacial quality on the angular field dependence of MR. The TMR measurement was achieved by the standard four-probe technique at room temperature in the current-perpendicular-to-plane (CPP) geometry.
A precise rotator of 0.2° resolution was used to study the \( \phi \) dependence of TMR, where \( \phi \) is the angle between the applied field and the film plane. Sixteen samples within each series were measured identical or nearly identical. Thus, we choose two samples, sample 4 and sample 5 from series a and series b, respectively, for the following presentation.

Fig. 1 shows the TMR ratio as a function of \( \phi \), and the inset indicates the FIP TMR loop. The TMR ratio is now only 30%, each as a function of \( H \) for sample 4 and the junction configuration being close to FPP. The TMR ratio drops by a margin of 30% at \( \phi \sim 90^\circ \).

Fig. 2 shows the TMR loops of sample 5 for \( \phi = 0^\circ \), (b) 85.5°, (c) 87.5°, and (d) 88.5°. The positive end of each graph extends from 1 to 10 KOe.

The switching field Co \( (H^O_{Co}) \), obtained from the magnetic hysteresis loop, where the Co moment reverses its direction almost instantaneously. High-field TMR behavior was also measured with \( \phi \) variation and was included in each graph. Fig. 2(a) shows the typical SDT characteristic that the MR approaches saturation and remains unchanged as the field increases. However, in the neighborhood of FPP, as seen in Figs. 2(b)–(d), the MR curves do not flatten like normal saturation but increase with field beyond a minimum. This tailing-up behavior shows a strong dependence on \( \phi \), and the trend is most prominent when the field is applied almost perpendicularly. The high-field curves of sample 4, on the other hand, remain flat for all values of \( \phi \).

Fig. 3 shows the TMR ratio and the switching field of Co \( (H^O_{Co}) \), each as a function of \( \phi \) for samples 5. The TMR ratio stays approximately the same \((\sim15\%) \) for \( \phi \) less than 80°. With configuration being close to FPP, the TMR ratio decreases rapidly and reaches the lowest value \((\sim4\%) \) as \( \phi \) is set almost equal to 90°. The drop of the TMR ratio is beyond 70%—more drastic than that of sample 4 \((\sim30\%) \). The graphs also indicate that there should be a correspondence of the maximum value of H^O_{Co} with the lowest TMR ratio at the same \( \phi \) close to the FPP geometry. A trigonometric fit to the \( H^O_{Co}(\phi) \) data exhibits a \( 1/\cos\phi \) proportionality, and is displayed by the solid curve in Fig. 3(b). This proportionality and its symmetry about \( \phi = 0^\circ \) evidences that the magnetic easy axis is along the film plane in our sample.

Fig. 4 shows the magnified TMR loop of sample 5, plotted in \( RA \) (the product of the resistance \( R \) and the junction’s cross-sectional area \( A \)) versus applied field, with \( \phi = 88.5^\circ \) and a field range of \( \pm1500 \) Oe. In spite that the change is small, the \( RA \) variation in the low-field region is anomalous in contrast to its regular behavior. When the field is ramped down from high fields, \( RA \) increases and reaches its summit before the external field is set to zero. \( RA \) then decreases, passing the zero-field point and climbing back up again before reaching the second height. The arrows in Fig. 4 indicate the looping sequences. The
The peculiar $RA$ behavior shown in Fig. 4 seems analogous to the inverse giant magnetoresistance (GMR) found in FeCrFe–Cu–Fe–Cu multilayers with imperfect FM coupling across Cr [8]. However, electron scattering plays a less important role in our SDT junctions, and thus the inverse spin asymmetry can hardly be responsible for the anomalous $RA$ variation. The sample's magnetic hysteresis loop confirms the typical behavior in which the most random spin state occurs after passing the zero-field point, implying that the anomaly is not originated from the bulk magnetization. Given that this anomaly is not seen in sample $a$, it becomes intuitive to presume that the cause is interface related. In the presence of interfacial roughness, as indicated in our previous work [7], the additional coupling of the dipole–dipole interaction cannot be ignored [9]. In the FPP geometry, the AP state of the two ferromagnets is suppressed, leading to the drastic decrease of the magnetoresistance; but on the other hand, a meta-stable AP state imposed by interfacial magnetic structures could exist in the low-field region and result in the observed anomaly. The fact that both the low-field anomaly and the high-field tailing-up (see Fig. 2) are most prominent at $\phi = 88.5^\circ$ indicates the nature of their causes could be related; and they both occur upon a point when the interfacial effect is most likely to dominate. To elucidate the origin, microscopic probing of localized interfacial domains is demanded. Future investigation, including the employment of more sensitive probing techniques such as neutron scattering, is under consideration.

### III. DISCUSSION

The peculiar $RA$ behavior shown in Fig. 4 seems analogous to the inverse giant magnetoresistance (GMR) found in FeCrFe–Cu–Fe–Cu multilayers with imperfect FM coupling across Cr [8]. However, electron scattering plays a less important role in our SDT junctions, and thus the inverse spin asymmetry can hardly be responsible for the anomalous $RA$ variation. The sample's magnetic hysteresis loop confirms the typical behavior in which the most random spin state occurs after passing the zero-field point, implying that the anomaly is not originated from the bulk magnetization. Given that this anomaly is not seen in sample $a$, it becomes intuitive to presume that the cause is interface related. In the presence of interfacial roughness, as indicated in our previous work [7], the additional coupling of the dipole–dipole interaction cannot be ignored [9]. In the FPP geometry, the AP state of the two ferromagnets is suppressed, leading to the drastic decrease of the magnetoresistance; but on the other hand, a meta-stable AP state imposed by the interfacial magnetic structures could exist in the low-field region and result in the observed anomaly. The fact that both the low-field anomaly and the high-field tailing-up (see Fig. 2) are most prominent at $\phi = 88.5^\circ$ indicates the nature of their causes could be related; and they both occur upon a point when

IV. CONCLUSION

We have shown that in our pseudospin-valve-type SDT junctions the TMR ratio drastically decreases upon setting the field close to the off-plane direction. This effect is much more significant for the junction with degraded interface quality (sample $b$) than for the typical one (sample $a$). Furthermore, for sample $b$, the TMR curve taken in the nearly FPP geometry shows a peculiar behavior in the small-field region in which the maximum value of $RA$ rises along looping before reaching the zero-field point. At high fields, its value of $RA$ does not remain saturated but tails up with increasing field. The results suggest that interfacial quality plays a key role for magnetotransport and the contribution by the off-plane field is substantial. Those factors should be taken into account in future applications when complicated field distribution is involved.
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