translate into net good accomplished. I do not argue that we should never donate vitamins—I argue that all medical care carries with it the responsibility to provide long-term accountability. It matters less what you pack in your duffle bag than how you unload it.

Maya Roberts, BA
maya.roberts@yale.edu
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven, Conn
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Comparison of Meeting Abstracts and Subsequent Articles

To the Editor: In their study evaluating the degree of consistency between meeting abstract and subsequent full-length journal article, Dr Toma and colleagues1 found discrepancies between the efficacy estimate for the primary outcome in 60 (41%) of the 148 randomized controlled trials. This highlights the need for scrutiny of the quality of information from randomized controlled trials.

There is another often neglected issue: the percentage of patients meeting inclusion criteria who actually entered randomization.2 This important index tells a lot about the formation from randomized controlled trials. This highlights the need for scrutiny of the quality of information from randomized controlled trials.

To the Editor: In their study evaluating the degree of consistency between meeting abstract and subsequent full-length journal article, Dr Toma and colleagues1 found discrepancies between the efficacy estimate for the primary outcome in 60 (41%) of the 148 randomized controlled trials. This highlights the need for scrutiny of the quality of information from randomized controlled trials.

There is another often neglected issue: the percentage of patients meeting inclusion criteria who actually entered randomization.2 This important index tells a lot about the design and conduct of randomized controlled trials. While it is rare for all eligible patients to be willing to participate in a randomized trial, if the percentage is too low it raises questions about why so many patients would decline to join. Did they or their physicians feel the risk-benefit ratio of joining this trial was unfavorable? Were only those who were most likely to benefit from the new treatment invited to participate? If so, what were the real inclusion criteria? Perhaps the lack of logistic support was the true reason for selective enrollment.

Both the number of eligible patients and the number of patients who actually entered randomization are part of the required information for the CONSORT E-Flowchart.3 Unfortunately, many authors do not report this information in their articles; it is not clear why some authors provide this information and other do not.

Mandatory reporting of the percentage of eligible patients who actually entered randomization of phase 3 and later-stage clinical trials would create an incentive for better design of clinical trial protocols, better specification of inclusion criteria, and better logistic operations. Perhaps the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors4,5 should consider this for future articles reporting the results of randomized controlled trials.

Chi-Tai Fang, MD, PhD
fangct@ha.mc.ntu.edu.tw
Department of Medical Research
National Taiwan University Hospital

©2006 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Loreen Y. L. Huang, MD
Institute of Preventive Medicine
College of Public Health
National Taiwan University
Taipei, Taiwan

Financial Disclosures: None reported.

2. Fielding LP, Grace K, Hittinger R. Patients who are eligible but not randomised should be included as additional comparative arm in study. BMJ. 1999;318:874-875.

This letter was shown to Dr McAlister, who declined to reply on behalf of the author.—Ed.

Unreported Financial Disclosures in a Study of Migraine and Cardiovascular Disease

To the Editor: In the July 19, 2006, issue of JAMA, we evaluated the association between history of migraine and risk of cardiovascular disease in women.1 While we believe that we have no financial interests, relationships, or affiliations that would be relevant to our describing a biological link between migraine and cardiovascular disease, in response to the Editor in Chief and in light of the most recent JAMA disclosure guidelines,2 we are disclosing all nonfederal relationships of every coauthor.
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