High-level expression and characterization of two chitinases, ChiCH and ChiCW, of Bacillus cereus 28-9 in Escherichia coli
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Abstract

Many chitinase genes have been cloned and sequenced from prokaryotes and eukaryotes but overexpression of chitinases in Escherichia coli cells was less reported. ChiCH and ChiCW of Bacillus cereus 28-9 belong to two distinct groups based on their amino acid sequences of catalytic domains, and in addition, domain structures of two enzymes are different. In this study, we established an ideal method for high-level expression of chitinases in E. coli as glutathione-S-transferase fusion proteins using pGEX-6P-1 vector. Both ChiCH and ChiCW were successfully highly expressed in E. coli cells as soluble GST-chitinase fusion proteins, and recombinant native ChiCH and ChiCW could be purified after cleavage with PreScission protease to remove GST tag. Purified chitinases were used for biochemical characterization of kinetics, hydrolysis products, and binding activities. The results indicate that ChiCW is an endo-chitinase and effectively hydrolyzes chitin and chito-multimers to chito-oligomers and the end product chitobiose, and ChiCH is an exo-chitinase and degrades chito-oligomers to produce chitobiose. Furthermore, due to higher affinity of ChiCW toward colloidal chitin than Avicel, C-terminal domain of ChiCW should be classified as a chitin-binding domain not a cellulose-binding domain although that was revealed as a cellulose-binding domain by conserved domain analysis. Therefore, the method of high-level expression of chitinases is helpful to studies and applications of chitinases.

Chitin, an insoluble β-1,4-linked polymer of N-acetylglucosamine, is the second most abundant polysaccharide in nature and a major constituent of the cell walls of many fungi, insect exoskeletons, and crustacean shells [1,2]. Degradation of chitin is essentially catalyzed by chitinases [3]. Chitinases (E.C. 3.2.1.14) are found in bacteria, fungi, virus, and higher plants [4,5]. Plant chitinases involve in defense mechanism against infection by phytopathogenic fungi [5]. Fungal chitinases are required for hyphal growth [6]. Furthermore, bacterial chitinases are considered primarily to digest and utilize chitin as a carbon and nitrogen nutrient [3].

Bacillus cereus 28-9 isolated from the lily rhizosphere can produce two chitinases, ChiCH and ChiCW. The genes encoding two enzymes were cloned and sequenced, and basic biochemical properties were characterized [7,8]. The genes homologous to chiCH and chiCW have been found and identified in several B. cereus and Bacillus thuringiensis strains [9–14]. In the study of Mabuchi and Araki [12], ChiA (homologous to ChiCH) and ChiB (homologous to ChiCW) were classified into two groups based on distinct characters in their amino acid sequences of catalytic domains that were proposed by Watanabe et al. [15]. Due to distinct amino acid sequences of catalytic domains and domain compositions of ChiCH and ChiCW, we were interested in biochemical characterization of both chitinases in detail to realize their functions. To biochemically characterize
Both chitinases, it was necessary to purify (or partially purify) the enzymes from *B. cereus* 28-9 or the recombinant enzymes from *Escherichia coli*. However, proteolytic modifications of chitinases in *B. cereus* and other species and *E. coli* have been reported and C-termini of chitinases have been usually cleaved [8,12,13,16,17]. Therefore, the method for high-level expression and easy purification of chitinases needs to be established to avoid proteolytic modification when protein expression in *Bacillus* species and *E. coli*, and in the purification steps.

Many prokaryotic expression systems have been developed for overexpression of proteins in *E. coli*. For rapid and convenient purification of expressed protein, many expression vectors have been constructed to fuse different affinity tags to target proteins. Up to date, several affinity tags have been used for fusion protein technologies such as polyhistidine tags, FLAG tags, thioredoxin tags, Protein A, Strep-tag, maltose-binding protein, chitin-binding tag, and glutathione-S-transferase (GST) [18–20]. However, in the studies of chitinases, there were few reports of high-level or overexpression of chitinases in *E. coli* cells using expression vectors with affinity tags [9,14,21–24], and it reveals that high-level expression of chitinases in *E. coli* is difficult. Therefore, establishing an ideal method for high-level expression of recombinant chitinases fused to affinity tags is helpful to studies of chitinase and recombinant chitinases can be easily purified by affinity chromatography.

In this study, we established a better method to highly express two chitinases from *B. cereus* 28-9 in *E. coli* by GST-fusion protein expression system. Purified recombinant enzymes were used for biochemical characterization including enzyme kinetics, substrate-binding properties and hydrolysis products. In addition, we also developed an ideal method for chitinolytic zymography to enhance resolution and molecular size calibration.

**Materials and methods**

**Bacterial strains, plasmid, and media.** *Escherichia coli* XL1-Blue (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used as a host for gene cloning. *E. coli* XL1-Blue and pGEX-6P-1 (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) were used to express recombinant protein. All bacterial strains were maintained on Luria–Bertani (LB) agar plate (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl, and 1.5% agar) supplemented with appropriate antibiotics. *E. coli* cells carrying recombinant plasmid were cultured in 2x YTG broth (1.6% tryptone, 1% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl, and 2% glucose) with antibiotics to highly express recombinant protein.

**Construction of expression vectors.** Two chitinase genes of *B. cereus* 28-9, chiCH (GenBank Accession No. AF510723) and chiCW (GenBank Accession No. AF416570), were amplified from *B. cereus* genome by polymerase chain reaction using two primer pairs (Table 1). The amplified fragments were digested with EcoRI and XhoI, and subcloned into EcoRI and XhoI sites of pGEX-6P-1 to create recombinant expression vectors, pGH60 and pGW59. The constructs were transformed into *E. coli* XL1-Blue and transformants were selected on LB plate containing 50 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin and 12.5 μg ml⁻¹ tetracycline. Constructed plasmids were identified further by restriction enzyme mapping and DNA sequencing.

**Bacterial expression of recombinant chitinases.** The recombinant expression vectors were transformed into *E. coli* XL1-Blue for expressing the fusion target protein. Comprehensive expression tests were performed to investigate the optimal conditions of high expression levels of soluble proteins. The optimal conditions were determined and applied to large-scale protein expression. Overnight cultures of *E. coli* XL1-Blue(pGH60) and *E. coli* XL1-Blue(pGW59) cells were diluted (1:100) in fresh 2YTG broth supplemented with ampicillin and incubated at 37 °C with shaking until *A*₆₀₀ of the bacterial culture was 0.4–0.6. To induce expression of the recombinant protein, bacterial culture was added isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to the final concentration of 0.5 mM and grown for further 5 h at 37 °C with shaking. *E. coli* cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8000g for 10 min at 4 °C and washed once with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 137 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, and 0.027 mM KCl, pH 7.3). After centrifugation at 8000g for 10 min at 4 °C to remove PBS, cells could be used for protein preparation immediately, or frozen and stored at −20 °C.

**Purification of recombinant chitinases.** Two hundred milliliters cultures of *E. coli* XL1-Blue(pGH60) and *E. coli* XL1-Blue(pGW59) were induced to highly express GST-chitinase fusion proteins as described above. The *E. coli* cell pellet was resuspended in 20 °C culture volume of ice-cold lysis buffer (PBS) supplemented with 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme. The cells were lysed by repeated (three times at least) freezing in liquid Nitrogen and thawing in a 37 °C water bath and incubated at 4 °C for further 30 min. Then, the cell debris was removed by centrifugation (12,000g for 30 min) at 4 °C and the supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.45 μm filter (Millipore). For affinity chromatography, an AKTA FPLC (Amersham Biosciences) apparatus was used and all chromatographic steps were performed at 4 °C. The supernatant after filtration was loaded on a PBS-equilibrated GSTrap FF column (1 ml; Amersham Biosciences) at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. The bound materials were washed with five column volumes of PBS. GST-chitinase fusion proteins were eluted with five column volumes of elution buffer (15 mM reduced glutathione in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0).

**PreScission protease cleavage of GST-chitinases.** The eluted GST-chitinase solution was dialyzed with PreScission cleavage buffer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Primers used in this study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChiCH</td>
<td>Forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChiCW</td>
<td>Forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Restriction enzyme sites are underlined.
(50 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM dithiothreitol, pH 7.5). The dialyzed protein solution was added PreScission protease (2 U for each 100 μg of fusion protein; Amersham Biosciences) and incubated at 4 °C for 12-16 h to cleave GST tag. After digestion, the protein solution was loaded on a GSTTrap FF column, pre-equilibrated with cleavage buffer, to purified recombinant chitinase in start-up flow and to remove GST tag and PreScission protease by identity chromatography on GSTTrap FF column.

**SDS–PAGE and chitinolytic zymography assay.** SDS–PAGE was performed using a Tris–Tricine system as described previously [25] with modification for in-gel zymography assay of chitinase activity. The gel concentration was 7.5% and the ratio of crosslinkage (bis-acrylamide to acrylamide) was 6%. For chitinolytic zymography assay, Tricine-SDS-polyacrylamide separating gel contained 0.01% glycol chitin. Protein sample was mixed with an equal volume of 2-fold concentrated, non-reducing sample buffer and boiled for 5 min before loading into the gel. Electrophoresis was performed using Hoefer SE250 mini-slab gel system (Amersham Bioscience). After electrophoresis, the separating gel was incubated at 37 °C in 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 1% Triton X-100 for 4 h on an orbital shaker (50 rpm). Then, the gel was stained with 0.01% Calcoflour White M2R (Sigma) in 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.9) for 5 min and destained with distilled water [26]. Chitinolytic zones in the Calcofluor-stained gel were visualized under a UV transilluminator. Separated proteins in the gel were stained with Coomassie blue G-250.

**Vector construction and expression of GST-chitinase fusion proteins in E. coli**

Fig. 1 shows that two expression vectors, pGH60 and pGW59, were constructed for expression of GST-ChiCH and GST-ChiCW fusion proteins, respectively, in E. coli. Two constructs were introduced into E. coli XL1-Blue as cloning and expression hosts, and then, the optimal conditions, for overexpression of fusion proteins were investigated.

As shown in Fig. 2, the expression of GST-ChiCH fusion proteins in E. coli cells was induced to 7 h by adding 0.5 mM IPTG at 37 °C. The expression was observed at 3 h after induction and its maximal expression was achieved at 5 h after induction and maintained to 7 h. Furthermore, we also tested the effect of final concentrations of IPTG to induce fusion protein expression in E. coli. No significant difference was observed between adding 0.5 and 1 mM IPTG to induce fusion protein expression, but however, the induction level of 0.1 mM IPTG was apparent lower than that of 0.5 mM IPTG. In this condition (induction by 0.5 mM IPTG for 5 h), most GST-ChiCH was found in the supernatant after cell lysis and the supernatant could be used for purification immediately (data not shown). On the other hand, the condition to induce GST-ChiCW expression in E. coli was the same as that to induce GST-ChiCH expression (data not shown).

**Purification of recombinant chitinases**

Table 2 shows that two GST-chitinase fusion proteins were successfully purified from cell lysate by GST-affinity chromatography. From a 200 ml culture, 0.91 mg of GST-ChiCH fusion protein was purified. However, the amount of GST-ChiCW purified from E. coli was half less than that of GST-ChiCH and 0.45 mg of protein was purified. As shown in Fig. 3, the molecular sizes of GST-ChiCH (63 kDa) and GST-ChiCW (98 kDa) were estimated by SDS–PAGE and chitinolytic zymography in Tris-Tricine buffer system and closely corresponded to the values calculated from the amino acid sequences of GST-ChiCH (63.205 kDa) and GST-ChiCW (98.049 kDa).

Furthermore, on-column cleavage of GST fusion proteins performed in a GSTrap FF column (1 ml) was not sufficient to cleave most of fusion proteins in
our preliminary test. Thus, GST-chitinase fusion proteins were eluted, dialyzed in PreScission cleavage buffer, and cleaved by PreScission protease. Two recombinant chitinases without GST tag were purified by a second GST-affinity chromatography and collected in start-up flow. By SDS–PAGE analysis and chitinolytic zymography, purified ChiCH of 37 kDa and ChiCW of 71 kDa were identified (Fig. 3).

Table 2
Purification of GST-chitinase fusion proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Purification step</th>
<th>Total protein (mg)</th>
<th>Specific activity (U/mg)</th>
<th>Recovery rate (%)</th>
<th>Purification fold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GST-ChiCH</td>
<td>Crude extract</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glutathione–Sepharose chromatography</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-ChiCW</td>
<td>Crude extract</td>
<td>27.40</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glutathione–Sepharose chromatography</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kinetics of chitinases

As shown in Table 3, kinetic properties of chitinases and GST-chitinase fusion proteins are determined and kinetic constants are estimated. ChiCH exhibited lower $K_m$ toward three kinds of substrates than those of ChiCW. In contrast, $k_{cat}$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$ of ChiCW toward each substrate were much higher than those of ChiCH. On the other hand, four kinetic constants of GST-ChiCH were higher than ChiCH. However, only $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ of GST-ChiCW were higher than ChiCW. Although $k_{cat}$ values of GST-fused and native ChiCW were closed, $k_{cat}/K_m$ of GST-ChiCW was 34% of that of ChiCW.

Hydrolysis products of chitinases

Hydrolysis products of chitooligosaccharides (from monomer to hexamer) catalyzed by ChiCH and ChiCW, respectively, were analyzed by TLC and presented in Fig. 4. $N$-acetylglucosamine and chitobiose were not degraded by both enzymes. From chitotriose to chitohexaose, four substrates were hydrolyzed by ChiCH to chitobiose as the end product although lower reaction velocity was with chitotriose. Furthermore, when chitopentaose and chitoheaxose were hydrolyzed by ChiCH, intermediate chitotriose and chitotetraose were detected except for chitobiose, respectively, due to reaction not complete in 2 h.

On the other hand, ChiCW hydrolyzed (GlcNAc)$_3$–6 to chitobiose as the end product and the reaction velocity of ChiCW was lower with chitotriose. The pattern of hydrolysis products of ChiCW with chitotetraose and chitopentaose was similar to that of ChiCH in addition to that chitohexaose was produced when ChiCW hydrolyzed chitotetraose. Furthermore, ChiCW hydrolyzed chitoheaxose completely to chitobiose and had higher reaction velocity. In addition, chitobiose only produced

Table 3
Kinetics of chitinases and GST-chitinase fusion proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$K_m$ a</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ b</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ c</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$ d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ChiCH</td>
<td>4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_2$</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>8.3 x 10$^4$</td>
<td>3.7 x 10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glycol chitin</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2.0 x 10$^4$</td>
<td>1.3 x 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colloidal chitin</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1.07 x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>4.0 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.3 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChiCW</td>
<td>4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_3$</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.5 x 10$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glycol chitin</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9.0 x 10$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colloidal chitin</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>1.6 x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.6 x 10$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-ChiCH</td>
<td>4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_2$</td>
<td>158.6</td>
<td>44.05</td>
<td>4.6 x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.9 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-ChiCW</td>
<td>4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_3$</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>40.80</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>8.2 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Unit of $K_m$: $\mu$M toward 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_2$ and 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_3$; $mg \cdot ml^{-1}$ toward glycol chitin and colloidal chitin.

b Unit of $V_{max}$: $\mu$mol min$^{-1} \cdot mg^{-1}$ toward 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_2$, 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_3$, and glycol chitin; $\mu$mol h$^{-1} \cdot mg^{-1}$ toward colloidal chitin.

c Unit of $k_{cat}$: $s^{-1}$ toward 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_2$, 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_3$, and glycol chitin; $h^{-1}$ toward colloidal chitin.

d Unit of $k_{cat}/K_m$: $s^{-1} \cdot \mu$M$^{-1}$ toward 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_2$ and 4-MU-(GlcNAc)$_3$; $s^{-1} \cdot mg/ml^{-1}$ toward glycol chitin; $h^{-1} \cdot mg/ml^{-1}$ toward colloidal chitin.
by ChiCW could be detected by TLC when colloidal chitin was hydrolyzed, respectively, by ChiCH and ChiCW for 2 h (data not shown).

**Binding activity of chitinases to polysaccharides**

Fig. 5 shows that a C-terminal carbohydrate-binding module existed in ChiCW but not in ChiCH, and two domains are classified as a fibronectin type three domain and a cellulose-binding domain according to the conserved domain analysis [8]. In this study, binding activities of recombinant ChiCW and ChiCH were investigated. ChiCW showed an affinity toward chitinous and cellulotic substrate, and 72.7% and 53.7% of ChiCW absorbed onto colloidal chitin and cellulose, respectively. The data indicate that the C-terminal domain of ChiCW is proposed to belong to a chitin-binding domain. In addition, ChiCH exhibited much lower binding activity (less than 30%) onto colloidal chitin and Avicel due to being carbohydrate-binding domain deficient.

In the second approach, binding activities of two chitinases to soluble polysaccharides were investigated by native PAGE with and without polysaccharides (Fig. 6). Comparison of electrophoretic behaviors of two proteins revealed that ChiCH was not affected by the presence of any polysaccharide ligand. In contrast, the mobility of ChiCW in the presence of glycol chitin was decreased in comparison of that in the presence of CM-cellulose, laminarin, or without ligands. The results indicate that ChiCH did not bind to any soluble polysaccharide ligands tested whereas ChiCW bound to glycol chitin, the substrate of its catalytic domain, instead of CM-cellulose and laminarin Fig. 7.

**Discussion**

In the previous studies, high-level expression of chitinases in *E. coli* cells was hardly achieved using commercial expression vectors, especially expression of family 18 chitinases. Two family 19 chitinases were overexpressed in *E. coli*. Chi35 of *Streptomyces thermoviolaceus* OPC-520 was expressed as a GST-fusion protein from pGEX-6P-3 vector [21] and a 32-kDa chitinase of *Leucaena leucocephala* was expressed as a thioredoxin fusion protein from pET32a vector [22]. After cleavage of affinity tag, recombinant native chitinases could be purified. However, only ChiB of *Clostridium paraputrificum* M21 was a family 18 chitinase expressed as a hexahistidine fusion protein from pQE30 vector and purified [23]. Although Chi36 of *B. cereus* 6E1 [14] and Chi36 of *B. thuringiensis* HD-1 [9], for example, could be expressed in *E. coli* using pET5a and pQE32 vectors, respectively, expressed recombinant chitinases could not be easily purified. pET5a (Novagen) is not constructed with any affinity tag to fuse to target protein, and expressed recombinant Chi36 of *B. cereus*
6E1 could not be purified by affinity chromatography. On the other hand, when pQE32 vector was used to express entire Chi36 of B. thuringiensis HD-1 including signal peptide, the N-terminal hexahistidine tag might be removed with signal peptide of Chi36 by signal peptidase of E. coli. Thus, mature Chi36 still could not be directly purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography. Therefore, we tried to establish an ideal method for stable high-level expression of family 18 chitinases in this study and that is helpful to basic studies and applications.

To overexpress chitinase in E. coli, hexahistidine-tagged pQE expression vector was also used in our preliminary test. Two chitinase genes were subcloned into the C-terminal hexahistidine-tagged vector, pQE60, and the constructed expression vectors were transformed into E. coli XL1-Blue and BL21 for overexpression. However, no expression was observed whether we tested many conditions for expression (temperature, time, medium, etc.). Therefore, other expression systems were studied to achieve overexpression of chitinase. Because a wide range of proteins can be expressed and...
purified by GST fusion protein technology [30], the expression vector, pGEX-6P-1, with N-terminal GST tag was selected to construct recombinant expression vectors. Fortunately, we had achieved high-level expression of recombinant chitinases in *E. coli* as GST-fusion proteins.

In the study of Kim et al. [31], a lysozyme from bacteriophage φEa1h was not successfully overexpressed in *E. coli* using pQE30 expression vector. *E. coli* host cell growth was abolished, and the bacteria were lysed after induction of lysozyme expression. They indicated that lysozyme seems to be toxic to *E. coli* host cells because lysozyme has muramidase activity to hydrolyze β-1,4-linkage of N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid in the peptidoglycan layer of bacterial cell walls [32]. On the other hand, some chitinases display a more or less lysozyme activity to degrade bacterial cell walls [1]. Therefore, two chitinases in our study seemed to be toxic to *E. coli* host cell when those recombinant enzymes were directly expressed in *E. coli* without any protein fused in those N-termini.

In addition, the result of kinetics of native and GST-fused chitinases possibly reveals the same information and explanation of our successful overexpression of chitinases. The *K_m* values of GST-ChiCH and GST-ChiCW were larger than those of ChiCH (7-fold) and ChiCW (3-fold). That indicated addition of GST in the N-terminus of each chitinase decreased substrate-binding affinity. According to the result of kinetics, we proposed that overexpression of GST-chitinase fusion protein was successful because addition of GST in the N-terminus interfered and decreased enzyme activity and toxicity to *E. coli*.

As presented in Fig. 5, ChiCH and ChiCW have different domain structures and the peptide sequences of both catalytic domains share very low similarity (10%) by sequence comparison although both enzymes belong to family-18 chitinases. Thus, we investigated kinetics of ChiCH and ChiCW toward three kinds of substrates and determined *K_m*, *V_max*, *k_cat*, and *k_cat/K_m* in this study. *K_m* of ChiCH toward three kinds of substrates was lower than those of ChiCW (Table 3). These data revealed substrate-binding affinity of ChiCH was better than ChiCW. However, ChiCW showed higher *k_cat* and *k_cat/K_m* than those of ChiCH and the data indicate that ChiCW catalyzes hydrolysis of chitinous substrates, especially on glycol chitin and colloidal chitin, more effectively. Therefore, the different domain structures and sequences of catalytic domains cause different kinetic properties of two enzymes.

Based on the result of TLC, chitooligosaccharides with degree of polymerization (DP) values of 4–6, i.e., chitotetraose to chitohexaose, are good substrates of both chitinases although ChiCW shows higher reaction velocities with three substrates. However, chitotriose was slowly hydrolyzed. When ChiCW hydrolyzed chitotetraose, formation of chitohexaose was detected and the result indicates ChiCW has transglycosylation activity. In addition, no N-acetylglucosaminidase activity was observed by TLC or detected by 4-MU-GlcNAc substrate. Furthermore, chitobiose only produced by ChiCW hydrolyzing colloidal chitin for 2 h could be detected by TLC. This result corresponded to the data of kinetics of two enzymes. ChiCW catalyzes hydrolysis of colloidal chitin more effectively.

In our previous and this study, enzyme activities of ChiCH and ChiCW were detected using substrates 4-MU-(GlcNAc) 2 and 4-MU-(GlcNAc) 3, respectively, due to ChiCH with 4-MU-(GlcNAc) 2 and ChiCW with 4-MU-(GlcNAc) 3 showing higher activities. According to the study of Haran et al. [33], 4-MU-(GlcNAc) 2 and 4-MU-(GlcNAc) 3 can be used to differentiate exo- and endo-modes of catalytic mechanisms of chitinases. Thus, it is suggested that ChiCH is an exochitinase. Furthermore, ChiCH do not show N-acetylglucosaminidase activity and produce chitobiose after hydrolysis of chitooligosaccharides (DP 3–6). Therefore, we suggest ChiCH as a chitobiosidase. On the other hand, ChiCW shows higher activity with 4-MU-(GlcNAc) 3 and effectively produces chitobiose from chitohexaose and colloidal chitin. Therefore, we propose that ChiCW is an endochitinase.

Based on the results of kinetic properties and hydrolysis products, we proposed the initial pathway of chitin depolymerization of *B. cereus* 28-9. ChiCW effectively catalyzed hydrolysis of chitin and low molecular mass chito-multimers to chito-oligomers and end product chitobiose. Then, chito-oligomers were hydrolyzed by ChiCW to produce chitobiose.

ChiCW showed significant sequence homology with ChiB of *B. cereus* CH in our previous study [8]. According to the study of Mabuchi and Araki [12], recombinant ChiB shows equal good binding activity onto colloidal chitin and cellulose, and C-terminal domain of ChiB was reported as cellulose-binding domain based on the data of binding assay and sequence analysis. In that study, purified recombinant ChiB was 64 kDa and smaller than predicted molecular weight (70.6 kDa). Thus, they thought that ChiB was cleaved its C-terminal domain by proteolytic modification of *E. coli*. In this study, full-length ChiCW of 71 kDa without proteolytic modification was purified and showed better binding activity onto colloidal chitin than cellulose. Therefore, we thought that C-terminal domain of ChiCW belonged to a chitin-binding domain although sequence analysis revealed that as cellulose-binding domain.

In addition to successful overexpression of chitinases in *E. coli*, the method of chitinolytic zymography assay was modified to enhance the resolution of zymogram. To detect chitinases, the methods for chitinolytic zymogram analysis have been developed and widely used [26,34]. By these methods, it is easy to in situ detect
chitinases in substrate-containing gels by activity staining after gel electrophoresis. All detection methods are modified from the most widely used SDS–PAGE procedure, Laemmli method, with modifications, and the resolution of zymogram is determined by the Laemmli condition of electrophoresis [29]. However, the resolving power of the traditional Laemmli gel is unsatisfactory. The deviations of chitinolytic zymography-estimated molecular masses and calculated molecular masses of chitinases were reported [16,26]. Therefore, we develop a new method for chitinolytic zymography assay in this study. Chitinolytic zymography assay performed in a Tris–Tricine buffer system [25] can achieve high resolution, excellent linearity, and range of calibration to determine molecular masses of chitinases. Furthermore, molecular mass of chitinase estimated by our method is more corresponding to calculated molecular mass without casting gradient SDS-polyacrylamide gels. On the other hand, chitinolytic zymography assay performed in Tris–Tricine buffer system tolerates deviation in ionic strength and pH of protein samples [25]. Therefore, we recommend this method as an ideal and convenient system for chitinolytic zymography assay and it could also be applied in proteomic studies.
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