Opposite temperature effects of quantum-dot laser under dual-wavelength operation
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The authors discover opposite temperature effects when the quantum-dot (QD) laser is controlled to simultaneously oscillate at two modes in the external cavity. The two modes correspond to the ground state and the first excited state of the QDs. Experiments show that the power of one mode increases, while the power of the other mode decreases as the temperature increases. The power variation between these two modes is similar to the situation of competition and anticompetition of laser modes. The physical reason is discussed in detail. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2735543]

The temperature effects of quantum-dot (QD) laser are important topics in research. In general, as the temperature increases, the threshold current increases.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) The slope efficiency and the output power decrease.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) In addition, the laser gain profile shifts to higher energy levels.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^10\) These phenomena are due to the movement of carriers to higher energy levels.\(^10\) Previous researches on temperature dependence of \(L-I\) curves of QD lasers are under single-wavelength operation. However, we discover opposite temperature effects when the QD laser is controlled to simultaneously oscillate at two wavelength modes. The two wavelength modes are the long wavelength mode (LWM), corresponding to the ground state (GS), and the short wavelength mode (SWM), corresponding to the first excited state (1stES). We discover that the temperature-dependent behaviors of the SWM and the LWM are not the same. Our experiments show that the power of the SWM increases, while the power of the LWM decreases as the temperature increases. The power variation between the SWM and the LWM is similar to the situation of competition and anticompetition in laser modes.\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^13\)

The laser we fabricated is a conventional edge emitting laser with a cavity length of 584 \(\mu\)m. The QD structure was grown by molecular beam epitaxy on the GaAs substrate with a 500 nm thick n-GaAs buffer layer. In the active region, the InAs QDs were grown in an In\(_{0.33}\)Ga\(_{0.67}\)As/GaAs quantum well. The \(n\)-type and \(p\)-type cladding layers are Al\(_{0.3}\)Ga\(_{0.7}\)As. Si is used for \(n\)-type doping and Be for \(p\)-type doping. The double-channel waveguide was fabricated on the \(p\)-cladding layer. The waveguide is 2.7 \(\mu\)m in width. The Ti/Pt/Au was deposited as the \(p\)-side electrode. The laser chip is bonded on the temperature-controlled heat sink stage.

The output light was first measured using an optical spectrum analyzer to identify the lasing wavelength. Without the external cavity, this laser oscillates at around 1110 nm, which corresponds to the 1stES. The \(L-I\) curves are measured using an InGaAs photodetector. The slope efficiency remains almost constant, the output power decreases, and the threshold current increases from 31 to 34 mA as the temperature increases from 18 to 25 °C.

The above measurements show that the temperature effects of this QD laser under single-wavelength operation without the external cavity are similar to previous reports.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) However, we find opposite temperature effects when the laser is controlled to simultaneously oscillate at two wavelength modes. The configuration of the external cavity laser system is shown in Fig. 1. As grating 1 is oriented to give feedback at 1194 nm at the GS of the QD laser, the QD laser could oscillate at the LWM at low current injection. However, when the operation current is above 38 mA, it oscillates simultaneously at two wavelength modes: the LWM at 1194 nm and the SWM at 1110 nm, even though grating 1 is still controlled to give feedback at 1194 nm. The corresponding spectra are shown in the inset of Fig. 1. The output of the QD laser is delivered to the reflected-type grating 2, so the SWM light and the LWM light are separated. The \(L-I\) curves of the LWM and the SWM are measured using two InGaAs photodetectors. We find that when the temperature is above 25.5 °C, the power of the LWM decreases to zero, so the QD laser oscillates only at 1110 nm above 25.5 °C. Then it behaves like in the situation of single-wavelength operation. For this reason, the measurements of the temperature dependence under dual-wavelength operation are primarily from 18 to 25.5 °C.

The \(L-I\) curves of the LWM at 1194 nm and the SWM at 1110 nm are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. Figure 2(a) shows that the threshold current of the LWM
(1194 nm) increases and the slope efficiency (mW/mA) of the LWM decreases as the temperature increases, which are similar to those of single-wavelength operation. On the other hand, Fig. 2(b) shows that the threshold current of the SWM (1110 nm) remains almost constant and the slope efficiency of the SWM increases as the temperature increases, which are very different from those of single-wavelength operation at 1110 nm of this device.

The power variations with temperature for the LWM (1194 nm) and the SWM (1110 nm) under dual-wavelength operation at 44 mA are shown by curves A and B in Fig. 3, respectively. Also the power variation with temperature under single-wavelength operation (1110 nm) at 38 mA is shown by curve C in Fig. 3. They are shown together in Fig. 3 for comparison. The power of the single-wavelength operation at 1110 nm decreases with temperatures due to the thermal population of carriers from the 1stES to higher energy levels. However, the power of the SWM at 1110 nm under dual-wavelength operation increases slightly from 18 to 20 °C, decreases slightly from 20 to 22 °C, and increases relatively quickly above 22 °C. Thus, the power variation with temperatures for the SWM at 1110 nm under dual-wavelength operation is very different from that of single-wavelength operation at 1110 nm.

According to previous reports, the change of the thermally induced carrier population plays an important role in the temperature effects of QD laser. When the QD laser is operated at single wavelength of 1110 nm, most carriers recombine in the 1stES. Therefore, the number of carriers that moved from the 1stES to higher energy levels is more than that that moved from the GS to the 1stES as the temperature increases. As a result, the power of the single-wavelength operation at 1110 nm decreases with temperatures. However, when the laser is controlled to simultaneously oscillate at two wavelength modes: the LWM at 1194 nm, corresponding to GS, and the SWM at 1110 nm, corresponding to the 1stES, the carriers recombine at both the GS and the 1stES. When the temperature increases, the carriers in the GS will always move to higher energy levels, so the number of carriers that recombined in the GS decreases with the temperature, leading to the reduction of LWM power. However, the number of carriers that recombined in the 1stES does not necessarily decrease with the temperature. It depends on whether the number of carriers that moved from the GS to the 1stES is more than that that moved from the 1stES to the higher energy levels. Compared to the single-wavelength operation at 1110 nm under the same current-injection level, the SWM power at 1110 nm under dual-wavelength operation is reduced. It means that the population at the 1stES is reduced when the laser is under dual-wavelength operation. The reduction of the carrier number in the 1stES gives rise to the possibility that the number of carriers that moved from the 1stES to the higher energy levels is less than that that moved from the GS to the 1stES.

Under dual-wavelength operation, the power of the LWM increases with the carrier population in the GS and the power of the SWM increases with the carrier population in the 1stES. When the temperature increases in the two temperature ranges: 18–20 °C and 22–25.5 °C, the number of carriers that moved from the GS to the 1stES is more than that that moved from the 1stES to the higher energy levels.
Therefore, the carrier population in the GS decreases, while the carrier population in the 1stES increases with the temperature. As a result, the power of the LWM decreases, while the power of the SWM increases as the temperature increases in these two temperature ranges. When the temperature increases from 20 to 22 °C, the number of carriers that moved from the 1stES to the higher energy levels is more than that that moved from the GS to the 1stES, so the carrier population in the GS and that in the 1stES both decrease with the temperature. Thus, the power of the LWM and that of the SWM both decrease in this temperature range.

Figure 4 shows the power of the SWM versus the power of the LWM under dual-wavelength operation at several current-injection levels: 38, 40, 42, and 44 mA. When temperature increases from 18 to 25.5 °C, these curves trace from the right hand side to the left hand side.
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**FIG. 4.** Power of the SWM vs the power of the LWM at 38, 40, 42, and 44 mA. When temperature increases from 18 to 25.5 °C, these curves trace from the right hand side to the left hand side.

In summary, we have shown that the slope efficiency and the output power of the SWM (1110 nm) increase as the temperature increases under dual-wavelength operation, which are very different from those of single-wavelength operation at 1110 nm. We conclude that the behaviors between the SWM and the LWM are similar to competition and anti-competition of laser modes. The physical reason is the change of the thermally induced carrier population.

This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan, R.O.C. under Grant Nos. NSC 95-2120-M-002-001 and NSC 95-2112-M-002-004.